

ISSN:2349-8072 (Print)
ISSN:2349-8080 (Online)
CODEN:IJCRBP(USA)


*International Journal of Current Research in
Biosciences and Plant Biology*

Volume-5 • Issue-4 • April-2018

I J C R B P



www.ijcrbp.com



International Journal of Current Research in Biosciences and Plant Biology (IJCRBP)

IJCRBP is now DOI (CrossRef) registered Research Journal. The DOIs are assigned to all published IJCRBP Articles.

Aim & Scope

International Journal of Current Research in Biosciences and Plant Biology ISSN: 2349-8072 (Print), ISSN: 2349-8080 (Online) is a multidisciplinary peer-reviewed journal with reputable academics and experts as members of its Editorial Board. The Current Research in Biosciences and Plant Biology aims to publish all the latest and outstanding research articles in the field. The journal is intended to publish high-quality articles, in English, in all areas of Biosciences and Botany. The journal publishes novel research and review articles in the fields of Biosciences-diversity, Ecology, Embryology, Physiology of Animals, Plants and Microorganisms, and their interactions; Agricultural Science, Biochemistry, Bioinformatics, Biomedical Sciences, Biotechnology, Environmental Sciences, Clinical Sciences, Food Science, Environmental Sciences, Microbiology, Pharmacology, Soil Biology and Waste management and other related aspects of biosciences.

The Plant Biology aims to publish research articles in Phycology, Mycology, Systematic Plant Biology, Biodiversity & Conservation, Cytology & Genetics, Ethnobotany, Economic Botany, Morphology and Physiology of Plants, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Palaeobotany, Phytogeography, Plant Tissue Culture, Phytochemistry; Pharmacognosy and Pharmacology of Medicinal Plants, Plant-animal, Plant-plant & Plant-microbe interactions, Plant breeding and Botanically related areas.

Subject areas suitable for publications include:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Agricultural Sciences✓ Anatomy and Embryology✓ Animal Science✓ Biochemistry✓ Biodiversity and Conservation✓ Bioinformatics✓ Biomedical Sciences✓ Bioremediation and Biodegradation✓ Biotechnology and Bioengineering✓ Botany✓ Cancer Research and Experimental Oncology✓ Clinical Pathology and Forensic Science✓ Crop Science✓ Cytology and Genetics✓ Dentistry and Oral Hygiene✓ Diabetes and Endocrinology✓ Ecology and the Natural Environment | <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Medicinal and Aromatic Plants✓ Medicine and Medical Sciences✓ Molecular Biology✓ Morphology and Physiology✓ Neuroscience and Behavioral Health✓ Nursing and Midwifery✓ Nutrition and Metabolism✓ Parasitology and Vector Biology✓ Pharmacognosy and Pharmaceutical Science✓ Pharmacy and Pharmacology✓ Phycology and Mycology✓ Phytochemistry✓ Phytogeography✓ Phytotherapy✓ Plant and Agricultural Technology✓ Plant Breeding✓ Plant Pathology |
|--|---|



INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

INDEXED IN INDEX COPERNICUS
ICI Journals Master List 2016
IJCRAR--ICV 2016: 71.58

[For more details click here](#)



ANNOUNCEMENT

Vol-5-Issue 11 November
Published **new**

CALL FOR ARTICLE

Volume -5-Issue 12 December-2018
new



Freely Accessible Scientific Papers.

QUICK NAVIGATION

[Home](#)

- ✓ Entomology
- ✓ Environmental Science and Technology
- ✓ Ethnobotany
- ✓ Food Science
- ✓ Forestry and Agroforestry
- ✓ Fungal Research
- ✓ Horticulture
- ✓ Immunology and Infectious Diseases
- ✓ Marine Biology
- ✓ Medical Case Studies
- ✓ Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis
- ✓ Medical Practice and Reviews

- ✓ Plant Physiology
- ✓ Plant Science
- ✓ Plant-Animal, Plant-Plant and Plant-Microbe Interactions
- ✓ Public Health and Epidemiology
- ✓ Soil Biology
- ✓ Stem Cell Biology
- ✓ Systematic Biology
- ✓ Threatened Taxa
- ✓ Vermitechnology and Waste Management
- ✓ Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health
- ✓ Wildlife Biology
- ✓ Zoology

Editorial Board

Guide to Authors

Current Issue

Archives

Indexing

Submit Article

Contact

Advertise with us

Terms & Conditions



IJCRBP is designed for the prompt publication of peer-reviewed articles in all aspects of the subject. The journal articles will be accessible freely online.

