Submit

-----Original Message-----From: Webmaster [mailto:Do_Not_reply@neptjournal.com] Sent: Sunday, January 27, 2019 1:11 PM To: contact@neptjournal.com Subject: submit paper - neptjournal.com

Subject : submit paper - neptjournal.com

Name of Author : Sulastri Arsad

Residential Address : Fakultas Perikanan dan Ilmu Kelautan Universitas Brawijaya JI. veteran City : Malang State : East Java Pincode : 65145 country : Indonesia Tel No. : +62-341-553512 Mobile : -8524041326 Email Id : sulastriarsad@ub.ac.id

Title of Paper : Horizontal distribution of chlorophyll-α in the Gorontalo Bay Authors of the paper in sequence as in paper : Miftahul Khair Kadim, Nuralim Pasisingi, and Sulastri Arsad Institution where the work has been carried out : Universitas Negeri Gorontalo

Paper URL : http://www.neptjournal.com/attach/Horizontal distribution of Chlorophyll-a in the Gorontalo bay.docx

IP Address : 125.166.119.222 Broswer : Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/71.0.3578.98 Safari/537.36

1	Horizontal distribution of chlorophyll-a in the Gorontalo Bay
2	
3	Miftahul Khair Kadim ¹ , Nuralim Pasisingi ¹ and Sulastri Arsad ²
4	¹ Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Fisheries and Marine Science Faculty,
5	Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Gorontalo 96128, Indonesia
6	² Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Fisheries and Marine Science Faculty,
7	Universitas Brawijaya, Malang 65145, Indonesia
8	Email: khairkadim@gmail.com, pasisinginuralim@gmail.com, sulastriarsad@ub.ac.id
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
28 29	
29 30	
30 31	
32	
52	

33 Abstract

The concentration of chlorophyll- α in the Gorontalo Bay is necessary to be observed since it could describe the condition of water richness. The semi-enclosed Gorontalo Bay morphology causes the status of water fertility to be largely determined by the input of inorganic or organic materials originating from the mainland. This study aimed to figure out the concentration and horizontal distribution pattern of the chlorophyll- α then further to decide the relationship between the concentration of chlorophyll- α and the nutrient in the Gorontalo Bay. There were fifteen sub sampling sites selected based on coastal and ecological characteristics. Results showed that the distribution pattern of chlorophyll- a in the Gorontalo Bay in June and July 2017 was dissimilar and its concentration ranged from 0.984 to 3.744 mg m⁻³. In addition, there was a positive and substantial relationship between chlorophyll- α and phosphate (p<0.01). Nonetheless, there was no significant correlation between chlorophyll- α and nitrate (p>0.01) and ammonia (p>0.01). Keywords: *ammonia*, *bay*, *chlorophyll*-α *distribution*, *nitrate*, *phosphate*

65 Introduction

Bay ecosystems are very dynamic due to the influence from the mainland and human impacts (Madin et al. 2016; Österblom et al. 2017). Physical, chemical, and biological conditions depending on inputs which derived from land activities. Ecosystem balance and sustainability of aquatic biota of bay become an essential aspect that must be maintained. Water fertility is one point that contributes greatly in ensuring the balance of the marine ecosystem. The distribution of chlorophyll- α on the water surface is an indicator which can be used to estimate water fertility.

Geographically Gorontalo Bay is a part of Tomini Bay, morphologically 73 Gorontalo Bay is semi-enclosed waters then likely that the profile of surface 74 chlorophyll- α distribution in these waters is local in type and different from the 75 distribution of chlorophyll- α in Tomini Bay in general. The two main points that 76 underlie the importance of studying the distribution of chlorophyll-a in the Gorontalo 77 Bay are ecological and economic roles. Ecologically, the Gulf of Gorontalo is still semi-78 79 encircled waters to enable inputs from terrestrial activities that carry organic or inorganic materials are very decisive for water fertility. Human activities in the 80 81 mainland around the waters of Gorontalo Bay relatively varied. Output and waste from human activities containing organic or inorganic materials flow into the waters through 82 83 rivers and streams during rain (Cloern et al. 2016).

