2. Analysis of student problem solving skills on physics concepts in SMP/MTs through blended learning early teaching during the covid-19 pandemic

									•	ICRLP 2 IOP Journal	(ICRLP 2	M Revisi /						
ightarrow $ ightarrow$ $ ig$	l.google.com/n	nail/u/0/#	#search/i	icrlp.fmi	pa.unp.a	c.id/FMfcgxw	KjBRFZg	CWqnBXN	MQPCrz	fnrgkB						Ċ	☆	ę
= 附 Gmai	il	Q	Telusur	ri email							Э	÷			?	(3		
- Tulis		~		0		e c	¢,	۶		:				6 dari 9	< >			
- Katak Maauk	1 000		Rev	view r	esults	s of ICRO	0269	🔪 🚬 Ка	otak Mas	uk ×						ē	Z	
Berbintang	1.382		Intern	national	Confere	nce On Pes	arch An	d Learnin	na Phys	ics sicch@fmina.unn.ac.ida			@ 29.0	kt 2020 05 5	6 A	_	:	
Ditunda		•	kepada	a saya 💌	·	nce on Rest		d Leannin	ig Filys	co <icip@iiiipa.uip.ac.id></icip@iiiipa.uip.ac.id>			G 280	Kt 2020 03.3	ч Ч		:	
Penting			×A	Inggri		dar	i: Interi <icrlp< td=""><td>national o@fmipa.</td><td>Confere</td><td>ence On Research And Lea</td><td>rning F</td><td></td><td></td><td>Nonaktifk</td><td>an untuk: I</td><td>nggris</td><td>×</td><td></td></icrlp<>	national o@fmipa.	Confere	ence On Research And Lea	rning F			Nonaktifk	an untuk: I	nggris	×	
Terkirim	50		Dear a	author		kepada	a: abdul	II.haris.od	lja@gma	ail.com								
Drar	53		Here a	are the		subjel	c Revie	ew results	s of ICR	00269								
Rapat baru			Article	e revisio	ditand	atangani olel keamanai	n: fmipa n: 🙆 Er	a-unp-ac-i nkripsi st	id.2015 andar (1	0623.gappssmtp.com FLS) <u>Pelajari selengkapnya</u>								
Gabung ke rapat	t		lf you	have a		2	: Penti	ing menu	rut keah	lian Google.								
ngout			Thank	you.	(Þ							
Abdul Haris - Coba Efek Corona	+		Best V Dr. Ra	vishes, amli														
endang happy			Editor E-mail	in Chief I: <u>ramli@</u>	fmipa un	p.ac.id												
55-404-1-5-20201	doc 🔨 🧯	6991-	-12210-1	-ED 2.do	ic ^	1001 1001 1001 1001 1001 1001 1001 100	-12210-2-	-ED 2.doc	^	WhatsApp Imagejp	eg ^	What:	App Image	jpeg 🔨			Sho	v all
Telusuri email																		
0	Ê									莊			0 \$	}				
Review r		G	¢,		3) <u>:</u>				ΞĖ	6 da	ri 9 ⊀	⑦ € > ∎)	Image: Control of the second secon			
	esults of		Ø.	9 >	Kotak N	asuk x				Ξ	6 da	ri 9 ⊀	9 9 •		 Image: Constraint of the second second			
International kepada saya 👻	esults of Conference (ICRC	C 00269 earch Ar	9 🎾 nd Lear	Kotak M	lasuk × ysics <icrip< td=""><td>ōfmipa.u</td><td>inp.ac.id></td><td></td><td>11</td><td>6 da 28 Okt 2020</td><td>ri 9 < 05.56 Ţ</td><td>⑦ € > ∎ ☆ ♠</td><td>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></icrip<>	ōfmipa.u	inp.ac.id>		11	6 da 28 Okt 2020	ri 9 < 05.56 Ţ	⑦ € > ∎ ☆ ♠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