All manuscripts are pre-reviewed by the Editor, and if appropriate, sent for blind peer review. Contributions must be original, not previously or simultaneously published elsewhere, and are critically reviewed before they are published. Manuscripts submitted to the IJCRBP, are peer reviewed, initially reviewed for contents, suitability and technical aspects. A minimum of three reviewers related to subject is required for each manuscript. IJCRBP publishes original articles, short communications, letters to editor and reviews monthly.

IJCRBP is currently accepting manuscripts; you can submit your manuscripts to IJCRBP through online submission or by e-mail to: editorinchiefijcrbp@gmail.com [or] submit@ijcrbp.com

A manuscript number will be e-mailed to the corresponding author normally within 48 hours.

Editorial Board

IJCRBP is now DOI (CrossRef) registered Research Journal. The DOIs are assigned to all published IJCRBP Articles.

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. N. Karmegam
Department of Botany, Government Arts College, Salem (TN), India

Executive Editors

Dr. Thilagavathy Daniel, Gandhigram Rural Institute (DU), Tamilnadu, India
Dr. Martín G. Rodriguez, Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Mexico
Dr. S. Karuppusamy, Madura College, Tamilnadu, India
Dr. B. Kadalmani, Bharathidasan University, Tamilnadu, India
Dr. M. Prakash, Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu, India
Dr. M.H.Fulekar, University of Mumbai Santacruz, (E) Mumbai, India
Dr. K.Madhava Chetty, SVUCS, S.V. University, Tirupati, India
Dr.C.M.Ramakritinan, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India
Dr.S.Poopathi, Vector Control Research Centre (ICMR), Pondicherry, India

Editorial Advisory Board

1. Dr. K. M. Rajasekaran, Madura College, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India
2. Dr. K. P. Martin, De Montfort University, UK
3. Dr. Zhengchao Wang, Fujian Normal University, P. R. China
4. Dr. Evrim Taşkin, Celal Bayar University, Turkey
5. Dr. V. K. Garg, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, India
6. Dr. R. Kumuthakalavalli, Gandhigram Rural Institute (DU), Tamilnadu, India.
7. Dr. R. N. Okigbo, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria
8. Dr. Eduardo Spiaggi, Fac. Cs. Veterinarias (UNR), Argentina
9. Dr. S. Suthar, Indian Institute of Technology-Delhi, India
10. Dr. C. Alagesaboopathi, Government Arts College, Salem-7, Tamilnadu, India
11. Dr. C. P. I. Mahonge, Sokoine University of Agriculture Centre for Sustainable Rural Development, Tanzania
12. Dr. M. Jayakumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Technology, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia
13. Dr. P.M.C.S. De Silva, University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka
14. Dr. T. Sathishkumar, MCC, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
15. Dr. A. M. Saravanan, Caledonian University, Oman
16. Dr. Shahzad Akbar Khan (I.S), The University of Poonch, Rawalakot, Pakistan
17. Dr. G. Rubalakshmi, Sri Amman GRD Research Services, Rasipuram, Tamilnadu, India
18. Dr. Hazim Jabbar Shah Ali Al-Daraji, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad , Abu-Ghrai , Iraq
19. Dr. Subhash Chand, Wadura, Jammu and Kashmir, India
20. Dr. Maged El-ashker, Mansoura University, Egypt
21. Dr. N. Amaresan, CG Bhakta Institute of Biotechnology, Gujarat, India
22. Dr. Ugwu Okechukwu Paul-Chima, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.
23. Dr. Elvis-Enowbeyang Tarkang, South West Region, Republic of Cameroon
24. Dr. S. M. Gopinath, Head, Acharya Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India
25. Dr. Philippe Sessou, Cotonou / Benin
26. Dr. Satyanand Tyagi, Tyagi Pharmacy Association (TPA), New Delhi, India
27. Dr. Soleiman Mahjoub, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran
28. Dr. Shamim Ahamad, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, UP, India
29. Dr. S. Vijayanand, Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore, Tamilnadu, India
30. Prof. Parwez Qureshi, College of Science (Girls), Jazan University, Jazan Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
31. Dr. Subha Ganguly, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, Kolkata, India
32. Dr. H. A. Sayeswara, Sahyadri Science College(Autonomous), Shivamogga, Karnataka, India
33. Dr. Sami R. Al-Zubaydi, Duhok University, Kurdistan Region of Iraq
34. Dr. P. J Hisalkar, People's College of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India



INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

INDEXED IN INDEX COPERNICUS
ICI Journals Master List 2016
IJCRAR--ICV 2016: 71.58

[For more details click here](#)



