• • •	C 🔒 smujo.id	٢	۹	
Biodiversitas Journal of Biolog	ical Diversity Tasks 3	⊖ En	ıglish 👁 View Site	🛔 femysahami
OVEN KOURKAL SYSTEMS	6414 / Sahami et al. / Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assembla	ges in Gorontalo Bay Waters, In	donesia	Library
Submissions	Workflow Publication			
	Submission Review Copyediting Production			the second sec
	Submission Files		Q Search	
	► 📄 25973-1 femysahami, Manuscript_Femy M. Sahami et al., 2020.docx	July 13, A 2020	rticle Text	
			Download All Files	
	Pre-Review Discussions		Add discussion	
	Name From	Last Reply	Replies Clos	ed
	Manuscript Submission avu 2020-07-17 AM	femysahami 7 08:18 2020-07-18 03:3 AM	1	

Gambar 1. Submit Awal ke Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

		•	🔒 smujo.id		© ش + 88
Biodiversitas Journal of Biological D					🛛 English 🐵 View Site 🛔 fernysahami
		Manuscript Submissi	on	×	
0)3		Participants			rs, Indonesia Library
CREW ROUTENAL SYSTEMS		Ayu Astuti (ayu)			
Submissions		Mrs. Femy M. Sahami (fer	nysahami)		
		Messages			
		Note		From	
	S	Dear Author,		ayu 2020-07-17 08:18	Q, Search
		_		AM	Article Text
		Thank you very much	h for your mss submission.		
		journal. At least, you	need to compose a minimum of 20 references which 80% of		Download All Files
		international scientifi maximum 10% refere	ic journals published in the last 10 years (2010-2020), and a ences in local language (not English). And please write the		
		references based on	the author's guidelines.		Add discussion
					Region Cloud
		Kindly check and cor	rrect accordingly		Nepiles (cluses)
		Thank you			03:37
		Regards,			
		Ayu Astuti			
		Dear Ayu Astuti,		femysahami	
		Thankyou for the c	correction.	2020-07-18 03:37 AM	

Gambar 2. Respon Awal setelah Submit



Gambar 3. Perbaikan Naskah Awal sesuai Masukan Editor (Catatan: Naskah Perbaikan di Halaman Berikutnya)

# **COVERING LETTER**

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

I herewith enclosed a research article,

# Title:

Morphometrics and genetics variations of species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters, Indonesia

# Author(s) name:

Femy M. Sahami, Rene Charles Kepel, Abdul Hafidz Olii, Silvester Benny Pratasik, Ridwan Lasabuda, Adnan Wantasen, Sitty Ainsyah Habibie

# Address

(Fill in your institution's name and address, your personal cellular phone and email) Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University, Jl. Jend. Sudirman No. 6, City of Gorontalo, Gorontalo, 96128, Indonesia Hp. : +62-81340374949, email: <u>femysahami@ung.ac.id</u>

# For possibility publication on the journal:

(fill in *Biodiversitas* or *Nusantara Bioscience* or *mention the others*) Biodiversitas

# Novelty:

(state your claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge)

In this research, we found 15 new melanophore patterns of 20 melanophores composing the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters. We also succeeded in identifying the species composers of Nike fish assemblages based on the morphometric and genetic characters. The Genetic identification shows that the 15 new melanophore pattern samples were composed of six species (i.e. *Sicyopterus parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, Stiphodon semoni,* and *Belobranchus belobranchus*) phylogenetically generated from two families (i.e. Gobiidae and Eleotridae). These results further complement the diversity data of Nike fish compilers in Gorontalo Bay waters, which are very useful as the reference for inventorying and identifying Nike fish species in other estuarine waters as well as being the information for exploring adult gobies in Gorontalo river waters.

# Statements:

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication to any other journal or any other type of publication (including web hosting) either by me or any of my co-authors.

Author(s) has been read and agree to the Ethical Guidelines.

# List of five potential reviewers

(Fill in names of five potential reviewers **that agree to review your manuscpt** and their **email** addresses. He/she should have Scopus ID and come from different institution with the authors; and from at least three different countries)

1.	Rey C. Thomas Jr.
	Institute : National Fisheries Research and Development Institute, Quezon City, Metro Manila,
	Philippines
	Email : <u>reycthomas@gmail.com</u>
2.	Dini Wahyu Kartika Sari
	Institute : Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjah Mada
	Email : <u>dini.sari@ugm.ac.id</u>
3.	Papuc Tudor
	Institute : USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
	Email : <u>ptudor2008@yahoo.com</u>
4.	Zainal A. Muchlisin
	Institute : Faculty of Marine and Fisheries, Universitas Syiah Kuala
	Email : <u>muchlisinza@unsyiah.ac.id</u>
5.	Dewi Imelda Roesma
	Institute : Department of Biology, Universitas Andalas, Padang
	Email : <u>dewiroesma@sci.unand.ac.id</u>
Pla	ce and date:
Gor	ontalo, 13 July 2020

# Sincerely yours,

(fill in your name, no need scanned autograph)	
Femy M. Sahami	

# MORPHOMETRIC AND GENETIC VARIATIONS OF SPECIES COMPOSERS OF NIKE FISH ASSEMBLAGES IN GORONTALO BAY WATERS, INDONESIA

# FEMY M. SAHAMI<sup>1</sup>, RENE CHARLES KEPEL<sup>2</sup>, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII<sup>1</sup>, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK<sup>2</sup>, RIDWAN LASABUDA<sup>2</sup>, ADNAN WANTASEN<sup>2</sup>, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University. Jl. Jend. Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, 96128, Gorontalo, Indonesia.

culty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University. Jl. Jend. Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, 96128, Gorontalo, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-435-821125, Fax.: +62-435-821752. 'email: <u>femysahani@ung.ac.id</u>

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, 95115, North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

Abstract. Nike is Gobioidei fish identified at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in the water of sea before they migrate to the fresh water, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromus species. This fish is very popular, favored by people, and has become an important economic commodity in Gorontalo. One of the biggest Nike fishing locations is Gorontalo Bay. This is very important to explore the types of species composing the Nike fish clusters in their contribution to fish biodiversity. Accordingly, this study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from fishermen's catches during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters to the estuary areas of Bone Bolango River from January to March 2019. The samples were then grouped based on their similarity of melanophore patterns and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore pattern, 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The data of morphometric characters were analyzed for its differentiators, while the DNA was analyzed using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tools) from NCBI. The results of morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences of mitochondrial DNA indicate that the Nike fish clusters in Gorontalo Bay waters have a high level of diversity with the discovery of six species (i.e. S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) generated Gobiidae family and Belobranchus belobranchus species generated from Eleotridae family. It completes the data of the diversity of Nike fish composers in Gorontalo Bay waters which is very significant as the reference for inventorying and identifying the types of Nike fish in other estuary areas and adult amphidromous Gobies in Gorontalo rivers.

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Gobies, Nike fish, morphometric, molecular.

Abbreviations: Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Running title: Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

#### INTRODUCTION

Nike fish is a group of small Gobies that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually at the end of the month in Hijri calendar. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as *penja* in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019; Nurjirana, Haris et al. 2019) and *dulong* by the Philippines (Thomas et al., 2013). As a group of Amphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); & Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then return to the river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017) and Pasisingi & Abdullah (2018) report that Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Several morphological and molecular characters-based studies were then conducted to prove their truth. Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as *Awaous melanocephalus*. Furthermore, Olii et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish in the waters of Gorontalo Bay are *Sicyopterus longifilis*. Meanwhile, Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019) reported the fish is composed of species in the Gobiidae family and Eleotridae family based on its morphological characters. Sahami et al. (2019a), in his recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish cluster in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides, and Belobranchus segura*). These studies show that Nike is amphidromous Gobi assemblages with a high diversity of species and it is possible that there are species that are not yet identified and reported to date.

The high diversity of Nike fish is also supported by the high diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas as reported by Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al. (2019) in a research related to *Penja* fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six

genera and nine species (i.e. Sicyopterus lagocephalus, Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni, Stiphodon atropurpureus, Sicyopus zosterophorum, Smillosicyopus leprurus, Schismatogobius sp., Eleotris fusca, and Eleotris sp.). Furthermore, Thomas et al. (2013) reported Dulong fish in Verde Island, Philippines, consisting of several species included in three families; Clupeidae, Gobiidae, and Scombridae (i.e. Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus, Sardinella gibbosa, Sardinella lemuru, Spratelloides delicatulus, Sicyopterus pugnans, Sicyopterus lagocerastal, and Sicyopterus lagocer brachyosoma).

