UPLIFT RATE OF LIMESTONE GORONTALO INDONESIA BASED ON BIOSTRATIGRAPHY ANALYSIS

by Aang Panji Permana

Submission date: 22-Mar-2019 04:04PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1097785173 File name: proposed_new_journal_ok.pdf (178K) Word count: 2450 Character count: 13820

PALEONTOLOGY

UPLIFT RATE OF LIMESTONE GORONTALO INDONESIA BASED ON BIOSTRATIGRAPHY ANALYSIS

Aang Panji Permana^{a*,b}, Subagyo Pramumijoyo^{b*}, Akmaluddin^{b*}

^aGeological Engineering Department, Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia
 ^bGeological Engineering Department, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Corresponding author : aang@ung.ac.id, bagyo@ugm.ac.id, akmaluddin@gmail.com

ABSTRAK

The uplift rate of Gorontalo limestone is highly dependent on the completeness of age and depth data. All data needed can be obtained based on complete biostratigraphy analysis. The research material used was a 24 meter thick limestone outcrop. The aim of the research was to determine the absolute age of limestone, paleobathimetry and uplift rate of limestone in the research area. The three methods used consisting of the measured section (MS), biostratigraphy analysis and tectonic analysis. Biodatum in the limestone is only one, namely LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*. Determination of paleobathimetry using two methods. The uplift rate of limestone is 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

Keywords : Uplift Rate, Limestone, Gorontalo, Biostratigraphy

INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian archipelago is geologically the center of the meeting of three of world's main active plates, the Indian-Australian Plate which moves north-northeast, the Pacific Ocean Plate moves west-northwest and the Eurasian Continent Plate is almost static [1,2,3,4].

Sulawesi Island, which is located in the central part of the Indonesian Archipelago resembles the letter K. This form is influenced by the movement of the three main plates of the world. Based on regional physiography, Sulawesi Island is divided into South Arm, Middle Part, North Arm, East Arm, Southeast Arm and Neck [4].

Gorontalo which is part of the North Arm of Sulawesi has very complex geological conditions due to tectonic influences. The spread of quarter age limestone in the Gorontalo plains and Pliocene-Plistocene limestone around Lake Limboto are evident. The effect of tectonics is very strong, especially from the position of reef limestone that is quarter age in the Gorontalo region. This reef limestone undergoes a very strong elevation, proven by field data near Gorontalo and the northern coast of Tanjung Daka [4,5,6].

The influence of tectonics on uplift rate limestone in Gorontalo has yet to be known how fast until now. This is inseparable from the data of limestone of Gorontalo that is regionally by dividing it into two large formations without knowing the absolute age and paleobathimetry so that the uplift rate of Gorontalo limestone is unknown. For this reason, based on the background, three main objectives of this research were raised, which are, to find out the absolute age of limestones, to know paleobathimetry and uplift rate of limestone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research location is in Yosonegoro region, Gorontalo Regency, which is the northern part of the Lake Limboto basin with coordinates (00° 39' 6.7222" North, 122° 54' 50.0385" East) to (00° 39' 6.9397" North, 122° 54' 57.5275" East). 24 meter high limestone outcrop research material. The research method carried out consisting of three methods namely the measured section (MS), biostratigraphy analysis and tectonic analysis. The MS method measures the detail of the coating using a jacob's staff at 1.5 meter intervals by systematic lithology sampling from the oldest to the youngest rocks [7,8]. Biostratigraphy analysis using the Olympus SZ61 binocular microscope to identify the types of fossil planktonic and benthonic foraminifera in determining the age of rocks and paleobathimetry [9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19]. Tectonic analysis to calculate the uplift rate based on absolute age data of limestone, paleobathimetry and current position of elevation [20,21,22].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Age

The measured section results at the research location helped to determine the thickness of one stratigraphy pathway and determine the exact position of the sample for biostratigraphy analysis. A total of 10 samples that carried out biostratigraphy analysis based on facies obtained from measured section results. The samples taken certainly contain plangtonic foraminifera fossils and bentonic based on grain size and the content of foraminifera fossils.

Biostratigraphy analysis in 10 limestone samples contained 17 types of planktonic foraminifera fossil . The distribution of 17 types of planktonic foraminifera fossil can be seen in Figure 1. Based on Figure 1, interpretation and analysis of the distribution of the biostratigraphy zone and the position of the biodatum in the stratigraphy pathway can be done. The research location is divided into two biostratigraphy zones, with details as follows:

1. Zone PL2

Definition : The upper boundary of PL2 zone is not found. The lower boundary is LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*.