ANNOUNCEMENT

Vol-5-Issue 11 November
Published ^{new}

CALL FOR ARTICLE

Volume -5-Issue 12 December-2018
^{new}



QUICK NAVIGATION

[Home](#)
[Editorial Board](#)
[Guide to Authors](#)
[Current Issue](#)
[Archives](#)
[Indexing](#)
[Submit Article](#)
[Contact](#)
[Advertise with us](#)

35. Dr. Mousavi Khaneghah Amin, Brazil St- Vanak Sq- Tehran- Iran.
36. Dr. Anand Prem Rajan, School of Bio Sciences and Technology, Vellore Institute of Technology University, Vellore, Tamilnadu, India
37. Dr. Sankhadip Bose, Gupta College of Technological Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Asansol, West Bengal, India
38. Dr. Sonali Jain, Goldfield Institute of Medical Sciences, Faridabad, India
39. Dr. D. Sathish Sekar, Arignar Anna College (Arts & Science), Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu, India
40. Dr. Tara Chand, Regional College of Pharmacy, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
41. Dr. S. Anandakumar, VMKV Engineering College, Salem, Tamilnadu, India
42. Dr. Jiban Shrestha, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal.
43. Dr. Arvind Bijalwan, Indian Institute of Forest Management (IIFM), Bhopal, India.
44. Dr. Bajpayee Kaptain Kishor, Dr. RML Degree College, Allipur, Hardoi (UP), India.
45. Dr. Dhanraj Balbhim Bhure, Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded (MS), India.
46. Dr. Sanjay Shamrao Nanware, , Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded (MS), India.
47. Dr. S. Ramesh Kumar, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Pollachi Tamil Nadu, India.
48. Dr. Feng Xu, College of Horticulture and Gardening, Yangtze University, Jingzhou 434025, China.
49. Dr. Sachin Madhavrao Kanawade, Pravara Rural Education Society's Sir Visvesvaraya Institute of Technology, Chincholi, Nashik Dist., MS, India.
50. Dr. Ramesh Kumar Ahirwar, Department of Botany, Govt. Nehru P. G. College Burhar, Madhya Pradesh, India.
51. Dr. Zainab Mahmood Aljamali, Dentistry Department, Babylon University, IRAQ.
52. Dr. Saher Mahmood Jwad Aljamali, Biology Department, Kufa University, IRAQ.
53. Dr. Devendra Kumar Patel, Rural Technology Department, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya (A Central University), Bilaspur, India.
54. Dr. Javad Sharifi Rad, Zabol University of Medical Sciences, Zabol, Iran.
55. Dr. Jagdish L. Kakadiya, Indubhai Patel College of Pharmacy and Research Centre, Dharmaj, Gujarat, India.
56. Dr. B.K. Mohanty, Khallikote Autonomous College, Berhampur, Ganjam, Odisha, India.
57. Dr. Muhammad Attique Khan Shahid, Government Post Graduate College of Science, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
58. Dr. D. Dhanavel, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India.
59. Dr. Arijit Sinhababu, Bankura Christian College, Bankura, West Bengal, India.
60. Dr. Meera Srivastava, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India.
61. Dr. D. Nagasamy Venkatesh, JSS College of Pharmacy, Ooty, India.
62. Dr. Abd El-Aleem Saad Soliman Desoky, Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt.
63. Dr. Mohamudha Parveen Rahamathulla, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Salman bin Abdulaziz University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
64. Dr. Eshrat Halim, University of Toronto, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
65. Dr. Brijesh Pandey, Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University, Uttar Pradesh, India.
66. Dr. T. P. Mall, Kisan P. G. College, BAHRAICH, Uttar Pradesh, India.
67. Dr. Vijay A. Patil, Main Rice Research Centre, SWMRU, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, India.
68. Dr. Jon Ver Halen, Department of Surgery, Texas A&M University School of Medicine, Texas
69. Dr. Sashikala Beura, Biotechnology-cum-Tissue Culture Centre, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology (OUAT), Bhubaneswar, India
70. Dr. Mohamed Ali Mohamed Eldeeb, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
71. Dr. C. Viswanatha, Arba Minch University, Ethiopia
72. Dr. Hany A. Fouad, Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt
73. Dr. Idress Hamad Attitalla, Faculty of Science, Omar El-Mukhtar University, Al-Bayda, Libya
74. Dr. Atul Bhargava, Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Lucknow, India
75. Dr. Swaminathan Palanisami, Lincoln University, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, USA
76. Dr. A. Heidari, California South University (CSU), Irvine, California, USA
77. Dr. Hema Chandra Kotamarthi, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
78. Dr. M. Sridevi, Department of Biotechnology, VMKV Engineering College, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India
79. Dr. Amit Tomar, Department of Botany, Meerut College, Meerut (U.P.), India
80. Dr. G. Vidya Sagar, Veeraytan Institute of Pharmacy, Jakhaniya, Kutch, Gujarat, India
81. Dr. Sachin Kumar Gupta, Department of Pathology & Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

Publisher:

Excellent Publishers (Regd 71/2013)

www.excellentpublishers.com



email: excellentpublishers2013@gmail.com

Terms & Conditions



Indexing

IJCRBP is now DOI (CrossRef) registered Research Journal. The DOIs are assigned to all published IJCRBP Articles.