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high fishing. It is feared that rapid environmental changes and uncontrolled capture will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. The management can only be performed if this is supported by the availability of accurate and current scientific information. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the diversity of Nike fish composers which can be a reference information for main exploration and their distribution in nature. Accordingly, the mapping of distribution areas, as well as appropriate conservation actions and sustainable management can be carried out. The purpose of this study is to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay comprehensively based on morphometric and molecular characters and to find out their molecular phylogenetics.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the fishermen's catches during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January-March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each period of the appearance. The sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019a) based on the differences in melanophoric pattern in the body. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6–N20, while five groups were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019a). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Regarding the molecular analysis, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then filled in a sample bottle and added with 95% ethanol solution.



Figure 1. Map of the Research Location

#### Morphometric Characters

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The measurement was performed using Image-J application.



Figure 2. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

Table 1. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)
C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD)
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)
C5	Eye Lens diameter (EL)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)

Each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:

$$M_{adj} = M \left( L_s / L_0 \right)^t$$

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data,  $L_0$  is the total length of fish,  $L_s$  is the average total length, and parameter b is the slope of log linear curve M to log  $L_0$  of all data.

#### DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing

Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) isolation, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. Isolation of the DNA sample was performed using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke & Lynn, 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova dkk. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA-3TA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HC02198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer dkk. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's 1<sup>st</sup> Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10 µl forward primers, and 10 µl reverse primers.

#### Data Analysis

Morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit, 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available in the GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) through

the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some gobi DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Species Identification

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary. Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019a). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6-N20) presented in Figure 3.







Figure 3. A New Record on the Diversity of Species Composers of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

The caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22–37.69 mm in general, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Range of Catch Size of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

No	Sample Code	Mean of Size	Range of Size	Number of Samples
1	N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
2	N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
3	N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
4	N4	2.089	2.089	1
5	N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
6	N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
7	N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
8	N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
9	N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
10	N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2
11	N11	1.931	1.622-2.103	140
12	N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
13	N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
14	N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
15	N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
16	N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
17	N17	3.768	3.768	1
18	N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
19	N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
20	N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
		Total Sample		2,523



Table 2 shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophoric patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 501 N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).

### Morphometric Data Analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al., 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3.

Sample					Unit of Character				
Code	SL	PL	ED	EL	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	$2.237 \pm 0.10$	$0.112 \pm 0.02$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	$0.116 \pm 0.13$	$0.447 \pm 0.04$	$0.403 \pm 0.09$	$0.188 \pm 0.08$	$0.018\pm0.01$	$0.063 \pm 0.02$
N2	$2.214 \pm 0.05$	$0.117 \pm 0.02$	$0.132 \pm 0.02$	$0.057 \pm 0.02$	$0.442 \pm 0.05$	$0.405 \pm 0.04$	$0.228 \pm 0.03$	$0.014 \pm 0.00$	$0.060 \pm 0.03$
N3	$2.257 \pm 0.07$	$0.137 \pm 0.01$	$0.136\pm0.01$	$0.073 \pm 0.02$	$0.525\pm0.03$	$0.383 \pm 0.02$	$0.155 \pm 0.01$	$0.018\pm0.00$	$0.038 \pm 0.02$
N4	2.333 ± a	$0.118 \pm a$	0.166 ± a	$0.086 \pm a$	0.841 ± a	$0.502 \pm a$	0.183 ± a	$0.023 \pm a$	0.201 ± a
N5	$2.258 \pm 0.08$	$0.158 \pm 0.02$	$0.150\pm0.01$	$0.095\pm0.02$	$0.557 \pm 0.04$	$0.379 \pm 0.02$	$0.156\pm0.02$	$0.022\pm0.00$	$0.065\pm0.03$
N6	$2.246\pm0.04$	$0.118 \pm 0.02$	$0.130\pm0.01$	$0.141\pm0.17$	$0.476\pm0.04$	$0.406\pm0.10$	$0.197 \pm 0.11$	$0.030\pm0.04$	$0.071 \pm 0.04$
N7	$2.243 \pm 0.04$	$0.114 \pm 0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.01$	$0.144 \pm 0.02$	$0.438 \pm 0.03$	$0.390\pm0.10$	$0.179 \pm 0.09$	$0.018\pm0.01$	$0.062\pm0.02$
N8	$2.238 \pm 0.01$	$0.116\pm0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.01$	$0.168\pm0.18$	$0.456\pm0.05$	$0.381 \pm 0.11$	$0.168 \pm 0.11$	$0.023 \pm 0.02$	$0.072\pm0.03$
N9	$2.211\pm0.04$	$0.146\pm0.02$	$0.128 \pm 0.02$	$0.062\pm0.02$	$0.525\pm0.03$	$0.365\pm0.02$	$0.161\pm0.02$	$0.016\pm0.00$	$0.046\pm0.02$
N10	$2.205\pm0.04$	$0.120\pm0.00$	$0.138 \pm 0.00$	$0.039 \pm 0.01$	$0.502\pm0.01$	$0.344\pm0.01$	$0.169 \pm 0.00$	$0.017\pm0.00$	$0.045\pm0.01$
N11	$2.222\pm0.04$	$0.095\pm0.02$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	$0.083 \pm 0.02$	$0.411 \pm 0.04$	$0.376\pm0.03$	$0.122\pm0.02$	$0.018\pm0.00$	$0.080\pm0.03$
N12	$2.256\pm0.04$	$0.108\pm0.02$	$0.123 \pm 0.01$	$0.074 \pm 0.02$	$0.434\pm0.03$	$0.349 \pm 0.04$	$0.116\pm0.02$	$0.015\pm0.00$	$0.061\pm0.04$
N13	$2.245\pm0.04$	$0.112\pm0.02$	$0.132\pm0.01$	$0.154\pm0.16$	$0.456\pm0.04$	$0.389 \pm 0.11$	$0.172\pm0.20$	$0.022\pm0.02$	$0.059\pm0.02$
N14	$2.240\pm0.03$	$0.111\pm0.01$	$0.139 \pm 0.01$	$0.061 \pm 0.01$	$0.445\pm0.03$	$0.381 \pm 0.03$	$0.210\pm0.02$	$0.015\pm0.00$	$0.047\pm0.01$
N15	$2.189 \pm 0.04$	$0.154\pm0.02$	$0.140\pm0.01$	$0.079 \pm 0.02$	$0.543 \pm 0.04$	$0.356\pm0.02$	$0.177\pm0.01$	$0.018\pm0.01$	$0.056\pm0.02$
N16	$2.216\pm0.04$	$0.111 \pm 0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.01$	$0.045 \pm 001$	$0.438 \pm 0.05$	$0.394 \pm 0.03$	$0.258 \pm 0.03$	$0.012\pm0.00$	$0.051\pm0.01$
N17	$2.352 \pm a$	$0.069 \pm a$	$0.122 \pm a$	$0.039 \pm a$	0.387 ± a	$0.272 \pm a$	0.187 ± a	$0.010 \pm a$	$0.047 \pm a$
N18	$2.229\pm002$	$0.110 \pm 0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.00$	$0.049 \pm 0.00$	$0.409 \pm 0.01$	$0.369 \pm 0.02$	$0.252 \pm 0.02$	$0.010\pm0.00$	$0.037 \pm 0.01$
N19	$2.225 \pm 0.03$	$0.113 \pm 0.01$	$0.124 \pm 0.00$	$0.038 \pm 0.00$	$0.440 \pm 0.02$	$0.460 \pm 0.03$	$0.279 \pm 0.02$	$0.009 \pm 0.00$	$0.057 \pm 0.02$
N20	$2.243 \pm 0.05$	$0.109\pm0.01$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	$0.172\pm0.17$	$0.462\pm0.05$	$0.369\pm0.10$	$0.152\pm0.10$	$0.029 \pm 0.03$	$0.065\pm0.02$

Table 3. Morphometric Characters Data of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

#### BIODIVERSITAS

Volume 21, Number 10, October 2020 Pages: xxxx ISSN: 1412-033X E-ISSN: 2085-4722 DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d2110xx

Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit, 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Diagram of the Canonical Discriminant Function of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters

Each of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometric variant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three clusters, N1, N2, N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster. One sample, i.e. N17, does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster since the number of samples was only one during the observation period.

Sahami et al. (2019a) reported species N1 as *S. pugnans*; N2 as *S. cynocephalus*; N3 and N5 as *B. segura*; and N4 as *B. gyrinoides*. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the *Sicyopterus* genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused abdominal fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the abdominal fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused abdominal fins and Eleotridae has a pelvic fins.

#### Molecular Analysis

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay had a high level of diversity

with the discovery of six different species as their composers. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Sample Code	Species	Sample Code	Species
N6	Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis
N7	Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis
N8	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus
N9	Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus
N10	Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus
N11	Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei
N12	Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) from the Gobiidae family; and Belobranchus belobranchus from the Eleotridae family. One species, S. longifilis, is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore patterns and one species, S. cynocephalus, is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019a).