International kepada saya → ズ _A Inggris	esults of Conference (ICRC On Rese	C 00269 earch Ar Terjer	9 D nd Lear	Kotak M ning Ph	Iasuk × ysics <icrlp(< td=""><td>@fmipa.u</td><td>inp.ac.id></td><td></td><td>T‡ ₽</td><td>6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non</td><td>ri 9 K 05.56 J aktifkan un</td><td>⑦ € > ∎ ₽ ☆ ←</td><td>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></icrlp(<>	@fmipa.u	inp.ac.id>		T‡ ₽	6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 K 05.56 J aktifkan un	⑦ € > ∎ ₽ ☆ ←	>				
International kepada saya ▼ ズA Inggris Dear author	esults of conference (- > Indo	I CRC	C, 00269 earch Ar	9 🇩 nd Lear	Kotak M ning Ph	→ : tasuk × ysics <icrip;< p=""></icrip;<>	@fmipa.u	inp.ac.id>		T <u>+</u>	6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 < 05.56 y aktifkan un	⑦ ⓒ > ■ ☆ ♠ tuk: Inggris	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 • •<			
International kepada saya ≠ ズA Inggris Dear author Here are the re	esults of Conference (I CRC On Rese	C OO269 earch Ar Terjee heck the	9 D nd Lear mahkar	Kotak M Ning Ph pesan	→ : fasuk × ysics <icripµ rr paper. Pleµ</icripµ 	@fmipa.u ase checl	inp.ac.id> k the atta		₹ e	6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 < 05.56 Ţ aktifkan un	⑦	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
International kepada saya 👻 XA Inggris Dear author Here are the re Article revision	esults of Conference (> Indo esults of a revie s must have bo	I CRC ICRC On Rese onesia -	Content of the second s	9 > nd Lear mahkar	Kotak M ning Ph pesan ity of you	→ : lasuk × ysics <icrip; ir paper. Ple; 05-11-2020</icrip; 	@fmipa.u ase checl via <mark>ICRL</mark>	inp.ac.id> k the atta			6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 < 05.56 y aktifkan un	⑦	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 <			
International kepada saya マ ズA Inggris Dear author Here are the re Article revision If you have any	esults of Conference (> Indo esults of a revie s must have bo y questions or of	I CRC On Rese onesia - ew and cl een recei	C 00269 earch Ar Terjer heck the ived by t	9 > nd Lear mahkar e similar the edito	Kotak M ning Ph pesan ity of you or before r revisior	is, please E-	⊚fmipa.u ase checl via <mark>ICRL</mark> mail the l	np.ac.id> k the atta P email. Editor.		7 ₽	6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 < 05.56 Ţ	⑦	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
International kepada saya ◄ Inggris Dear author Here are the re Article revision If you have any Thank you.	esults of Conference (> Indo esults of a revie s must have bo y questions or (Con Reserved Con	C 00269 earch Ar Terjer heck the ived by t	9 > mahkar mahkar the edito	Kotak M ning Ph pesan ity of you or before r revisior	→ I lasuk × ysics <icrip; rr paper. Plea 05-11-2020 ns, please E-</icrip; 	@fmipa.u ase check via ICRL mail the I	inp.ac.id> k the atta P email. Editor.			6 da 28 Okt 2020 Non	ri 9 < 05.56 y aktifkan un	⑦	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 <			