 A division of the American Chemical Society	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	



INDEX COPERNICUS INTERNATIONAL

INDEXED IN INDEX COPERNICUS

ICI Journals Master List 2016

IJCRAR--ICV 2016: 71.58

[For more details click here](#)



ANNOUNCEMENT

Vol-5-Issue 11 November

Published ^{new}

CALL FOR ARTICLE

Volume -5-Issue 12 December-2018

^{new}



Freely Accessible Scientific Papers.

QUICK NAVIGATION

[Home](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Guide to Authors](#)

[Current Issue](#)

[Archives](#)

[Indexing](#)


[Submit Article](#)

[Contact](#)

[Advertise with us](#)



Terms & Conditions



IJCRBP is now DOI (CrossRef) registered Research Journal. The DOIs are assigned to all published IJCRBP Articles.

1. Serly Marselina Arifin, Jabang Nurdin and Chairul

Epilithic Algae as a Biological Indicator in Sungai Suir Inside PT. Tidar Kerinci Agung Oil Palm Plantation

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 1-7

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.001>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

2. Muhammad Akhsan Akib, Kahar Mustari, Tutik Kuswinanti and Syatrianty Andi Syaiful

Identification and Abundance of Indigenous Endomycorrhiza Isolated from Nickel Post-Mining Plantation in Sorowako

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 8-16

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.002>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

3. Asrijal, Elkawakib Syam'un, Yunus Musa and Muh. Riadi

Characterization, Identification, and Analysis of Plant Growth Regulator (PGR) Conditions to Four Types of Free Clean Maize

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 17-23

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.003>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

4. Syamsul Bahri, Asmuddin Natsir, Nurani Sirajuddin and Syamsuddin Hasan

Integrated Agricultural System Study on Crops: Intercropping of Corn - Peanut and Beef Cattle Fattening

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 24-29

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.004>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

5. E. Orji, A. E. Falodun and F. I. Jegede

The Antioxidant Properties of Momordica charantia Extract and its Protective Activities against In Vitro Mercury Intoxication

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 30-35

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.005>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

6. Yu-Jie Yang, Wen-Jie Li and Yong-Jun Fei

Growth and Physiological Mechanism of Phoebe chekiangensis Seedlings in Response to Lead Stress

*Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.*2018.5(4): 36-46

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.006>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

7. KANGA Yao, CAMARA Djeneb, COULIBALY Kiyinlma, BENE Kouadio and ZIRIHI Guede Noel

Comparative Study of the Cytotoxicity of 70% Ethanolic Extracts of Pitadeniastrum africanum Hook (Fabaceae) and Hunteria eburnea Pichon (Apocynaceae) on HFF Cells (Human Foreskin Fibroblasts)



INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

INDEXED IN INDEX COPERNICUS

ICI Journals Master List 2016

IJCRAR-ICV 2016: 71.58

[For more details click here](#)



ANNOUNCEMENT

Vol-5-Issue 11 November

Published ^{new}

CALL FOR ARTICLE

Volume -5-Issue 12 December-2018

^{new}



Freely Accessible Scientific Papers.

QUICK NAVIGATION

[Home](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Guide to Authors](#)

[Current Issue](#)

[Archives](#)

[Indexing](#)

[Submit Article](#)

[Contact](#)

[Advertise with us](#)

Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.2018.5(4): 47-52

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.007>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

8. S. Mahalakshmi, M. Prakash and N. Karmegam

Amelioration of Allelopathic Effect of *Lantana camara* L. on Germination, Seedling Growth and Chlorophyll Contents of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Conrad Moench Using Vermicompost

Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.2018.5(4): 53-59

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.008>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

9. Mani Santhoshkumar, Logalakshmanan Baskaran, Thangavelu Mahakavi and Rajendren Bakiyaraj

Assessment of Phytoremediation Potential of Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) in Chlorpyrifos Polluted Soil

Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.2018.5(4): 60-71

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.009>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

10. P.Manivannan, S. Tamilarasan, V. Ravi and L. Baskaran


Depression of Growth and Pigment Content of *Sorghum vulgare* L. (White Sorghum) by Salt Stress

Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.2018.5(4): 72-80


DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.010>

[\[View Abstract\]](#) [\[View Full Text-PDF\]](#)

Terms & Conditions



IJCRBP is now DOI (CrossRef) registered Research Journal. The DOIs are assigned to all published IJCRBP Articles.