The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a species school in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster is a species school in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster is a species school in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Phylogenetic Tree of Nike fish School Composers in the Gorontalo Bay Waters

The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus, S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis,* and *S. Pugnans*). The second genus is *Stiphodon* which consists of only one species (i.e. *Stiphodon semoni*). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. *Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura,* and *B. Belobranchus*).

#### Discussion

This fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromus species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith, 2003). According to Thacker & Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the post-larval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched gobi can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophoric patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the *S. japonicus* post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other *Gobioidei* fish larvae. Thacker & Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Akihito et al. (2000); Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only.

Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea, 2009). The COI gene is the fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al., 2008; Bingpeng et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2013; Viswambharan et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2014; Taillebois et al., 2014; Lejeune et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Linh et al., 2018; Olii et al., 2019; Roesma et al., 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species.

Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and nature of dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that *S. lagocephalus* larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike *Belobranchus segura* fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not sexually change or have alternative sexual strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism.

The results of molecular identification indicate that the Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. *S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus*, and *Stiphodon semoni*). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species *A. Melanocephalus* by Usman (2016) and *Sicyopterus longifilis* by Olii et al. (2019). Recently, Sahami et al. (2019a) found the diversity of the composers species of Nike fish in *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides*, and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus*, and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker & Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of  $\pm$  1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are Sicydium Valenciennes, 1837; Sicyopterus Gill, 1860; Lentipes Günther, 1861; Sicyopus Gill, 1863; Cotylopus Guichenot, 1864; Stiphodon Weber, 1895; Parasicydium Risch, 1980; Smilosicyopus Watson, 1999; and Akihito Watson, Keith and Marquet, 2007 (Keith et al., 2011; Taillebois et al., 2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the

Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al., 2005; Keith et al., 2015; Lord et al., 2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found *Sicyopterus* as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters.

The *S. parvei* species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al., 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Java and Bali. Meanwhile, *S. lagocephalus* species is known as the species of genus *Sicyopterus* with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region (Keith et al., 2005; Lord et al., 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al., 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al., 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al., 2020) and further strengthen the discovery at the post-larval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. segura*.

The *Stiphodon semoni* species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda & Tan, 2013; Hubert et al., 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson, 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda & Tan, 2013), Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).

The *A. melanocephalus* species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for *A. melanocephalus* was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, in-depth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity.

Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish cluster in the Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several *milango* (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this study. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129–144.
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): e0198109.
- Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1–12.
- Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202–220.
- Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1–12.

- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294–299.
- Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi. J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57–60.
- Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): e2490.
- Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144–169.

Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544-548.

- Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269–278.
- Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Tridentiger bifasciatus* and *Tridentiger barbatus* (Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253–265.
- Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831-847.
- Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus *Sicyopterus* in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721–732.
- Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas) (Teleostei : Gobiidae : Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876– 889.
- Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311–326.
- Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiaty RK. 2015. A new species of Sicyopterus (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243-248.
- Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.
- Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256–2268.
- Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby *Sicydium punctatum* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272–280.
- Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433–451.
- Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicyopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019;00: 1–16.
- Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of *Stiphodon* (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2): 749–761.
- Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627-639.
- Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (1): 246–249.
- Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1–7.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (Awaous sp.) in the estuary of Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110–1118.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51–56.
- Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111-118. [Indonesian]
- Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5 (2): 87–91.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of *Puntius* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase 1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250–256.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of *Puntius* cf. *binotatus* from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54–60.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43–48.
- Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46–52. [Indonesian]
- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358–1365.

- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: 1654.
- Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (Awaous melanocephalus) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River. Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130–136.
- Sara L, Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu (2016) The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab Portunus pelagicus in Southeast Sulawesi Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101–1112.
- Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131–148.
- Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydiinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1–8.
- Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260–271.
- Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265–293.
- Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15-22.

Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329-347.

- Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines. Phil J of Nat 18: 29–36.
- Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (Awaous sp.) Indigenous Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian]
- Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an amphidromous goby, Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33(4): 309–319.
- Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes, Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15–19.
- Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CQ, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of *Gobiopterus lacustris*, a newly recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1–7.
- Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of Sicyopterus japonicus in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371–379.
- Watson RE. 2008. A new species of Stiphodon from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43-56.
- Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, Sicyopterus japonicus, Awaous melanocephalus, and Stenogobius sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345–360.

# SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Ensure that the following items are present:

The first corresponding author must be accompanied with contact details: Give mark

		(X)
٠	E-mail address	х
•	Full postal address (incl street name and number (location), city, postal	х
	code, state/province, country)	
٠	Phone and facsimile numbers (incl country phone code)	Х

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

٠	Keywords	х
٠	Running titles	х
٠	All figure captions	х
•	All tables (incl title and note/description)	Х

Further considerations

٠	Manuscript has been "spell & grammar-checked" Better, if it is revised	х
	by a professional science editor or a native English speaker	
٠	References are in the correct format for this journal	х
٠	All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and	х
	vice versa	
٠	Colored figures are only used if the information in the text may be	х
	losing without those images	
•	Charts (graphs and diagrams) are drawn in black and white images; use	х
	shading to differentiate	

• · · ·	0	🔒 smujo.id	¢	٩	± + 8
Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversi	ty Tasks 3			English Site	🛔 femysahar
Submissions	Workflow Publication				
	Submission Review Copyed	liting Production			
	Round 1				
	Round 1 Status Submission accepted.				
	Notifications				
	[biodiv] Editor Decision			2020-08-21 07:27 AM	Λ
	[biodiv] Editor Decision			2020-08-26 01:58 AM	A
	[biodiv] Editor Decision			2020-08-26 04:21 AM	4
	[biodiv] Editor Decision			2020-09-11 05:04 PM	4
	[biodiv] Editor Decision			2020-09-11 05:06 PM	A
	Reviewer's Attachments			Q Search	
	28269-1 , 6414-Article Text-26	765-1-4-20200728_Revision.doc	August 2020	25,	

Gambar 4. Bukti Korespondensi

	0	🔒 smujo.id				
Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity	Tasks 3			Q Engli	ish 👁 View S	ite 🛔 femysaham
	Revisions			Q Se	earch Upload	File
	28288-1 Article Text, Re fish assemblages in Gorontalo	vised 2-Morphometric and genetic variations of spec Bay Waters, Indone.doc	cies composers of nike	August 26, Arti 2020	icle Text	
	29042-1 Article Text, Ref fish assemblages in Gorontalo	vised 3-Morphometric and genetic variations of spec Bay Waters, Indone.doc	cies composers of nike	September Arti 9, 2020	icle Text	
	Review Discussions				Add discus	sion
	Name		From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
	Asking for the word file revised	L of Reviewer E	femysahami 2020-08-26 02:57 AM	-	0	
	Uncorrected proof		dewinurpratiwi 2020-09-09 07:38 AM	femysahami 2020-09-11 06:12 AM	1	
	BILLING		dewinurpratiwi 2020-09-09 07:41 AM	femysahami 2020-09-11 08:27 AM	1	

Gambar 5. Bukti Korespondensi

# [biodiv] Editor Decision

2020-08-21 07:27 AM

Femy M. Sahami, Rene Charles Kepel, Abdul Hafidz Olii, Silvester Benny Pratasik, Ridwan Lasabuda, Adnan Wantasen, Sitty Ainsyah Habibie:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Morphometrics and genetics variations of species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters, Indonesia".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Smujo Editors editors@smujo.id

\_ . \_

Reviewer C:

The manuscript has an interesting subject, suitable for the scope of the journal. The use of the English language is suitable and the manuscript is understandable. However, the manuscript must be submitted somewhere for an English proofing, because there are very many English grammar and phrasing mistakes. If English is corrected, I recommend accepted with minor revisions.

The authors can find below my recommendations for improving the manuscript, line by line:

Abstract - please shorten to approximately 200 words, according to the journal guidelines

Line 14: please avoid using the short genitive (fishermen's) throughout the paper; it is informal speech, and should be avoided in a scientific context; "catches of fishermen" can be used in this case

Line 22: the brackets with scientific names should be moved after mentioning the family, because otherwise, it seems that the authors say they found 6 species and present only 5 in brackets

Line 32: in addition to the hijri calendar, please mention the month period in the gregorian calendar (usually used in most of the world), because the journal has an international audience and should make it easier for it to understand

Line 33: the formatting of the citations is not respected: it should be Nurjirana et al. 2019a and Nurjirana et al. 2019b; there also shouldn't be a comma after the "et al." (before the year); the authors should correct their citations throughout the paper, because there are other citations with incorrect formatting

Line 34: "amphidromous" not "Amphidromous" (it is a common noun, not proper noun, to be written with capital letter)

Line 45 and onward: at first mentioning in the text, the scientific names should be followed by the author and year, as specified in the journal guidelines

Line 57: is the term "high fishing" correct? what does it mean?