84 Gorontalo Bay has an economical purpose as this bay is a strategic zone for fishing by local fishermen. Local people whose main livelihoods are fishermen depend 85 severely on catches. The availability of the abundant and varied fish of the bay is 86 meanderingly influenced by the availability of diet. Phytoplankton, the autotrophic part 87 of the plankton community, is a key element in oceanic ecosystems and in 88 biogeochemical cycling (Beltran-Heredia et al. 2017). The presence of it as the food of 89 fish is determined by the water fertility aspect that can be reflected from the distribution 90 of chlorophyll-a. 91

92 The purpose of this study was to determine the concentration and horizontal
93 distribution pattern of chlorophyll-α and to figure out the correlation between
94 chlorophyll-α and nutrient concentrations in the Gorontalo Bay.

95

96 Materials and Methods

Water samples were carried out twice in June and July 2017. Water samples 97 were collected on five stations with dissimilar in land use. In the main land near from 98 site 1, there are rare resident settlements; near, from site 2 there are no human activities 99 yet because of a steep cliff presence. Moreover, land use near from the site 3 is Bone 100 River estuary. In the site 4 there is an oil port and in the site 5 there are densely 101 populated settlements. Sampling at each station was performed out on 3 sub-stations by 102 dragging the line-transect to the offshore as presented on the sampling map on the 103 104 Figure1.

105 Water samples for the analysis of chlorophyll- α and nutrient concentration were 106 collected horizontally towing at fifteen points then compiled into five sample bottles 107 then was taken at Hydrobiology Laboratory, Environment and Waters Biotechnology 108 division, Universitas Brawijaya for chlorophyll- α analyses and nutrient contents by 109 referring to APHA (Rice at al. 2012) standard procedure.

110 Data Analysis

111 Data were analyzed by statistical software SPSS and Microsoft office Excel 112 2010. Data were subjected by correlate and bi-variety test to find significant relationship 113 between chlorophyll- α and nutrient concentration, while t-test to find significant 114 distinction between nutrients concentration during sampling (p<0.05) considered being 115 significantly different. In addition, the distribution of chlorophyll- α concentrations were 116 visualized by *ArcGIS* version.10 software with spatial interpolation technique.

117

118 **Results and Discussions**

119 Concentration and distribution of chlorophyll-a in the Gorontalo Bay

120 The concentration of chlorophyll- α among the five stations was varied ranged 121 from 0.984 to 3.740 mg m⁻³ during two sampling times, June and July (Fig. 2). 122 Averagely, the concentration of Chlorophyll- α recorded during sampling was higher in 123 July than that in June. Furthermore, the value of chlorophyll- α in the station 4 in July 124 was the highest with the number of 3.744 mg m⁻³, on the other hand the lowest 125 concentration was in the station 5 in June with the number of 0.9844 mg m⁻³.

126 The chlorophyll- α surface concentration in the Gorontalo Bay in June was 127 higher than that of chlorophyll- α in July. This is because a day before the sampling in 128 July there was rain, therefore the inorganic materials that are the source of food for phytoplankton come in the bay waters through run-off. It is generally accepted that
phytoplankton biomass can be reflected by concentration of chlorophyll-a (Larsson et
al. 2017).

Being the predominant pigment in phytoplankton cells, chlorophyll- α has been long used as a proxy for estimating the standing stocks of phytoplankton biomass in the water column. The latter is of major importance, as phytoplankton plays a central role in the structure and functioning of aquatic ecosystems, while its abundance reflects the tropic status of a water body (Mandalakis et al. 2017). Moreover, Figure 3 depicts that chlorophyll- α in June 2017 was different from that of July 2017.