	Online ISSN : 2349-8080 Issues : 12 per year Publisher : Excellent Publishers Email : editorinchiefijcrbp@gmail.com
---	---

Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol. 2018.5(4): 24-29 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.004>

Integrated Agricultural System Study on Crops: Intercropping of Corn - Peanut and Beef Cattle Fattening

Syamsul Bahri^{1*}, Asmuiddin Natsir², Nurani Sirajuddin² and Syamsuddin Hasan²

¹Graduate Program of Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

¹Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agriculture, State University of Gorontalo, Indonesia

²Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

*Corresponding author

Abstract:

The objectives of the study were to investigate the effect of combination of compost and urea fertilizer, the level of leaf defoliation under cobs and their interaction with corn and peanut production, to examine the effect of combining corn waste and peanut waste on feed consumption, weight gain and beef cattle ration conversion and feasibility of integrated farming system between beef cattle with corn and peanut crops. This study was conducted for 8 months using Separate Plot Design with a leaf defoliation treatment under the cob as the main plot and the combination of fertilizer as a plot for the first stage and Randomized Design complete with ration mixture treatment of corn and peanut waste for the second phase trial. The results showed that the combination of fertilization yielded relatively equal production of maize and different peanuts while defoliation of 50% of the leaves under the cob and its interaction could increase the production of corn and peanuts, the combination of maize and peanut (50%: 50%) showed a better response to feed intake, weight gain and beef cattle rations conversion and was a viable farming commodity cultivated in an integrated farming system.

Keywords: Beef cattle, Compost, Integrated agriculture, Intercropping, Plant waste silage

Download this article as 

How to cite this article:

Bahri, S., Natsir, A., Sirajuddin, N., Hasan, S., 2018. Integrated agricultural system study on crops: Intercropping of corn - peanut and beef cattle fattening. *Int.J.Curr.Res.Biosci.Plantbiol.* 5(4): 24-29. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.004>


Print this Article
PDF Full Text
How to Cite this Article
on Google
Google Scholar



ANNOUNCEMENT

Vol-5-Issue 11 November
Published 

CALL FOR ARTICLE

Volume -5-Issue 12 Dec-2018 




QUICK NAVIGATION

Home
Editorial Board
Guide to Authors
Current Issue
Archives
Indexing
Submit Article
Contact
Advertise with us
Terms & Conditions



Copyright ©2014 reserved ijcrbp.com Powered by 7Cube Technologies



Original Research Article

doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.004>

Integrated Agricultural System Study on Crops: Intercropping of Corn - Peanut and Beef Cattle Fattening

Syamsul Bahri^{1*}, Asmuddin Natsir², Nurani Sirajuddin² and
Syamsuddin Hasan²

¹Graduate Program of Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

¹Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agriculture, State University of Gorontalo, Indonesia

²Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

***Corresponding author.**

Article Info

Date of Acceptance:
30 March 2018

Date of Publication:
06 April 2018

Keywords

Beef cattle
Compost
Integrated agriculture
Intercropping
Plant waste silage

ABSTRACT

The objectives of the study were to investigate the effect of combination of compost and urea fertilizer, the level of leaf defoliation under cobs and their interaction with corn and peanut production, to examine the effect of combining corn waste and peanut waste on feed consumption, weight gain and beef cattle ration conversion and feasibility of integrated farming system between beef cattle with corn and peanut crops. This study was conducted for 8 months using Separate Plot Design with a leaf defoliation treatment under the cob as the main plot and the combination of fertilizer as a plot for the first stage and Randomized Design complete with ration mixture treatment of corn and peanut waste for the second phase trial. The results showed that the combination of fertilization yielded relatively equal production of maize and different peanuts while defoliation of 50% of the leaves under the cob and its interaction could increase the production of corn and peanuts, the combination of maize and peanut (50%: 50%) showed a better response to feed intake, weight gain and beef cattle rations conversion and was a viable farming commodity cultivated in an integrated farming system.

Introduction

Indonesia's population from year to year continues to increase. The logical consequence is that the level of demand for vegetable and animal foods is growing, on the other hand in densely populated areas, the productive lands that are our foundation for increasing the production of food and non-food

commodities are shrinking. The rate of depreciation of agricultural land in Indonesia is accelerating due to land fragmentation or depreciation of agricultural land ownership as a system impact for inheritance and land conversion. The transfer of land from agricultural land to non-agricultural land occurs everywhere. This situation resulted in the increasingly pressing agricultural activities toward

marginal lands, especially dry land that has complex problems.