Line 74: "placed" instead of "filled"

Line 74: how long did it take from placing the sample in the ethanol solution to making the melanophore pattern observations and preparing the DNA sample? How long did it take from catching the fish to preserving the fish in ethanol?

- the coloration of the fish (melanophore pattern) can suffer changes after being removed from the natural habitat, after death or after preservation in different solutions (discoloration is visible in figure 3); was this aspect taken into consideration?

Table 1: it should be "eye pupil diameter", not "eye lens diameter"

Line 91: even though it is mentioned that the protocol on the kit was followed, the authors should briefly mention how the DNA sample was prepared

Line 96: What is Ivanova dkk? should it be Ivanova et al.? please be more careful when citing (there are other references with dkk)

Line 98: the citation has a dkk that should be replaced

Line 108: the site is not cited in the reference list

Line 126, Table 2: the measurement unit should be presented in the table for the mean size and size range; also, what size is it presented in the table from the 10 characters measured? Is it the total length? if so, the authors should be more specific

Line 135: Table 3 - the measurement unit should be presented; there are 9 characteristic presented out of 10; if the one missing is presented in the previous table, it is ok

Lines 147-148: the argument is also valid for N4 (only 1 individual was obtained); why was N4 included in a cluster with 1 sample, and N17 was not included in any cluster, still with 1 sample?

Line 155: "abdominal fins" should be changed to "ventral fins" or "pelvic fins"; in ichthyology, abdominal fin is not a scientific term

Line 158: the term "fused abdominal fins" should be "fused ventral fins, forming a ventral disc";

Line 204: Akihito et al. (2000) does not appear in references

Line 220: There is the reference Sahami et al. 2019, but previously it was Sahami et al. 2019 a; so it should either be Sahami et al. 2019a or Sahami et al. 2019b, if it is different

Lines 291-293: the 2 references, Elliot and Ellien, are not in alphabetical order

Line 306: in the text, the year is 2014, and in references, it is 2013; the authors should check and correct

Line 354: the year is not formatted according to the journal format

Lines 363-365: the references are not ordered alphabetically

Good luck in publishing the manuscript!

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

# [biodiv] Editor Decision

2020-08-26 01:58 AM

24

Femy M. Sahami, Rene Charles Kepel, Abdul Hafidz Olii, Silvester Benny Pratasik, Ridwan Lasabuda, Adnan Wantasen, Sitty Ainsyah Habibie:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Morphometrics and genetics variations of species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters, Indonesia".

Our decision is: Revisions Required Note: Kindly check another comments from REVIEWER E.

Smujo Editors editors@smujo.id

-----

Reviewer E:

The work done is very important for strategies focused on the conservation of global biodiversity. However, many points need to be better worked out so that the article is ready for publication. I am sending a Word file in which the considerations are presented.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity** 

# Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

Abstract. Nike is Gobioidei fish identified at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in the water of sea before they migrate to the fresh water, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromus species. This fish is very popular, favored by people, and has become an important economic commodity in Gorontalo. One of the biggest Nike fishing locations is Gorontalo Bay. This is very important to explore the types of species composing the Nike fish clusters in their contribution to fish biodiversity. Accordingly, this study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from fishermen's catches during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters to the estuary areas of Bone Bolango River from January to March 2019. The samples were then grouped based on their similarity of melanophore patterns and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore pattern, 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The data of morphometric characters were analyzed for its differentiators, while the DNA was analyzed using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tools) from NCBI. The results of morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences of mitochondrial DNA indicate that the Nike fish clusters in Gorontalo Bay waters have a high level of diversity with the discovery of six species (i.e. S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) generated Gobiidae family and *Belobranchus belobranchus* species generated from Eleotridae family. It completes the data of the diversity of Nike fish composers in Gorontalo Bay waters which is very significant as the reference for inventorying and identifying the types of Nike fish in other estuary areas and adult amphidromous Gobies in Gorontalo rivers.

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Gobies, Nike fish, morphometric, molecular

Abbreviations: Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Running title: Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

#### INTRODUCTION

Nike fish is a group of small Gobies that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually at the end of the month in Hijri calendar. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as *penja* in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019; Nurjirana, Haris et al. 2019) and *dulong* by the Philippines (Thomas et al., 2013). As a group of Amphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); & Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then return to the river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017) and Pasisingi & Abdullah (2018) report that Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Several morphological and molecular characters-based studies were then conducted to prove their truth. Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as *Awaous melanocephalus*. Furthermore, Olii

**Comment [A1]:** "Nike fish" and "morphometric" are words of the title a should not be present in the keywords.

**Comment [A2]:** The introduction ne to be rewritten. A systematic review of t literature will provide important information.

**Comment [A3]:** You need to specify that a wide international audience can correctly identify the defined period.

**Comment [A4]:** Is there information fishing statistics? If it exists, it would be important to insert it to situate the importance of the work. If there is no information on statistics, it must also be said, because the lack of knowledge ma the existence of this work even more important.

**Comment [A5]:** Scientific works do r prove truths. Scientific papers use tools investigate reality. Be very careful abou how to report the information.

et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish in the waters of Gorontalo Bay are *Sicyopterus longifilis*. Meanwhile, Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019) reported the fish is composed of species in the Gobiidae family and Eleotridae family based on its morphological characters. Sahami et al. (2019a), in his recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish cluster in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides, and Belobranchus segura*). These studies show that Nike is amphidromous Gobi assemblages with a high diversity of species and it is possible that there are species that are not yet identified and reported to date.

The high diversity of Nike fish is also supported by the high diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas as reported by Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al. (2019) in a research related to *Penja* fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six genera and nine species (i.e. *Sicyopterus lagocephalus, Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni, Stiphodon atropurpureus, Sicyopus zosterophorum, Smillosicyopus leprurus, Schismatogobius sp., Eleotris fusca, and Eleotris sp.*). Furthermore, Thomas et al. (2013) reported *Dulong* fish in Verde Island, Philippines, consisting of several species included in three families; Clupeidae, Gobiidae, and Scombridae (i.e. *Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus, Sardinella gibbosa, Sardinella lemuru, Spratelloides delicatulus, Sicyopterus pugnans, Sicyopterus lagocerastal, and Sicyopterus lagocer brachyosoma*).

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high fishing. It is feared that rapid environmental changes and uncontrolled capture will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. The management can only be performed if this is supported by the availability of accurate and current scientific information. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the diversity of Nike fish composers which can be a reference information for main exploration and their distribution in nature. Accordingly, the mapping of distribution areas, as well as appropriate conservation actions and sustainable management can be carried out. The purpose of this study is to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay comprehensively based on morphometric and molecular characters and to find out their molecular phylogenetics.

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the fishermen's catches during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January-March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each period of the appearance. The sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019a) based on the differences in melanophoric pattern in the body. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6–N20, while five groups were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019a). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Regarding the molecular analysis, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then filled in a sample bottle and added with 95% ethanol solution.

**Comment [A6]:** This paragraph need to be better organized (systematize the information about the "Nike" assembly. The reorganization of this paragraph, as well as its systematization, will be very important to frame and justify the prese work. It also needs to be clear how muc this information is representative of the total present in databases (web of knowledge, for example, can be used).

**Comment [A7]:** Once again, the information needs to be presented in a more systematic way. A review of the literature will show the authors that this problem is related to the difference between what concerns the scientist (th diversity of species, independent evolutionary units that can be impacted different ways) and what concerns the fishing market (the return exploitation c resource). Often species of less commer value are placed in the same group as another of greater commercial value to increase profits).

**Comment [A8]:** The justification presented in this paragraph was not bui on the basis of solid information throughout the introduction of the worl The introduction needs to be rewritten the information presented systematical

**Comment [A9]:** Here is the big probl of work. Species identification does not require work on molecular phylogeny. T use of an identification key would be necessary to know which species are present in Nike assemblies. Why didn't y use this tool? An important issue to be addressed in the work would be the presence of cryptic species, a situation i which the use of molecular markers wor be useful.

**Comment [A10]:** The correct form c identification would be based on the classification key. Why was the key not used? And why was the coloring patterr used to identify the groups? Colors are strongly influenced by environmental variables and can lead to errors. The use the color pattern to identify the groups needs to be justified. Figure 3 shows tha several other anatomical patterns are easily observed (position of the mouth, structure of the eye) and could be used. The work could have made a characterization beyond the melanophores.

**Comment [A11]:** Did the others correspond to species defined on the ba of melanophores? This information nee to be clear.