Based on the visualization results of horizontal distribution of chlorophyll- α 138 139 (Fig. 3), it showed that the chlorophyll- α distributed highly in the western area of the bay in June 2017 and in the eastward revealed low concentration. Furthermore, different 140 trend was shown in July 2017 as there was a change in the horizontal distribution 141 pattern of chlorophyll- α . In July the eastern part of the waters has a high concentration 142 143 of chlorophyll- α , while in the west it has low chlorophyll-a value. This fact indirectly indicates that the tropic status on the west and east part of Gorontalo Bay in these two 144 145 months is altered.

146 The main factor suspected to cause this spreading pattern distribution is a 147 seasonal reversing wind season. Local fishermen informed that in June 2017 it was the 148 end of west season where the local wind moves from eastward to westward. Otherwise, 149 in July it was the east wind season where the wind blows from westward to eastward. Allahdadi et al. (2017) identified that coastal current and its spatial distribution were 150 151 significantly affected by open boundary conditions. Wind controls the movement of water in semi-enclosed bay of large shallow Lake Taihu, China (Li et al. 2017). The 152 153 movement of wind causes a water mass transfer in the semi-enclosed Gorontalo bay which has chlorophyll- α . 154

155

156 Relationship between chlorophyll-a and nutrient concentrations in the Gorontalo157 Bay

The concentrations of ammonia, nitrate and phosphate in the waters of Gorontao Bay in June and July of 2017 are relatively various. In the Gulf of Gorontalo in June and July, the ammonia concentration was 0.116 ± 0.213 mg m⁻³ with the least value of 0.035 161 mg m⁻³ and the greatest value of 0.336 mg m⁻³. Nitrate concentration was $0.091 \pm 0.074 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ with the lowest number of 0.042 mg m⁻³ and the highest number of 0.146 mg/m³. The concentration of phosphate was $0.047 \pm 0.095 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ with the smallest concentration of 0.003 mg m⁻³ and the highest value of 0.137 mg m⁻³. Phosphate concentrations in waters are lower than nitrogen (ammonia and nitrate). This is because the phosphorus has been considered a key limiting nutrient in marine systems (Redfield 1958).

The relationship between chlorophyll- α concentration with nutrient, ammonia, 168 nitrate, and phosphate is presented in Figure 4. It can be seen from that figures that there 169 is a negative correlation between chlorophyll- α and ammonia and nitrate. Although 170 based on t-test results in the data showed no significant correlation of chlorophyll- α 171 concentrations to ammonia and nitrate. The determination coefficient between 172 chlorophyll- α and ammonia and nitrate was 40% and 21% respectively. Balali et al. 173 174 (2013) stated that there is a significant negative correlation between chlorophyll- α and nitrate ($R^2 = 26.1\%$) and ammonia ($R^2 = 11\%$) as the amount of chlorophyll- α was high 175 the amounts of nitrate and ammonia were in the lowest. 176

177 Otherwise, a positive correlation is indicated by the relationship between 178 chlorophyll- α concentration and phosphate concentration in the waters of the Gorontalo 179 Bay with a coefficient of determination of 94%. Furthermore, there is a positive 180 correlation between chlorophyll- α and phosphate in the waters (Magumba at al. 2013; 181 Hakanson & Eklund 2010; Davis & Cornwell 1991).

182

183 Conclusions

184 There was a positive and significant relationship between chlorophyll- α and 185 phosphate (p<0.01) but there was no significant correlation between chlorophyll- α and 186 nitrate (p>0.01) and ammonia (p>0.01) in the Gorontalo Bay in June and July 2017. In 187 addition, the chlorophyll- α concentration in the Gorontalo Bay in June and July 2017 188 ranged from 0.984 to 3.744 mg L⁻¹.