Judging from the technology developed today, it tends to lead to two opposite poles, namely: agriculture with high external input (green revolution) and agriculture with low external input (Reijntjes et al., 1999). Both of these technologies are developed in the end can have a very significant impact on the environment of farming. In addition, state support (power), international agencies and market support tend to favor monoculture, homogeneous, uniform farming systems with the use of new varieties that are responsive to fertilizers and pesticides (Sukara, 2003) but have significantly contributed to the destruction of nature and stoning agricultural systems that have been developed based on the wisdom of farming communities. The existing phenomenon provides an illustration that there should be a serious and intelligent effort to develop agriculture that is not only economically oriented but also considers the social feasibility and environmental sustainability. One of them is to use all the existing agricultural potential of both food crops and farms in a system integrated with technology both in the utilization of farm waste as organic fertilizer plants and utilization of agricultural waste as animal feed.

The potential of livestock as a provider of organic fertilizer is maximized through the processing of feces and urine as compost. Provision of compost fertilizer by reducing the use of artificial fertilizers to measure the effectiveness of its use has not been widely reported. Based on the above considerations, the first phase of the research was conducted to assess the effectiveness of compost fertilizer in corn and peanut crops with the intercropping pattern along with the study of corn leaf defoliation under the cob as a synergy effort between corn and peanut and shade minimization efforts. Potential of food crop agriculture, especially as food supplier and source of ruminants, the feed can be done by processing of food crop waste as animal feed in the form of silage based on local resources. This effort is done in order to anticipate the availability of feed that is still a constraint to the development of

ruminant livestock in Indonesia. The more narrow forage cultivation of forage because it is converted into the residential and industrial area also become one of the causes. Consequently, the quality and price of feed become fluctuated, further affecting the livestock products. So we need a feed preparation technology that not only holds the store but also contains nutrients in accordance with the needs of livestock. Utilization of raw material silage combination of corn and peanut byproducts with a certain ratio has not been applied by many farmers in the field. Based on the above considerations, a second phase study was conducted to examine the effect of silage combinations of corn and peanut byproducts on consumption, body weight gain and feed conversion of beef cattle. On the basis of the above-mentioned thinking, we conducted a study of the integrated farming system through the use of compost and silage waste food crops.

Materials and methods

The first stage is the utilization stage of cow dung, using Separate Plot Design (RPT) with the defoliation treatment of the leaf below the cob as the main plot and the combination of dosage of urea fertilizer and compost as the subplot. The treatment under study is as follows:

1. Leaf defoliation below the casks as mainp (main plot)

d0 = Without defoliation (control)

d1 = Defoliation 50%

d2 = Defoliation 100%

2. Combination of urea fertilizer dosage and compost as subplot (sub plot)

p0 = 250 kg Urea / ha + 3 tons of compost / ha

p1 = 230 kg Urea / ha + 6 tons of compost / ha

p2 = 210 kg Urea / ha + 9 tons of compost / ha

p3 = 190 kg Urea / ha + 12 tons of compost / ha

Each combination is repeated three times so that the total number is 36 plot experiments. Data were analyzed by using variance analysis and analysis of

continuation test of the smallest difference (BNT). The second stage is the utilization of corn waste and peanut waste in the form of silage and commercial concentrate of PT. The Brilliant Living order tested in 12 cows with 200-250 kg weight using complete randomized design (RAL) consisting of four treatments and three replications.

The four kinds of ration treatment are:

R0 = Silage corn + Combo

R1 = Silage combination LJ and LKT (60% + 40%) + Concentrate

R2 = Silage combination LJ and LKT (50% + 50%) + Concentrate

R3 = Silage combination LJ and LKT (40% + 60%) + Concentrate

Results and discussion

Corn waste production

Fig. 1 shows that treatment without defoliation with 6 tons of compost ha^{-1} (d0p2) tends to produce higher average yield of corn waste per hectare (9.49 tons ha^{-1}), while 50% defoliation treatment in corn leaves below cobs with 12 tons of ha^{-1} compost (d1p4) tended to produce a lower average yield of maize waste per hectare (7.26 tons ha^{-1}) than other treatments.




Fig. 1: Average production of fresh corn waste (ton ha^{-1}).

The existence of defoliation as an act of eliminating or reducing the leaves of less productive is one alternative to spur photosynthesis process which in turn will increase the production of planting, but decrease the production of maize waste. According to Nasaruddin (2002), the defoliation of leaves that are not effective in photosynthesis is intended to reduce internal competitors between the parts of the plants that become the users of assimilates, in addition to other purposes such as leaves can be used for ruminants feed or prevent the accumulation of plants so easy occupied nesting various pests and diseases.