#### **Morphometric Characters**

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The measurement was performed using Image-J application.



#### Figure 2. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

 Table 1. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)
C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD)
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)
C5	Eye Lens diameter (EL)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)

Each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:

 $M_{adj} = M (L_s/L_0)^b$ 

**Comment [A12]:** For an internation: audience, it would be important to use a world map.

**Comment [A13]:** Chosen to be studi in the present work. Other measures ca be defined. Why were these 10 measure chosen?

**Comment [A14]:** Are they landmark You need to provide this information.

**Comment [A15]:** Indicate that the characterization of anatomical structure provided in table 2.

**Comment [A16]:** Is there any reason for choosing this correction pattern for allometry? There are other forms of correction in the literature that are considered more efficient.

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data, L<sub>0</sub> is the total length of fish, L<sub>s</sub> is the average total length, and parameter *b* is the slope of log linear curve M to log L<sub>0</sub> of all data.

# DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing

Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) isolation, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. Isolation of the DNA sample was performed using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke & Lynn, 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova dkk. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HC02198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer dkk. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primary attachment at 50 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 45 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's 1<sup>st</sup> Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10  $\mu$ l forward primers, and 10  $\mu$ l reverse primers.

## Data Analysis

Morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit, 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available in the GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) through the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some gobi DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Species Identification

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary. Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019a). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6-N20) presented in Figure 3. **Comment [A17]:** This paragraph mube rewritten. The analysis of morphome data should be presented in more detai after presenting the definition of the morphometric characters. The analysis of molecular marker data must be presented after the presentation of the molecular sampling and must also specify more clearly. For example, the authors do not present the evolution model considered for the construction of the tree.

**Comment [A18]:** Here lies the bigge problem at work. Color-based identification without considering other anatomical characters.





Figure 3. A New Record on the Diversity of Species Composers of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

The caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22–37.69 mm in general, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Banga of	Catch Size of Each Specie	Composor of Niko Fish	Accomplages in Corontale Ray
Table Z. Range Of	Catch Size of Each Specie	s composer of Mike Fish	i Assemblages in Goronialo Bay

Sample Code	Mean of Size	Range of Size	Number of Samples
N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
N4	2.089	2.089	1
N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2
N11	1.931	1.622-2.103	140
N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
N17	3.768	3.768	1
N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
Total Sample			2,523

Table 2 shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophoric patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 501 N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).

## Morphometric Data Analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al., 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Morphometric Characters Data of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

Sample	Unit of Character								
Code	SL	PL	ED	EL	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	2.237 ± 0.10	0.112 ± 0.02	0.131 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.13	0.447 ± 0.04	0.403 ± 0.09	0.188 ± 0.08	0.018 ± 0.01	0.063 ± 0.02
N2	2.214 ± 0.05	0.117 ± 0.02	0.132 ± 0.02	0.057 ± 0.02	0.442 ± 0.05	0.405 ± 0.04	0.228 ± 0.03	$0.014 \pm 0.00$	0.060 ± 0.03
N3	2.257 ± 0.07	0.137 ± 0.01	0.136 ± 0.01	0.073 ± 0.02	0.525 ± 0.03	0.383 ± 0.02	0.155 ± 0.01	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	0.038 ± 0.02
N4	2.333 ± a	0.118 ± a	0.166 ± a	0.086 ± a	0.841 ± a	0.502 ± a	0.183 ± a	0.023 ± a	0.201 ± a
N5	2.258 ± 0.08	0.158 ± 0.02	$0.150 \pm 0.01$	0.095 ± 0.02	0.557 ± 0.04	0.379 ± 0.02	0.156 ± 0.02	0.022 ± 0.00	0.065 ± 0.03
N6	2.246 ± 0.04	0.118 ± 0.02	$0.130 \pm 0.01$	0.141 ± 0.17	0.476 ± 0.04	0.406 ± 0.10	0.197 ± 0.11	0.030 ± 0.04	0.071 ± 0.04
N7	2.243 ± 0.04	0.114 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.144 ± 0.02	0.438 ± 0.03	0.390 ± 0.10	0.179 ± 0.09	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.062 ± 0.02
N8	2.238 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	$0.168 \pm 0.18$	0.456 ± 0.05	$0.381 \pm 0.11$	0.168 ± 0.11	0.023 ± 0.02	0.072 ± 0.03
N9	2.211 ± 0.04	0.146 ± 0.02	0.128 ± 0.02	0.062 ± 0.02	0.525 ± 0.03	0.365 ± 0.02	0.161 ± 0.02	0.016 ± 0.00	0.046 ± 0.02
N10	2.205 ± 0.04	$0.120 \pm 0.00$	0.138 ± 0.00	0.039 ± 0.01	0.502 ± 0.01	0.344 ± 0.01	0.169 ± 0.00	0.017 ± 0.00	0.045 ± 0.01
N11	2.222 ± 0.04	0.095 ± 0.02	0.131 ± 0.01	0.083 ± 0.02	$0.411 \pm 0.04$	0.376 ± 0.03	0.122 ± 0.02	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	0.080 ± 0.03
N12	2.256 ± 0.04	0.108 ± 0.02	0.123 ± 0.01	0.074 ± 0.02	0.434 ± 0.03	0.349 ± 0.04	0.116 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.00	$0.061 \pm 0.04$
N13	2.245 ± 0.04	0.112 ± 0.02	0.132 ± 0.01	0.154 ± 0.16	0.456 ± 0.04	0.389 ± 0.11	0.172 ± 0.20	0.022 ± 0.02	0.059 ± 0.02
N14	2.240 ± 0.03	$0.111 \pm 0.01$	0.139 ± 0.01	$0.061 \pm 0.01$	0.445 ± 0.03	0.381 ± 0.03	0.210 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.00	0.047 ± 0.01
N15	2.189 ± 0.04	0.154 ± 0.02	$0.140 \pm 0.01$	0.079 ± 0.02	0.543 ± 0.04	0.356 ± 0.02	0.177 ± 0.01	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.056 ± 0.02
N16	2.216 ± 0.04	0.111 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.045 ± 001	0.438 ± 0.05	0.394 ± 0.03	0.258 ± 0.03	0.012 ± 0.00	$0.051 \pm 0.01$
N17	2.352 ± a	0.069 ± a	0.122 ± a	0.039 ± a	0.387 ± a	0.272 ± a	0.187 ± a	0.010 ± a	0.047 ± a
N18	2.229 ± 002	$0.110 \pm 0.02$	0.133 ± 0.00	0.049 ± 0.00	0.409 ± 0.01	0.369 ± 0.02	0.252 ± 0.02	$0.010 \pm 0.00$	0.037 ± 0.01
N19	2.225 ± 0.03	$0.113 \pm 0.01$	0.124 ± 0.00	$0.038 \pm 0.00$	0.440 ± 0.02	0.460 ± 0.03	0.279 ± 0.02	0.009 ± 0.00	0.057 ± 0.02
N20	2.243 ± 0.05	$0.109 \pm 0.01$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	0.172 ± 0.17	0.462 ± 0.05	0.369 ± 0.10	0.152 ± 0.10	0.029 ± 0.03	0.065 ± 0.02

Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit, 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Diagram of the canonical discriminant function of nike fish in Gorontalo Bay WatersEach of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometricvariant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character

**Comment [A19]:** Three groups are formed. What do they stand for?

**Comment [A20]:** A table with a classification based on the discriminant analysis would be interesting.

was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three clusters, N1, N2, N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster. One sample, i.e. N17, does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster since the number of samples was only one during the observation period.

Sahami et al. (2019a) reported species N1 as *S. pugnans*; N2 as *S. cynocephalus*; N3 and N5 as *B. segura*; and N4 as *B. gyrinoides*. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the *Sicyopterus* genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused abdominal fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the abdominal fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused abdominal fins and Eleotridae has a pelvic fins.

#### **Molecular Analysis**

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay had a high level of diversity with the discovery of six different species as their composers. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Sample Code	Species	Sample Code	Species	
N6	Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis	
N7	Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis	
N8	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus	
N9	Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	
N10	Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus	
N11	Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei	
N12	Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis	

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. S. *parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni*) from the Gobiidae family; and *Belobranchus belobranchus* from the Eleotridae family. One species, *S. longifilis,* is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore patterns and one species, *S. cynocephalus,* is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019a). The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a species school in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster is a species school in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster is a species school in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon* 

**Comment [A21]:** Anatomical characteristics are important for the classification of species (or morphotype at first).

**Comment [A22]:** It doesn't make sense, species can be well identified bas on the classification key. The use of molecular markers is justified when cryp species are suspected.