		\leftarrow		6 dari 9	<
-			E-mail: ramli@fmipa.unp.ac.id		
Masuk	1.382				
ntang			The 3 rd International Conference on Research and Learning of Physics (ICRLP 2020)		
da			Padang, Sept, 3 - 4 2020		
ng					
im					
	53				
			3 Lampiran		
t baru			W Fullpaper-ICR00269.docx		
ng ke rapat			Note that the set of t		
			Add B Aller		
laris ▼ k Corona	+		W Fullpaper-ICR0026		
happy ngan terkirim					
<u>•</u> •			← ▼ International Conference On Research And Learning Physics (icrlp@fmipa.unp.ac.id)		

REVIEW FORM 3rd International Conference on Research and Learning of Physics (ICRLP2020)

Instructions

Thank you for your willingness to review the article that submitted to ICRLP2020. Please note that all materials and article are confidential and cannot be distributed, shared, used, or provided to the third parties for publication. Feel free to notify the Editor if you have a conflict of interest when reviewing the manuscript. **The focus of review is the manuscript's content**.

PAPER ID: ICR00269

PAPER TITLE: Analysis of Student Problem Solving Skills on Physics Concepts in SMP/ MTs Through Blended Learning Early Teaching During the Covid-19 Pandemic

Author(s) : 1. Maryam Hasan; Abdul Haris Odja

Afiliation :

- 1. Universitas Negeri Gorontalo
- 2.

A. Evaluation Objects

Is the paper content original?:	Yes
Does the paper title represent its content?:	Yes
Does the abstract reflect the paper content?:	Yes
Is the research methodology or the approach of the problem	Yes
solving clearly described?:	
Do the data presentation and interpretation valid and reasonable?:	Yes
Do the use of tables and figures help to clarify the explanation?:	Yes
Have the discussion and/or analysis been relevant with the results	No
of the study?:	
Are the references used relevant?:	Yes
Is the English satisfactory?	Yes

B. Recommendation (Please check appropriate box):

 \Box Publish as it is.

 $\Box \sqrt{Publish}$ with minor revision noted in evaluation statement.

 \Box Publish with major revision.

□ Reject.

C. Comments to Author:

The revision of this full paper is based on the suggestions given

D. Comments to Editor (optional):

Analysis of Student Problem Solving Skills on Physics Concepts in SMP/ MTs Through Blended Learning Early Teaching During the Covid-19 Pandemic

Maryam Hasan¹, Mursalin², Abdul Haris Odja^{2*}

¹ Postgraduate Student of Physics Education Study Program, Gorontalo State

University, Jl. Jenderal Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, Indonesia

² Lecturer for Masters Degree in Physics Education Study Program, Gorontalo State University, Jl. Jenderal Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, Indonesia

*abdul.haris.odja@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to determine students' problem solving skills in physics concepts at the SMP / MTs level by teaching blended learning. The research method used was a mixed method with a sequential explanatory strategy. The data were obtained through the provision of problem-solving tests, the data was strengthened by interviews with student representatives who obtained high, medium and low scores. The sample in this study were 20 students of class VIII of the MTs in Gorontalo. The results of the analysis show that the problem solving ability of physics concepts on the topic of light is in the low category. The average percentage of students' problem solving abilities was 52, 58%. For each problem solving indicator, the percentage is as follows: 72, 50% for the problem focus indicator; 14.66% for physical description indicators; 64.50% for indicators planning completion; 54.75% for indicators of implementing the plan; and for indicators evaluating the completion reached 56.50%. From the results of the interview, there were various obstacles experienced by students in conducting online teaching. Online teaching is carried out using blended learning, namely through virtual face-to-face and non-virtual face-to-face. The low problem solving skills of students for the concept of physics on the topic of light needs to be improved in the application of blended learning, especially related to the obstacles faced by students in implementing blended learning during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Keywords: Problem solving skills, Concepts of physics, Blended Learning

Introduction

The phenomenon around education has become a thing discussed in recent years. In fact there are many changes between old and present education. With the rapid development of technology in the 21st century, it should be one of the pillars to improve the quality of education in Indonesia. Especially during the Covid-19 pandemic, it demands a change in the learning system. Teachers and students are forced to get used to doing online learning activities. Of course this is a new habit in the world of education, especially in schools. With this new habit, the choice of learning strategy is very influential in improving the quality of learning. One of the learning strategies suitable for a pandemic like the

Dikomentari [L1]: Remove the keywords in the full paper

Dikomentari [L2]: Check how to write the first paragraph of the IoP guide

Covid-19 pandemic is through blended learning. Blended learning is a learning that combines direct learning (face to face) and online learning [1]. The blended learning model combines various learning methods and strategies by utilizing virtual technology. Blended learning offers the potential to enhance the teaching and learning process in an educational environment that is more responsive to the lifestyle of contemporary students [2]. With the application of blended learning, the learning process is not only face-to-face, but can also take place outside face to face. So thatstudents have more time and space to communicate to improve mastery of learning material, especially in learning physics.

Blended learning techniques also have other advantages, such as in online learning, helping students to be able to learn independently, where students can express themselves looking for information without having to face the teacher [3].