Discussion : This zone is *Globoquadrina dehiscens* partial range zone. Zone PL2 (part) is equivalent to the zone PL2 [14] and zone N19 [23]. This zone represents the youngest planktonic foraminifera (top). LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* [14,24] were found in 3G samples. The contents of fossil association in this zone are *Globigerinoides immaturus* (de Leroy), *Globigerinoides quadrilobatus* (d'Orbigny), *Globigerinoides ruber* (d'Orbigny), *Globigerinoides ruber* (d'Orbigny), *Globigerinoides quadrilobatus* (d'Orbigny), *Globorotalia humerosa* (Takayanagi and Saito), *Globorotalia plesiotumida* (Blow and Banner), *Pulleniatina pracursor* (Banner and Blow) and *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* (Parker and Jones). In this sample also found rework fossils in the form of *Globorotalia juanai* (Bermudez and Bolli) and *Globorotalia menardii* (d'Orbigny in Parker, Jones and Brady). The presence of this species is estimated to be reworks originating from older rocks. The thickness of this zone is 13 meters (interval 11-24 meters) **Age :** Early Pliocene, ? – 5,80 Ma.

2. Zone PL1

Definition : The upper boundary is LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*. The lower boundary is not found.

Discussion : This zone is *Globoquadrina dehiscens* partial range zone. Zone PL1 is equivalent to the zone PL1 [14] and zone N18 [23]. The initial datum of this zone is not found. At the end of this zone is LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* [14,24]. LO

Globoquadrina dehiscens was found in 3G samples. The presence of *Globoquadrina dehiscens* in samples of 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E, 3F and 3G. This species was not found in the samples above, namely 3H, 3I and 3J samples.

The contents of fossil association in this zone are Globigerinoides immaturus (de Leroy), Globigerinoides quadrilobatus (d'Orbigny), Globigerinoides quadrilobatus (deOrbigny), Globigerinoides (d'Orbigny), Globigerinoides ruber sacculiferus (Brady), Globigerinoides subquadratus (Bronnimann), Globorotalia acostaensis (Blow), Globorotalia humerosa (Takayanagi and Saito), Globorotalia tumida tumida (Brady), Hastigerina aequilateralis (Brady), and Pulleniatina pracursor (Banner and Blow). In this sample also found rework fossil in the form of Globorotalia continuosa (Blow) and Globorotalia juanai (Bermudez and Bolli). The presence of this species is estimated to be reworks originating from older rocks. The thickness of this zone is 11 meters (interval 1 - 11 meters).

Age: Early Pliocene. 5,80 - ? Ma.

Figure 1. Distribution chart dan biozonation planktonic foraminifera in research area

Based on the division of two biostratigraphy zones, the position of the biodatum of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* was found at a thickness of 11 meters, namely the 3G sample. Based on the classification of [13] the absolute age of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* was 5.80 Ma. After knowing the absolute age, the interpretation of the position of the elevation location of the study and paleobathimetry were interpreted in the 3G sample.

B. Research Elevation Position

The elevation position of the research location is based on direct measurements using the Global Positioning System (GPS) which is 70 meters.

C. Paleobathimetry

The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample based on biostratigraphy analysis refers to two methods, namely the method of [9] and the combined method of [11,25]. The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample refers to the method of [9] using the calculation of the formula equation $D = e^{(0.0061.P + 1.25)}$. D value is depth while P is the pelagic ratio. The requirement for using this formula equation is the P value must be known from the comparison of the content of the number of fossils of planktonic and benthic foraminifera. The P value in sample 3G after being calculated is 75% so that the value of D or paleobathimetry can be known 338.661 meters.

The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample refers to the method of [11] based on the overlay of benthic foraminifera fossil content. The fossil content of benthic foraminifera consists of *Fijinonion fijiense* (Cushman and Edwards), *Gyrodinoides soldanii* (d'Orbigny), *Melonis affinis* (Reuss), *Nonion fabum* (Fichtel and Moll), *Pararotalia venusta* (Brady), *Praeglobobulimina ovata* (d'Orbigny), *Rhabdammina discreata* (Brady) and *Saccorhiza ramosa* (Brady). Based on the content of benthic foraminifera fossil, paleobathimetry is obtained in the upper slope zone with a depth of 283.65-366 meters. The Paleobathimetry obtained is still in the range of depth, then the paleobathimetry correction curve [25] was used by looking for the middle value (median) obtained 324.825 meters