**Comment [A23]:** It is important to present the taxonomic authorities of the species presented here (the results of the work). *semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.



**Comment [A24]:** "New record of species" There is no description of a new species, only identification of species already described.

The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus, S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis,* and *S. Pugnans*). The second genus is *Stiphodon* which consists of only one species (i.e. *Stiphodon semoni*). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. *Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura,* and *B. Belobranchus*).

#### Discussion

This fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromus species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith, 2003). According to Thacker & Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the post-larval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched gobi can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophoric patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the S. japonicus post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other Gobioidei fish larvae. Thacker & Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Akihito et al. (2000); Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only. Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea, 2009). The COI gene is the fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al., 2008; Bingpeng et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2013; Viswambharan et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2014; Taillebois et al., 2014; Lejeune et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Linh et al., 2018; Olii et al., 2019; Roesma et al., 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species.

Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and nature of dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that *S. lagocephalus* larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike *Belobranchus segura* fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not sexually change or have alternative sexual

35

Comment [A25]: It is in "Results and Discussion", it would be important to change the section name. As for the discussion, the questions presented in the sections "introduction" and "material and methods" need to be clarified. If it is not possible to classify the fish in the "Nike" assembly based on the classification keys, it needs to be stated clearly (I do not believe this to be true). Identification strategies are very welcom but they need to be organized clearly. The work really is very important, but in the presented sense. An analysis of population genetics would be very interesting and would help in several wa in the conservation of the species caugh

strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism.

The results of molecular identification indicate that the Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. *S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus,* and *Stiphodon semoni*). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species *A. Melanocephalus* by Usman (2016) and *Sicyopterus longifilis* by Olii et al. (2019). Recently, Sahami et al. (2019a) found the diversity of the composers species of Nike fish in *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides,* and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus,* and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker & Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of ± 1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are *Sicydium* Valenciennes, 1837; *Sicyopterus* Gill, 1860; *Lentipes* Günther, 1861; *Sicyopus* Gill, 1863; *Cotylopus* Guichenot, 1864; *Stiphodon* Weber, 1895; *Parasicydium* Risch, 1980; *Smilosicyopus* Watson, 1999; and *Akihito* Watson, Keith and Marquet, 2007 (Keith et al., 2011; Taillebois et al., 2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al., 2005; Keith et al., 2015; Lord et al., 2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found *Sicyopterus* as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters.

The *S. parvei* species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al., 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Java and Bali. Meanwhile, *S. lagocephalus* species is known as the species of genus *Sicyopterus* with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region (Keith et al., 2005; Lord et al., 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al., 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al., 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al., 2020) and further strengthen the discovery at the post-larval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. sequra*.

The *Stiphodon semoni* species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda & Tan, 2013; Hubert et al., 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson, 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda & Tan, 2013), Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).
The *A. melanocephalus* species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for *A. melanocephalus* was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, indepth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity.

Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish cluster in the Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several *milango* (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this study. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129–144.
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): e0198109.
- Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1–12.
- Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202–220.
  Ellior C. Werner Li, Krith P. 2014. Morphological charge during the transition from furthermatic and mutation of the continental slope of southerman.
- Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1–12.

Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294–299.

Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi. J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57–60.

Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): e2490.
Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty

RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144–169. Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544–548.

Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269–278.

Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of Tridentiger bifasciatus and Tridentiger barbatus (Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253-265.

Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831-847.

Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus Sicyopterus in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721-732.

Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Pallas) (Teleostei : Gobiidae : Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876-889. Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311-326.

Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiaty RK. 2015. A new species of *Sicyopterus* (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243–248. Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.

Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in

coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256–2268.
 Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby *Sicydium punctatum* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272–280.

Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433-451.

Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicvopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicvdiinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny, J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019:00: 1-16.

Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of Stiphodon (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2): 749-761

Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627-639. Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux

12 (1): 246-249. Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post

larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1-7. Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (Awaous sp.) in the estuary of

Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110-1118.

Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia, Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51-56.

Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111-118. [Indonesian] Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5 (2): 87 - 91.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of Puntius (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase 1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250-256.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of Puntius cf. binotatus from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54-60.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43-48.

Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46-52. [Indonesian]

Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358-1365.

Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: 1654.

Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (Awaous melanocephalus) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River. Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130-136. Sara L, Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu (2016) The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab Portunus pelagicus in Southeast Sulawesi Waters,

Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101-1112. Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata,

Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131-148 Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydiinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including

widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1-8. Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial

and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260-271.

Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265–293.

Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15–22. Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329–347.

Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines.

Phil J of Nat 18: 29-36. Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (Awaous sp.) Indigenous

Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian] Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an

amphidromous goby, Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33(4): 309-319 Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes,

Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15-19. Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CQ, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of Gobiopterus lacustris, a newly

recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1-7

Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of Sicyopterus japonicus in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371-379.

Watson RE. 2008. A new species of Stiphodon from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43-56.

Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, Sicyopterus japonicus, Awaous melanocephalus, and Stenogobius sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345-360.

Perbaikan dari Author:

# Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

Abstract. Nike is Gobioidei fish identified at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in the water of seawater before they migrate to the fresh-water, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromous species. This fish is very popular, favored by people, and has become an important economic commodity in Gorontalo. One of the biggest Nike fishing locations is Gorontalo Bay. This is very important to explore the types of species composing the Nike fish clusters in their contribution to fish biodiversity. Accordingly, this study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from the catches of fishermen<sup>1</sup>/<sub>s</sub> catches during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters to the estuary areas of Bone Bolango River from January to March 2019. The samples were then grouped based on their similarity of melanophore patterns, and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore patterns; 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The data of morphometric characters were analyzed for its while the DNA was analyzed using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tools) from NCB1. The results of morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three-four separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences of mitochondrial DNA indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish elusters assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters have a high level of diversity with the discovery of consisting of six species; stative species in the Gobiidae family (i.e. Sicyopterus, parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) generated Gobiidae family and a species in the Eleotridae family (Belobranchus belobranchus). species generated from Eleotridae family. It completes the data of the diversity of Nike fish composers in Gorontalo Bay waters which is very significant as the reference for inventorying and identifying the types of Nike fish in other estuary areas and adult amphidromous Gobies in Gorontalo rivers.

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Gobies, Nike fish, morphometric, molecular

Abbreviations: Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Running title: Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

# INTRODUCTION

Nike fish is a group of small Gobies that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually at the end of the month in Hijri calendar in the last quarter moon phase towards the new moon. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as *penja* in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019a; Nurjirana, Haris et al. 2019b) and *dulong* by the Philippines (Thomas et al., 2013). As a group of Aamphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); & Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh-water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then return to the

river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017); and Pasisingi & and Abdullah (2018)

report that Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Several morphological and molecular characters-based studies were then conducted to prove their truth. Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as Awaous melanocephalus Bleeker 1849. Furthermore, Olii et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish in the waters of Gorontalo Bay are Sicyopterus longifilis de Beaufort 1912. Meanwhile, Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019b) reported the fish is composed of species in the Gobiidae family and Eleotridae family based on its morphological characters. Sahami et al. (2019a2019b), in heris recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish cluster in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. S. pugnans Ogilvie-Grant 1884, S. cynocephalus Valenciennes 1837, Bunaka gyrinoides Bleeker 1853, and Belobranchus segura Keith, Hadiaty and Lord 2012). These studies show that Nike is amphidromous Gobi assemblages with a high diversity of species and it is possible that there are species that are not yet identified and reported to date. The high diversity of Nike fish is also supported by the high diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas as reported by Nurjirana<del>, Burhanuddin,</del> et al. (2019a) in a research related to Penja fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six genera and nine species (i.e. Sicyopterus lagocephalus Pallas 1770, Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni Weber 1895, Stiphodon atropurpureus Herre 1927, Sicyopus zosterophorum Bleeker 1856, Smillosicyopus leprurus Sakai and Nakamura 1979, Schismatogobius sp., Eleotris fusca Forster 1801, and Eleotris sp.). Furthermore, Thomas et al. (2013) reported Dulong fish in Verde Island, Philippines, consisting of several species included in three families; Clupeidae, Gobiidae, and Scombridae (i.e. Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus Rüppel 1837, Sardinella gibbosa Bleeker 1849, Sardinella lemuru Bleeker 1853, Spratelloides delicatulus Bennett 1832, Sicyopterus pugnans, Sicyopterus lagocerastal lagocephalus, and Sicyopterus lagocer brachyosoma Rastrelliger brachyosoma Bleeker 1851).