In addition, students can learn according to their respective learning speed without being influenced by their friends [4]. Thus, this is in line with how to help students improve problem solving skills. Where to improve learning outcomes, especially related to student problem solving skills, it needs to be supported by appropriate learning strategies. With the use of technology, the application of blended learning has the potential to provide innovation in solving physics problems.

Problem solving skills are a skill or potential that is in students, so that they can solve problems and can apply them in everyday life [5]. Physics is a subject that is closely related to problem solving. One of the physics topics that require problem solving skills is the topic of light.

In the learning process, it was found that students were still less able to complete problemsolving tests. Low problem solving skills are caused by several factors, including science learning which is more oriented towards textbooks and more traditional laboratory activities [6]. To improve problem solving skills faced by students in learning physics can be done by providing strategies for how to solve these problems. One way that can be done is to use a problem-solving strategy developed by Heller, et, al. Where the problem solving stage consists of five indicators, namely; (1) focusing on the problem, (2) describing it physically, (3) planning the solution, (4) implementing the solution plan, and (5) evaluating the solution [7].

Experimental Method

The method used in this research is a combination or better known as the mixed method, which is a method that combines qualitative and quantitative methods. The type of combination research uses a sequential explanatory strategy. The sequential explanatory strategy is a strategy applied by collecting and analyzing quantitative data and analyzing qualitative data. The instrument used in this study is a problem-solving test designed to determine problem solving based on the problem-solving strategy developed by Heller, et.al.In this study, the research subject was the result of the answer to the problem solving skills of class VIII students at one of the MTs in Gorontalo. Students' answers are categorized and analyzed based on indicator strategies and problem solving scoring guidelines. The guidelines for giving problem solving scores are as in table 1.

Table 1.Problem Solving Test Scoring Guidelines								
	Problem Solving Criteria							
Score	Problem focus	Physical description	Planning completion	Implementing the plan	Evaluating the completion			
0	Do not know	There is no	No plan	No progress	There is no			
1	Less interpreting questions	Knowing a few variables	Mathematical equations are not related	Mismatch finish	Encountered a mathematical error			
2	Understand the problem	Incomplete description	Mathematically correct	Complete but not complete	Experienced at a dead end and			

Dikomentari [L3]: Don't bold the number of the citation

Dikomentari [L4]: Write this paragraph in a good paragraph

Dikomentari [L5]: Write this paragraph in a good paragraph

Dikomentari [L6]: Write the research objectives

Dikomentari [L7]: Use the term research method and check how to write the first paragraph of the IoP guide

			equations		stopped
3		Make complete		Complete a complete plan	Completing but manipulating numbers wrong
4				Complete continue completion	Complete and complete
Score Max.	2	3	2	4	4

The method of calculating the final value is as follows[8]

$$P = \frac{x}{x_i} \times 100\%$$

explanation:

P = percentage

x = the value given by the respondent on one indicator

 x_i = the maximum value on one indicator

The score of problem solving skills obtained by students from the calculation is then classified according to each indicator according to the problem solving stages. Heller, et, al. The guidelines for categorizing the test scores for problem solving skills are as in table 2 below.

Tabel 2. Guidelines for Categorizing Problem Solving Skills Scores[9]						
Percentage (%)	Category					
0 - 39,99	Very less					
40-54,99	Less					
55,00 - 69,99	Enough					
70,00 - 84,99	Good					
85,00 - 100	Very good					

Result and Discussion

This research was conducted through online teaching by applying blended learning, namely through virtual face-to-face and non-virtual face-to-face. The results of the study were obtained through a problem-solving test in class VIII students of one MTs in Gorontalo totaling 20 students. The test that was tested was in the form of a description test with the topic of light as many as five items adjusted to the answer guidelines based on problem solving indicators developed by Heller, et. al, namely the focus of the problem, a physical description, planning solutions, implementing plans and evaluating solutions. Based on the results of the data analysis obtained, the students' answers were categorized into 3 groups, namely high (S1), low (S2) and less (S3) problem solving skills. The results of the analysis of the answers to the 3 subjects are as in Figure 1 below.