D. Uplift Rate

Calculation of the uplift rate in the research area was carried out by comparing the total depth of the limestone since it was formed or deposited until this time divided by the

absolute age of the limestone. The total depth value of the limestone summed the thickness of the biodatum position from MS result with the current elevation position and paleobathimetry. Calculation of limestone elevation using two data paleobathimetry namely the method of [9] and the combined method of [11,25]. The results of calculating the limestone uplift can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Calculation Comparison of Limestone Uplift Rate

Comparative analysis of the uplift rate of limestone using both methods produces almost the same results. Difference in the ratio of the two uplift rates if rounded 1: 1 or the uplift range 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

ACKNOWLEDGE

I would like to thank *Educational Fund Management Agency* (LPDP) for helping me provide the educational scholarships through *Indonesian lecturer main scholarship* (BUDI DN).

CONCLUSION

Biozonation of plangtonic foraminifera in the research area consisted of two, namely PL 1 Zone and PL 2 Zone with biodatum of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* (5.80 Ma) equivalent to the Early Pliocene. The depth of limestone was calculated based on the calculation of the total position of the biodatum of MS results with the current elevation position and paleobathimetry. Based on the data of absolute age and limestone depth since it was formed until it was elevated up to its current position, the uplift rate of limestone in the study area ranged from 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

REFERENCES

- Hamilton W. (1979) Tectonics of the Indonesian region. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1078, U.S. Govern. Printing Office, Washington. U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 1078. Pp 345.
- Silver EA., McCaffrey R., Smith RB. (1983a) Collision, rotation and the intiation of subduction in the evolution of Sulawesi, Indonesia, J. Geophysic, Res. 88, B11 : 9407-9418.
- Hutchison CS. (1989) Geological evolution of Southeast Asia. Oxford Monograph on Geology and Geophysicc no 13, Oxford. Pp 368.
- Sukamto R., Ratman N. (2013) Quartenary rock, In : Surono., Hartono U (Eds), Sulawesi geology, Center for Surveying Geological Resources, LIPI Press : 110-112.
- Katili JA. (1970) Large transcurrent faults in southeast asia with special reference to Indonesia. International Journal of earth Science, (59), Issue 2 : 581–600.
- Bachri S., Partoyo E., Bawono SS., Sukarna D., Surono., Supandjono JB. (1997) Regional geology of Gorontalo, North Sulawesi. Collection of research and mapping results papers, Center for geological research and development : 18-30.
- 7. Compton RR. (1985) Geology in the field. Wiley Press-New York. Pp. 416.
- Bukhsianidze M., Chagelishvili R., Lordkipanidze D. (2018) Late Miocene Vertebrate Site of Chachuna Iori valley, Georgia, Southern Caucasus, Bulletin of the Georgian National Academy of Sciences, vol. 12 (2) : 70-75..
- Van Marle LJ., Van Hinte JE., Nederbragt AJ. (1987) Plankton percentage of the foraminiferal fauna in seafloor samples from the Australian-Irian Jaya continental margin, eastren Indonesia," Marine Geology, vol. 77 : 151-156.
- Van der Zwaan GJ., Jorissen FJ., De Stigter HC. (1990) The depth dependency of planktonic/benthic foraminiferal ratios: constraints and applications, Marine Geology, vol. 95 : 1-16.

- Jones RW. (1994) The Challenger Foraminifera. Oxford : Oxford University Press.
 p. 149.
- Chaisson WP., Pearson PN. (1997) Planktonic foraminifer biostratigraphy at site 925: middle miocene-pleistocene. In : Shackleton, N.J., Curry, W.B., Richter, C., and Bralower, T.J. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, Vol. 154 : 3-31.
- Nichols G. (2009). Sedimentology and Stratigraphy. London : Blackwell Science Ltd. Pp 335.
- Wade BS., Pearson P N., Berggren W., Palike H. (2011) Review and revision of cenozoic tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and calibration to the geomagnetic polarity and astronomical time scale. Earth Science Riview, 104 : 111-142.
- Chunlian L., Yi H., Jie W., Guoquan Q., Tinting Y., Lianze X., Suqing Z. (2012) Miocene-pliocene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Pearl River Mouth Basin, Northern South China Sea, Journal of Paleogeography, vol 1(1): 43-56.
- Ghosh AK., Sarkar S. (2013) Facies analysis and paleoenvironmental interpretation of Piacenzian carbonate deposits from the Guitar Formation of Car Nicobar Island, India, Geoscience Frontiers 4 : 755-764.
- Martins MVA., Silva F., Laut LLM., Frontalini F., Clemente IMMM., Miranda P., Figueira R., Sousa SHM., Dias JAM. (2015) Response of benthic foraminifera to organic matter quantity and quality and bioavailable concentrations of metals in Aveiro Lagoon (Portugal), PLoS ONE 10 (2): 1-23.Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118077.
- Roozpeykar A., Moghaddam IM. (2016) Benthic Foraminifera as Biostratigrpahical and Paleoecological Indicators : An Example from Oligo-Miocene Deposits in the SW of Zagros Basin, Iran, Geoscience Frontiers 7 : 125-140.