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high level of fishing. It is feared that rapid environmental changes and uncontrolled capture will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. The management can only be performed if this is supported by the availability of accurate and current scientific information. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the diversity of Nike fish composers which can be a reference information for main exploration and their distribution in nature. Accordingly, the mapping of distribution areas, as well as appropriate conservation actions and sustainable management can be carried out. The purpose of this study is to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay comprehensively based on morphometric and molecular characters and to find out their molecular phylogenetics.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the catches of fishermen's catches during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January—March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each period of the appearance. The sampled fishes were temporarily preserved in an icebox (4 °C) and was transported to the laboratory for further analysis. The sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b) based on the differences in melanophoric pattern in the body. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6–N20, while five groups were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of

Formatted: Font: Not Italic Formatted: Font: Not Italic melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Immediately after the measurement of morphometric characters, Regarding the molecular analysis, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then filled-placed in a sample bottle and added with 95% ethanol solution for molecular analysis.



Figure 1. Map of the research location

# **Morphometric Characters**

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The measurement was performed using Image-J application.



Figure 2. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

Table 1. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters	•	Formatted Table
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)		
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)		

C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD,
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)
C5	Eye <mark>Lens</mark> -Pupil <mark>diameter-</mark> Diameter ( <mark>EL</mark> EP)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)

Each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:

 $M_{adj} = M \left( L_s / L_0 \right)^{b}$ 

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data,  $L_0$  is the total length of fish,  $L_s$  is the average total length, and parameter *b* is the slope of log linear curve M to log  $L_0$  of all data.

# DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing

Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) isolationextraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. The DNA was extracted Isolation of the DNA sample was performed using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. Approximately ±30 mg samples of fish muscle tissue were taken and put into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, subsequently 200 ml GT Buffer was added and homogenized by grinding. Furthermore, 20 ml of Proteinase K was added and incubated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes during incubation. 200 ml GBT Buffer was added and vortexed for five seconds. The mixture was incubated at 60 °C for 20 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes. Also, 200 ml absolute ethanol was added and vortexed for 10 seconds, and then the sample was placed to the GS column in a 2 ml collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for two minutes. The collection tube was discarded and transferred the GS column to a new collection tube. 400 ml W1 Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds. The supernatant was removed, and the 600 ml Wash Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds. After that, the supernatant was discharged, and the GS column was placed back in the collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for three minutes. In the final step, the dried GS column was placed to a clean microcentrifuge tube, and the pre-heated Elution Buffer was added and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds.

The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke and& Lynn, 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova-dkk et al. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HC02198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer-dkk et al. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primary attachment at 50 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 45 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's 1<sup>st</sup> Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10 µl forward primers, and 10 µl reverse primers.

#### **Data Analysis**

Morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit, 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out

using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available onin the GenBank database National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) through the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some gobi DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Species Identification**

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary. Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019a) 2019b). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6-N20) presented in Figure 3.





Figure 3. A New Record on the Diversity of Species Composers of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

The caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22–37.69 mm in general, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2.

 Table 2. Range of Catch Size of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

Sample Code	Mean of <mark>Size</mark> Total Length (cm)	Range of <mark>Size</mark> Total Length (cm)	Number of Samples
N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
N4	2.089	2.089	1
N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2

# Formatted Table





SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

N11	1.931	1.622-2.103	140
N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
N17	3.768	3.768	1
N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
otal Sample			2,523

Table 2 shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophoric patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 501 N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).

# Morphometric Data Analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al., 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Morphometric Characters Data of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

Sample	Unit of Character (cm)								
Code	SL	PL	ED	<mark>el</mark> ep	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	2.237 ± 0.10	0.112 ± 0.02	0.131 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.13	0.447 ± 0.04	0.403 ± 0.09	$0.188 \pm 0.08$	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.063 ± 0.02
N2	2.214 ± 0.05	0.117 ± 0.02	0.132 ± 0.02	0.057 ± 0.02	0.442 ± 0.05	0.405 ± 0.04	0.228 ± 0.03	$0.014 \pm 0.00$	0.060 ± 0.03
N3	2.257 ± 0.07	0.137 ± 0.01	0.136 ± 0.01	0.073 ± 0.02	0.525 ± 0.03	0.383 ± 0.02	0.155 ± 0.01	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	0.038 ± 0.02
N4	2.333 ± a	0.118 ± a	0.166 ± a	0.086 ± a	0.841 ± a	0.502 ± a	0.183 ± a	0.023 ± a	0.201 ± a
N5	2.258 ± 0.08	0.158 ± 0.02	0.150 ± 0.01	0.095 ± 0.02	0.557 ± 0.04	0.379 ± 0.02	0.156 ± 0.02	0.022 ± 0.00	0.065 ± 0.03
N6	2.246 ± 0.04	0.118 ± 0.02	0.130 ± 0.01	0.141 ± 0.17	0.476 ± 0.04	0.406 ± 0.10	0.197 ± 0.11	0.030 ± 0.04	0.071 ± 0.04
N7	2.243 ± 0.04	0.114 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.144 ± 0.02	0.438 ± 0.03	0.390 ± 0.10	0.179 ± 0.09	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.062 ± 0.02
N8	2.238 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.168 ± 0.18	0.456 ± 0.05	0.381 ± 0.11	0.168 ± 0.11	0.023 ± 0.02	0.072 ± 0.03
N9	2.211 ± 0.04	0.146 ± 0.02	0.128 ± 0.02	0.062 ± 0.02	0.525 ± 0.03	0.365 ± 0.02	0.161 ± 0.02	0.016 ± 0.00	0.046 ± 0.02
N10	$2.205 \pm 0.04$	$0.120 \pm 0.00$	$0.138 \pm 0.00$	$0.039 \pm 0.01$	$0.502 \pm 0.01$	$0.344 \pm 0.01$	$0.169 \pm 0.00$	$0.017 \pm 0.00$	$0.045 \pm 0.01$
N11	2.222 ± 0.04	0.095 ± 0.02	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	$0.083 \pm 0.02$	$0.411 \pm 0.04$	0.376 ± 0.03	$0.122 \pm 0.02$	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	$0.080 \pm 0.03$
N12	2.256 ± 0.04	$0.108 \pm 0.02$	$0.123 \pm 0.01$	0.074 ± 0.02	$0.434 \pm 0.03$	$0.349 \pm 0.04$	$0.116 \pm 0.02$	$0.015 \pm 0.00$	$0.061 \pm 0.04$
N13	$2.245 \pm 0.04$	$0.112 \pm 0.02$	$0.132 \pm 0.01$	$0.154 \pm 0.16$	$0.456 \pm 0.04$	$0.389 \pm 0.11$	$0.172 \pm 0.20$	$0.022 \pm 0.02$	0.059 ± 0.02
N14	2.240 ± 0.03	$0.111 \pm 0.01$	0.139 ± 0.01	$0.061 \pm 0.01$	0.445 ± 0.03	0.381 ± 0.03	0.210 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.00	0.047 ± 0.01
N15	2.189 ± 0.04	0.154 ± 0.02	$0.140 \pm 0.01$	0.079 ± 0.02	0.543 ± 0.04	0.356 ± 0.02	0.177 ± 0.01	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.056 ± 0.02
N16	2.216 ± 0.04	0.111 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.045 ± 001	0.438 ± 0.05	0.394 ± 0.03	0.258 ± 0.03	0.012 ± 0.00	$0.051 \pm 0.01$
N17	2.352 ± a	0.069 ± a	0.122 ± a	0.039 ± a	0.387 ± a	0.272 ± a	0.187 ± a	0.010 ± a	0.047 ± a
N18	2.229 ± 002	$0.110 \pm 0.02$	0.133 ± 0.00	0.049 ± 0.00	0.409 ± 0.01	0.369 ± 0.02	0.252 ± 0.02	$0.010 \pm 0.00$	0.037 ± 0.01
N19	2.225 ± 0.03	$0.113 \pm 0.01$	$0.124 \pm 0.00$	$0.038 \pm 0.00$	$0.440 \pm 0.02$	$0.460 \pm 0.03$	0.279 ± 0.02	$0.009 \pm 0.00$	0.057 ± 0.02
N20	$2.243 \pm 0.05$	$0.109 \pm 0.01$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	$0.172 \pm 0.17$	$0.462 \pm 0.05$	$0.369 \pm 0.10$	$0.152 \pm 0.10$	$0.029 \pm 0.03$	$0.065 \pm 0.02$

Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit, 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.



**Figure 4.** Diagram of the canonical discriminant function of nike fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters Each of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometric variant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three-four clusters, N1, N2, N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster; and One-one sample, i.e. N17 in the fourth cluster. , does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster since the number of samples was only one during the observation period.

Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b) reported species N1 as *S. pugnans*; N2 as *S. cynocephalus*; N3 and N5 as *B. segura*; and N4 as *B. gyrinoides*. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the *Sicyopterus* genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused abdominal pelvic fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019b) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the abdominal pelvic fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused abdominal pelvic fins, forming a ventral disc and Eleotridae has a separated pelvic fins.