Dikomentari [L8]: Check how to write the first paragraph of the IoP guide



Figure 1. Diagram of Problem Solving Test Results

It can be seen in Figure 1, the percentage of problem solving test results based on the indicator stages developed by Heller, et. al, the three subjects have different skills in solving problems. The following is the test score data for problem solving skills and the results of interviews with the three subjects.

1. S1 Subject (High Problem Solving Skills)

Based on the diagram in Figure 1, the S1 subject managed to achieve a percentage of 100% for the problem focus indicator and the indicator planning solution. This means that from all the questions given, the S1 subject can understand the problem properly and accurately and can describe the mathematical problem correctly. The indicators of implementing the plan and evaluating the completion of the S1 subject reach a percentage of 95%, which means being able to complete the plan and evaluate the completion of the answers well. As for the physical depiction indicators, the S1 subject is still very low where the percentage obtained only reaches 33%, which means that of the 5 questions given only 2 questions can be described in full physics.

	All former and the second seco			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ y & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ y & -\frac{1}{2} \\ z \\ z & -\frac{1}{2} \\ z \\ $	ATTINITY INTINITY	$\begin{array}{l} \frac{(1+2)_{0}}{2} \\ (1+$
Can Da	1 Into	To 10 40	- M - 3	5 1 5			
(En the	II a boy						

Figure 2. Result of Problem Solving for S1 (T) Subjects

In addition to the written test, an online interview was conducted, in which the subject stated that problem solving indicators, especially in the physical picture, were not depicted or described, because it was still difficult to translate the questions into the form of physical images. But the subject understands the stages in problem solving. With today's online learning, subjects find it more difficult to receive material compared to face to face, especially when learning takes place, the network often experiences interference.

2. S2 Subject (Medium Problem Solving Skills)

Dikomentari [L9]: Replace 1, 2, and 3 with a, b, and c

S2 subjects are students who have moderate problem solving skills. Where based on the diagram in Figure 1, the percentage of problem-solving test results for S2 subjects reaches 80% on the problem focus indicator and the indicator planning solution. This means that from all the questions given there is 1 question that is less able to be interpreted properly. However, the rest of the S2 subjects are able to understand the problem well and describe the mathematical problems correctly. For indicators of implementing the plan and evaluating the completion, the percentage obtained is 75%, which means that the S2 subject in the problem solving process is able to complete the completion plan but is not complete. As for the physical depiction indicators, the S2 subject is still very low where the percentage obtained only reaches 27%.



Figure 3. Results of S2 (S) Problem Solving

Apart from the written test, an online interview was also conducted. Where, the subject stated that in working on the problem, the subject often experienced problems, especially at the completion stage. The subject knows the mathematical equation to be used in the problem. But it is difficult to move on to the next stage and usually completes complete but misoperating numbers. In addition, with online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic, the subject experienced problems in learning. S2 subjects find it easier to understand explanations when face to face in class, not virtually or online.

3. S3 Subject (Low Problem Solving Skills)

S3 subjects are students whose problem solving skills fall into the low category. Where based on the results of written tests that have been carried out, the subject has not been able to understand the questions well. This can be seen in Figure 1, the percentage obtained is only 50% for the problem focus indicator. For the S3 subject itself, it can determine the focus of the problem, it's just that it lacks in interpreting the questions well. As for the next indicator stage, the subject did not describe it.



Figure 4. The Results of S3 (R) Subject Problem Solving

Apart from the written test, an online interview was also conducted. Where, the subject stated that they still lacked mastery of the material and were difficult to solve problems using the problemsolving stage. Especially with online learning making the subject slower in the process of absorbing material, especially when in face-to-face virtual online learning, subjects often lag behind due to network problems.

Based on the overall problem solving test answers, the average results of problem-solving skills are obtained as in table 3 below.