Production of peanut waste

Table 1 shows that the interaction between 50% defoliation in corn leaves under cobs with 3 tons of compost ha^{-1} (d1p1) yields the highest average peanut per hectare production (24.08 ton ha^{-1}) but not significantly different other treatment. The percentage of leaf defoliation under the cob has no effect on the observation component of maize waste production and peanut waste production. While the interaction of the combination of fertilizer with defoliation percentage gives effect to peanut waste production.

Table 1. Average fresh production of peanut wastes (ton ha⁻¹).

Defoliation	Fertilization level			
	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
d ₀	20.90 ^{abcd}	19.73 ^{bcd}	19.61 ^{bcd}	22.51 ^{ab}
d ₁	24.08 ^a	21.91 ^{abcd}	20.25 ^{bcd}	19.74 ^{bcd}
d ₂	17.77 ^d	18.72 ^{cd}	21.58 ^{abc}	21.56 ^{abc}

Description: The numbers followed by the same letter mean not significantly different at the test level BNT= 0.05.

Defoliation of 50% and 3 tons of compost ha⁻¹ allows the growth of vegetative soil cultivated plants so that the habit of the plant becomes thick, eventually, vegetative organs such as stems and leaves produced more which causes the average production of plant waste generated also more. This is assumed by the combination of fertilizer and 50% defoliation is able to spur the rapid growth of vegetative plants peanuts, especially leaf and its completeness for photosynthesis. Linga (1991), suggests that nitrogen plays an important role in terms of leaf green formation which is very useful in the process of photosynthesis. Another function is to form proteins, fats, and various organic compounds. The capacity of photosynthesis increases with increasing number of leaves in

peanut plants (Salisbury and Ross, 1991).

Feed consumption

Table 2 shows that the combined silage treatment of corn and peanut waste (50%: 50%) (r₂) resulted in the highest average consumption of dry matter (6.81 kg/head/day) and significantly different from other treatments. The high consumption of ration (r₂) of combination silage of corn and peanut waste in the ratio of 50%: 50% is suspected because of the high level of palatability of livestock due to the quality of fermentation (physical and chemical) silage and nutritional quality are also good, especially the content of substances -the nutritional protein as in the proximate analysis of treatment rations.

Table 2. Average consumption of dry matter feed (kg/head/day).

Treatment	Average	BNT _{0.05}
r ₀	4.90 ^d	0.1401
r ₁	6.50 ^b	
r ₂	6.81 ^a	
r ₃	5.76 ^c	

Description: The numbers followed by the same letter mean not significantly different at the test level BNT = 0.05.

The average consumption of dry ration material in Balinese cattle with control ration, combined silage of corn and peanut syrup with various comparisons (60%: 40%), (50%: 50%) and (40%: 60%) were 4.90 kg / head / day, 6.50 kg / head / day, 6.81 kg / head / day and 5.76 kg / head / day. The dry matter consumption data on the r₂ treatment (50%: 50%) was able to exceed the consumption of control treatment feed (r₀), r₁ (60%: 40%) and r₃ (40%: 60%) where the control treatment showed the lowest consumption. Thus the treatment of silage combination of corn and peanut (r₂) waste in Bali cattle had higher

palatability level than treatment of r₀, r₁, and r₃. The level of feed consumption of a broiler is influenced by various complex factors, namely the animals themselves, the food is given and the environment in which the animals are kept and the factors that almost all of them are caused by the fermentation process. Substances that are negatively correlated with consumption levels include NH₃ concentrations, acetate, total VFA while the positively correlated with consumption rate is lactic acid. Besides silage dry matter and silage particle size also influence either directly or indirectly to consumption level (Parakkasi, 1999).

Weight gain

Table 3 shows that the combined silage treatment of corn and peanut waste (50%: 50%) (r₂) resulted in the highest average weight gain (0.80 kg/head/day) and the silage of maize (r₀) yielded flat the lowest weight gain (0.52 kg/head/day) and significantly different from other treatments. The increase in body weight can be due to the protein and energy requirements of the ration consumed has sufficient daily protein and beef cattle for live basic compared to the control treatment and other combination treatment.

Table 3. Average weight gain (kg / head / day).

Treatment	Average	BNT _{0.05}
r ₀	0.51 ^c	
r ₁	0.72 ^b	
r ₂	0.80 ^a	0.0378
r ₃	0.64 ^b	

Description: The numbers followed by the same letter mean not significantly different at the test level BNT = 0.05.