- 189
- 190
- 191
- 192

- 193 **References**
- 194

Allahdadi MN, Jose F, D'Sa EJ, Ko DS (2017) Effect of wind, river discharge, and
outer-shelf phenomena on circulation dynamics of the Atchafalaya Bay and shelf.
Ocean Engineering 129:567-580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2016.10.035

- 198
- 199 Balali S, Hoseini SA, Ghorbani R, Kordi H (2013) Relationships between Nutrients and
- Chlorophyll a Concentration in the International Alma Gol Wetland, Iran. J Aquac Res
 Development 4:173. doi:10.4172/2155-9546.1000173
- 202
- 203 Beltrán-Heredia E, Aksnes DL, Cao FJ (2017) Phytoplankton size scaling with nutrient
- 204 concentration. Marine Ecology Progress Series 571:59-64.
- 205 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12132
- Cloer JE, Abreu PC, Carstensen J, Chauvaud L, Elmgren R, Grall J, Greening H,
 Johansson JOR, Kahru M, Sherwood ET, Xu J, Yin K (2016) Human activities and
 climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's estuarine–coastal
 ecosystems. Global Change Biology 22: 513–529. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13059
- 210
- Davis ML and Cornell DA (1991) *Introduction to Environmental Engineering*. Second
 edition. Mc-Graw-Hill, Inc., New York. 822 p.
- 213
- Hakanson L and Eklund JM (2010) Relationships between chlorophyll, salinity,
 phosphorus, and nitrogen in lakes and marine areas. *Journal of Coastal Research* 263:
 412–423. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2112/08-1121.1
- 217
- 218 Larsson ME, Ajani PA, Rubio AM, Guise K, McPherson RG, Brett SJ, Davies KP,
- 219 Doblin MA (2017) Long-term perspective on the relationship between phytoplankton


and nutrient concentrations in a southeastern Australian estuary. Marine Pollution

- 221 Bulletin 114(1): 227-238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.011
- 222

220

Li Y, Jalil A, Du W, Gao X, Wang J, Luo L, Li H, Dai S, Hashim S, Yu Z, Acharya K
(2017) Wind induced reverse flow and vertical profile characteristics in a semi-enclosed

225	bay of large shallow Lake Taihu, China. Ecological Engineering 102: 224-233.
226	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.02.022
227	
228	Madin EMP, Dill LM, Ridlon AD, Heithaus MR, Warner RR (2016) Human activities
229	change marine ecosystems by altering predation risk. Global Change Biology 22: 44-
230	60. doi:10.1111/gcb.13083
231	
232	Magumba D, Maruyama A, Takagaki M, Kato A, Kikuchi M (2013) Relationships
233	between Chlorophyll-a, Phosphorus and Nitrogen as Fundamentals for Controlling
234	Phytoplankton Biomass in Lakes. Environmental Control in Biology 51(4):179-185.
235	doi: 10.2525/ecb.51.179
236	
237	Mandalakis M, Stravinskaitė A, Lagaria A (2017) Ultrasensitive and high-throughput
238	analysis of chlorophyll-a in marine phytoplankton extracts using a fluorescence
239	microplate reader. Anal Bioanal Chem 409:4539–4549.
240	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0392-9
241	
242	Österblom H, Crona BI, Folke C, Nystrom M, Troell M (2017) Marine Ecosystem
243	Science on an Intertwined Planet. Ecosystems 20: 54-61.
244	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-9998-6
245	
246	Redfield AC (1958) The biological control of chemical factors in the environment. Am
247	Sci 46: 205-221
248	
249	Rice EW, Baird RB, Eaton AD, Clesceri LS. (2012). APHA (American Public Health
250	Association): Standard Method for The Examination of Water and Wastewater 22th ed.
251	Washington DC (US): AWWA (American Water Works Association) and WEF (Water
252	Environment Federation)
253	
254	
255	
256	










Figure 3. Horizontal distribution of chlorophyll-a in the Gorontalo Bay in June and July

TALC




Figure 4. Simple correlation between chlorophyll-a and (i) NO₃ (ii) NH₃ and PO₄ (iii) concentration in the Gorontalo Bay