Table 3. Results of the Average	Analysis of Students	Problem Solving Skills
---------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------

No	Indicator	Percentage	Category
1	Problem Focus	72.50%	Good
2	Physical description	14.66%	Very less
3	Planning completion	64.50%	Good
4	Implementing the plan	54.75%	Less
5	Evaluating the completion	56.50%	Enough
	Average	52.58%	Less

Table 3, shows the average problem-solving skills of students in general, amounting to 20 people, in the low category, with a percentage of 52.58%. Based on each indicator of problem solving skills, as many as 72.50% were included in the good category for the problem focus indicator; 14.66% for physical description indicators; 64.50% for indicators planning completion; 54.75% for indicators of implementing the plan; and for indicators evaluating the completion reached 56.50%. The low level of problem-solving skills related to learning the application of blended learning is experiencing problems in student conditions such as internet access networks, internet access quotas and other facilities such as smartphones. It is also related to independent learning when studying and online teaching. Independence in online teaching can teach knowledge, skills and procedures as well as a broader range of difficult tasks or problems[10]. Students need independence in online learning was carried out in full face-to-face in the classroom. Some respondents stated that they are more focused through face-to-face learning in direct class than virtual face-to-face teaching or online discussions.

Conclusion

Based on the results and discussion that has been stated previously, it can be concluded that, students with high problem solving skills are able to complete the solving test well based on the problem solving indicator stages, but the subject has not been able to describe completely for the physical description indicators.For students with moderate problem solving categories, have not been able to complete the problem solving test appropriately based on the problem solving indicator stage. Especially at the completion stage, it is still difficult to complete the problem solving test completely. For students with a low problem solving category, did not complete the problem solving test based on the problem solving indicator stage.

Overall, the average score of students' problem solving skills in the research subject was low. The low problem solving skills of students for the concept of physics on the topic of light needs to be improved in the application of blended learning, especially related to the obstacles faced by students in implementing blended learning during the Covid-19 pandemic, including internet network access, internet quota, smartphone facilities and student independence. in solving tasks and concepts of physics problems.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to all those who have helped in completing this research article. Ministry of Education and Culture, UNG Postgraduate Leaders and other parties who help research

Dikomentari [L10]: Check how to write the first paragraph of the IoP guide

References

- Manggabarani, A. F., Sugiarti, & Masri, M. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Blended Learning Terhadap Motivasi dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri 1 Pitumpanua Kab. Wajo (Studi Pada Materi Pokok Sistem Periodik Unsur). Jurnal Chemica, 17(2), 83–93.
- [2] Dziubal, Charles., et.al. (2018). Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* volume 15, Article number: 3. (https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-017-0087-5. Diakses pada tanggal 19 Agustus 2020)
- [3] Anggraini, A. D., Wonorahardjo, S., & Utomo, Y. (2016). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Blended Learning Berbasis Community on Inquiry (CoI) ditinjau dari Belajar Kognitif Mahasiswa pada Materi Kromatografi. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional II* 1038–1046.
- [4] Yapici, I. U., & Akbayin, H. (2012). The Effect of Blended Learning Model in High School Students' Biology Achievement and on Their Attitudes Towards the Internet. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology* 11, 228–237.
- [5] Gunantara, G., Suarjana, I. M., & Riastini, P. N. (2014). Penerapan model pembelajaran problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika siswa kelas V. Jurnal Mimbar PGSD Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Jurusan PGSD, 2(1). Retrieved from (https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JJPGSD/article/view/2058. Diakses pada tanggal 19 Agustus 2020)
- [6] Odja, A. H, Jatmiko, B., & Supardi, Z.A. I. 2014. Model Konseptual Observasi Ilmiah Berorientasi Kemandirian Dalam Pembelajaran Sains Untuk Melatihkan Keterampilan Pemecahan Masalah. Surabaya.
- [7] Heller, P., & Heller, K. (1999). Cooperative group problem in physics. University of Minnesota.
- [8] Aji, S. D., Hudha, M. N., & Rismawati, A. Y. (2017). Pengembangan modul pembelajaran fisika berbasis problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 43-48.
- [9] Alieffia, Z & Tantri, M. 2018. Profil Kemampuan Memecahkan Masalah Pelajaran Fisika Siswa MTs. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Quantum*. Yogyakarta
- [10] Caladine, Richard. 2008 Enhancing E-learning with Media-Rich Content and Interactions. Hershey: Information Science Publishing