- Oladimeji A., Adeyinka SA., Adekeye OA., Olesegum O., Emmanuel OF. (2017) Foraminifera Biostratrigraphy and Depositional Environment of Sediment in Sile Well Offshore Dahomey Basin Benin Republic, Mayfeb Journal of Environmental Science, (Vol 1): 18-33.
- Berggren W.A. (1992) Paleogene planktonic foraminifera magnetobiostratigraphy of the Southern Kerguelen Plateau (Sites 747–749). In Wise, S.W., Jr., Schlich, R., et al., Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 120 (Pt. 2): College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program) : 551–568.
- Barianto DH., Kuncoro P., Watanabe K. (2010) The use of foraminifera fossils for reconstructing the Yogyakarta graben, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, J. SE Asian Apl. Geol, vol 2(2) : 138-143.
- Shen CC., Wu CC., Dai CF., Gong SY. (2018) Variable uplift rate through time: Holocene coral reef and neotectonics of Lutao, eastern Taiwan, Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 156 : 201-206.
- 23. Blow WH. (1969) Late middle eocene to recent planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, In: Brönnimann, P., Renz, H.H. (Eds.). Proceedings of the First International Conference on Planktonic Microfossils, E.J. Brill, Leiden, 1:199–422.
- Berggren WA., Hilgen FJ., Langereis CG., Kent DV., Obradovich JD., Raffi I., Raymo ME., Shackleton NJ. (1995a) Late neogene chronology: new perspectives in highresolution stratigraphy. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull, 107 : 1272–1287.
- Van Hinte J.E. (1978) Geohistory Analysis Application of Micropaleontology in Exploration Geology, The American Association of Petroleum Geologist Bulletin, vol.62, No.2 : 201-222.



Method	Paleobathimetry (meters)	Elevation (meters)	Biodatum Position (meters)	Uplift Rate (mm/year)	Rate Comparison
[9]	338.661	70	11	0.0724	1:1
[11,25]	324.825	70	11	0.0699	1:1

UPLIFT RATE OF LIMESTONE GORONTALO INDONESIA BASED ON BIOSTRATIGRAPHY ANALYSIS

ORIGINALITY REPORT

6	%	4%	5%	1%
SIMILA	RIT Y INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICAT IONS	STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	nh.kanag	gawa-museum.jp ^e)	2%
2	Sulawes for geod	illeneuve. "Geolo i belt (eastern In ynamic models", Sciences, 05/01	donesia): cons International	straints
3	WWW.OM	icsonline.org		1%
4	WWW.ejg			<1%
5	of the Ba 13.0 Ma) foramini δ13C) ev	Báldi. "Paleocean adenian (Middle in the Central P fera and stable i vidence", Interna s, 02/2006	Miocene, 16.4 Paratethys base sotope (δ18Ο	_ \ \ ed on and

Nigam, R.. "Planktonic percentage of

foraminiferal fauna in surface sediments of the
Arabian Sea (Indian Ocean) and a regional
model for paleodepth determination",
Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
Palaeoecology, 199201
Publication



Bandy, Orville L., Richard E. Casey, and Ramil C. Wright. "Late Neogene planktonic zonation, magnetic reversals, and radiometric dates, Antarctic to the tropics", Antarctic Research Series, 1971. Publication

www.city.mizunami.gifu.jp

- Eade, James V., and Willem J. M. van der Linden. "Sediments and stratigraphy of deepsea cores from the Tasman Basin", New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 1970.
 Publication
- Hammad Tariq Janjuhah, Abubaker Alansari, Priveen Raj Santha. "Interrelationship Between Facies Association, Diagenetic Alteration and Reservoir Properties Evolution in the Middle Miocene Carbonate Build Up, Central Luconia, Offshore Sarawak, Malaysia", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2018 Publication



<1%

<1%

7

Internet Source

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		