The increase in livestock weight can reflect the extent of the benefits of feed given to livestock. The increase of body weight in the treatment of silage combination of corn and peanut waste can be caused by protein and energy requirement from the ration consumed has sufficient daily requirement of protein and energy of beef cattle for basic life compared with control treatment and other combination treatment. In addition, increased weight gain is also suspected because the content of nutritional substances is higher than the control treatment so that protein nutrients contained in the combination treatment rations corn and peanuts can be digested and absorbed by the digestive tract in large amounts become heavy body compared with those wasted through feces and urine. It's just that the use of peanut wastes is limited to 50% percentage, although the peat treatment percentage of peanuts 60% has nutritional value better than other treatments. Percentage of peanut waste more than 50% in the field, seen cows that consume the feed feces become more dilute (diarrhea) and tend to reduce consumption. This is consistent with that

of Saun (1991), that cattle can be fed from legumes (beans) between 30-35% of the feed, because if given with the composition more than that the livestock will be excess Ca (calcium) and lack of other substances so better combined with corn waste.

Conclusion

Based on the results, analysis, and discussion of research conducted, it can be concluded as follows:

1. The combination of urea and compost fertilizers produces uniform corn and peanut waste production and there is an interaction between the combination of fertilization and the level of leaf defoliation below the cob in increasing the production of corn and peanut waste.
2. The combined ration of corn waste and peanut waste (50%: 50%) showed a better response than other rations on ration consumption, weight gain and feed conversion, with a peanut use limit of up to 50% when combined with waste corn.
3. Application of integrated agriculture through the technology of utilization of compost fertilizer and silage of food crop waste is feasible to be developed at farmers in order to support food security.

Conflict of interest statement

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Amali, N., Rohaeni, E. S., Darmawan, A., Sumanto, Subhan, A., Pagiyanto, Nurarwaliyah, S., 2003. An adaptive assessment of beef cattle in crop farming system in the dry land of South Kalimantan. BPTP South Kalimantan. Banjarbaru.
- Anwar, K., 2003. Management and utilization of livestock manure in increasing the production of food crops. Paper of Application of Agricultural

- Technology Package at BPTP Kalsel on 8 - 9 December 2003.
- AOAC [Association of Official Analytical Chemist], 1999. Official Methods of Analysis. Ed 16th. Washington: AOAC International.
- Gasparsz, V., 1999. Experimental Design Methods for Agricultural Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Biology. CV. Amico, Bandung.
- Hashemi-Dezfouli, A., Herbert, S.J., 1992. Intensifying plant density responses of corn with artificial shade. *Agron. J.* 84, 547-551.
- Kadekoh, I., 2003. Land use efficiency, calorie equivalent value, and protein at various times of maize defoliation and distance of peanut planting in intercropping system in different season. *J. Agricult.* 14, 99-105.
- Kadekoh, I., 2005. Sustainable agriculture development of dry land with intercropping pattern and aisle cultivation. Scientific Inauguration of Professor of Tadulako University. The University of Tadulako. Hammer.
- Najib, M., Rohaeni, E. S., Tarmudji, 1997. The role of cattle in crop farming system in a dry land. Proceedings of National Seminar on Livestock and Veterinary. Bogor. 18-19 November 1997. Volume II. Wageningen Agric. Univ., Wageningen, Netherland. pp.759-766.
- Pasaribu, T.B., Tangendjaja, Vienna, E., 1995. Silage sweet corn skin as sheep feed. Inside: Proceedings of National Seminar on Livestock Science and Technology. pp.170-175.
- Perry, T.W., Cullison, A.E., Lowrey, R.S., 2003. Feeds and Feeding. 6th Edn. Prentice Hall Inc., New Jersey.
- Rasjid, S., 2005. The Miracle of Ruminants. Scientific Inauguration of Professors. Presented at Hasanuddin University's Extraordinary Senate Open Meeting on May 7, 2005. Hasanuddin University Press.
- Reijntjes, C., Haverkart, B., Waters, B., 1999. Agriculture of the Future. Introduction to Sustainable Agriculture with Low External Input. Kanisius, Yogyakarta.
- Rohaeni, E. S., Amali, N., Subhan, A., 2006. Fermented Maize Jenggel as Alternative Feed for Cattle in the Dry Season. Inside: Proceedings of National Workshop on Development Network of Corn-Cow Integration System; Pontianak, 9-10 August 2006. Puslitbangnak. Agriculture Department. pp.193-196.
- Sutanto, R., 2002. Organic Farming towards Alternative and Sustainable Agriculture. Kanisius, Yogyakarta.

How to cite this article:

Bahri, S., Natsir, A., Sirajuddin, N., Hasan, S., 2018. Integrated agricultural system study on crops: Intercropping of corn - peanut and beef cattle fattening. *Int. J. Curr. Res. Biosci. Plant Biol.* 5(4), 24-29. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrbp.2018.504.004>