### **Molecular Analysis**

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay had a high level of diversity with the discovery of six different species as their composers. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Sample Code	Species	Sample Code	Species
N6	Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis
N7	Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis
N8	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus
N9	Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus
N10	Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus
N11	Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei
N12	Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. S. *parvei* Bleeker 1853, *S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni*) from the Gobiidae family; and *Belobranchus belobranchus* Valenciennes 1837 from the Eleotridae family. One species, *S. longifilis,* is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore patterns and one species, *S. cynocephalus,* is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b).

The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a species school in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster is a species school in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster is a species school in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Phylogenetic Tree of Nike fish School Composers in the Gorontalo Bay Waters

The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus, S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis,* and *S. Pugnans*). The second genus is *Stiphodon* which consists of only one species (i.e. *Stiphodon semoni*). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. *Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura,* and *B. Belobranchus*).

# Discussion

This fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromus species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith<sub>7</sub> 2003). According to Thacker & and Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the post-larval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched gobi can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophoric patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the S. japonicus post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other Gobioidei fish larvae. Thacker & and Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Akihito et al. (2000); Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only. Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea, 2009). The COI gene is the

fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al. 2008; Bingpeng et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al. 2012; Thomas et al. 2013; Viswambharan et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2014; Taillebois et al. 2014; Lejeune et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017; Linh et al. 2018; Olii et al. 2019; Roesma et al. 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species.

Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and nature of dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that *S. lagocephalus* larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019a), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike *Belobranchus segura* fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not sexually change or have alternative sexual

strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism.

The results of molecular identification indicate that the **new melanophore patterns of** Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. *S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus,* and *Stiphodon semoni*). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species *A. Melanocephalus* by Usman (2016) and *Sicyopterus longifilis* by Olii et al. (2019). Recently,

Sahami et al. (2019a2019b) found the diversity of the composers species of Nike fish in *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides,* and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus,* and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns

compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker and Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of ± 1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are *Sicydium* Valenciennes, 1837; *Sicyopterus* Gill, 1860; *Lentipes* Günther, 1861; *Sicyopus* Gill, 1863; *Cotylopus* Guichenot, 1864; *Stiphodon* Weber, 1895; *Parasicydium* Risch, 1980; *Smilosicyopus* Watson, 1999; and *Akihito* Watson,

Keith and Marquet, 2007 (Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2011; Taillebois et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2005; Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2015; Lord et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found *Sicyopterus* as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters.

The *S. parvei* species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al., 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Java and Bali. Meanwhile, *S. lagocephalus* species is known as the species of genus *Sicyopterus* with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region (Keith et al., 2005; Lord et al., 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al., 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al., 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2020) and further strengthen the discovery at the post-larval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. sequra*.

The *Stiphodon semoni* species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda & and Tan, 2013; Hubert et al., 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson, 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda & Tan, 2013),

Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019<u>a</u>); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019). The *A. melanocephalus* species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for *A. melanocephalus* was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, indepth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity.

Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish **cluster**-assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several *milango* (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this study. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129–144.
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): e0198109.
  Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through
- Dantudan H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keitn P, Hadnaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bait through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1–12. Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1–12.
- Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202–220.
- Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, Sicyopterue largocephalus (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1–12.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294–299.
- Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi, J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57–60.
- Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): e2490.

Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144–169.

Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544–548

Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269–278.

Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2013/2014. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Tridentiger bifasciatus* and *Tridentiger barbatus* (Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253–265. Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831–847.

Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus *Sicyopterus* in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721–732.

of the genus *Sicyopterus* in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721–732. Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas) (Teleostei : Gobiidae : Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876–889. Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae)

inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311–326. Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiaty RK. 2015. A new species of *Sicyopterus* (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243–248. Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.

Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in constitute and Neth Sea provide the provide selection of the conductive selection of the conductive selection.

coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256–2268.
Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby *Sicydium punctatum* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272–280.

Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433–451.

Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicyopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019;00: 1–16.

Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of *Stiphodon* (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2): 749–761.

Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627–639.

Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019a. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (1): 246–249.

Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019b. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1–7.
 Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (*Awaous* sp.) in the estuary of

Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110–1118.

Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51–56.

Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111–118. [Indonesian] Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5

(2): 87–91. Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of *Puntius* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase

1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250–256. Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of *Puntius* cf. *binotatus* from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based

on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54-60. Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome

oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43–48. Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46–52. [Indonesian]

Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358–1365.

Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019b. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: 1654.

Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (Awaous melanocephalus) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River. Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130–136.

Sara L, Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu [2016] The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab *Portunus pelagicus* in Southeast Sulawesi Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101–1112.

Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131–148.
 Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydiinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including

widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1–8. Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260–271.

Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265–293.

Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15–22. Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329–347.

Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines. Phil J of Nat 18: 29–36.

Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15-22.

Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (Awaous sp.) Indigenous Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian]

Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33(4): 309–319.

Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes, Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15–19.

Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CQ, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of *Gobiopterus lacustris*, a newly recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1–7.

Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of *Sicyopterus japonicus* in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371–379.
Watson RE. 2008. A new species of *Stiphodon* from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43–56.
Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, *Sicyopterus japonicus, Awaous* melanocephalus, and *Stenogobius* sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345–360.

# [biodiv] Editor Decision

2020-08-26 04:21 AM

54

Femy M. Sahami, Rene Charles Kepel, Abdul Hafidz Olii, Silvester Benny Pratasik, Ridwan Lasabuda, Adnan Wantasen, Sitty Ainsyah Habibie:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Morphometrics and genetics variations of species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters, Indonesia".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Smujo Editors editors@smujo.id

\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer C:

The manuscript has an interesting subject, suitable for the scope of the journal. The use of the English language is suitable and the manuscript is understandable. However, the manuscript must be submitted somewhere for an English proofing, because there are very many English grammar and phrasing mistakes. If English is corrected, I recommend accepted with minor revisions.

The authors can find below my recommendations for improving the manuscript, line by line:

Abstract - please shorten to approximately 200 words, according to the journal guidelines

Line 14: please avoid using the short genitive (fishermen's) throughout the paper; it is informal speech, and should be avoided in a scientific context; "catches of fishermen" can be used in this case

Line 22: the brackets with scientific names should be moved after mentioning the family, because otherwise, it seems that the authors say they found 6 species and present only 5 in brackets

Line 32: in addition to the hijri calendar, please mention the month period in the gregorian calendar (usually used in most of the world), because the journal has an international audience and should make it easier for it to understand

Line 33: the formatting of the citations is not respected: it should be Nurjirana et al. 2019a and Nurjirana et al. 2019b; there also shouldn't be a comma after the "et al." (before the year); the authors should correct their citations throughout the paper, because there are other citations with incorrect formatting

Line 34: "amphidromous" not "Amphidromous" (it is a common noun, not proper noun, to be written with capital letter)

Line 45 and onward: at first mentioning in the text, the scientific names should be followed by the author and year, as specified in the journal guidelines

Line 57: is the term "high fishing" correct? what does it mean?

Line 74: "placed" instead of "filled"

Line 74: how long did it take from placing the sample in the ethanol solution to making the melanophore pattern observations and preparing the DNA sample? How long did it take from catching the fish to preserving the fish in ethanol?

- the coloration of the fish (melanophore pattern) can suffer changes after being removed from the natural habitat, after death or after preservation in different solutions (discoloration is visible in figure 3); was this aspect taken into consideration?

Table 1: it should be "eye pupil diameter", not "eye lens diameter"

Line 91: even though it is mentioned that the protocol on the kit was followed, the authors should briefly mention how the DNA sample was prepared

Line 96: What is Ivanova dkk? should it be Ivanova et al.? please be more careful when citing (there are other references with dkk)

Line 98: the citation has a dkk that should be replaced

Line 108: the site is not cited in the reference list

Line 126, Table 2: the measurement unit should be presented in the table for the mean size and size range; also, what size is it presented in the table from the 10 characters measured? Is it the total length? if so, the authors should be more specific

Line 135: Table 3 - the measurement unit should be presented; there are 9 characteristic presented out of 10; if the one missing is presented in the previous table, it is ok

Lines 147-148: the argument is also valid for N4 (only 1 individual was obtained); why was N4 included in a cluster with 1 sample, and N17 was not included in any cluster, still with 1 sample?

Line 155: "abdominal fins" should be changed to "ventral fins" or "pelvic fins"; in ichthyology, abdominal fin is not a scientific term

Line 158: the term "fused abdominal fins" should be "fused ventral fins, forming a ventral disc";

Line 204: Akihito et al. (2000) does not appear in references

Line 220: There is the reference Sahami et al. 2019, but previously it was Sahami et al. 2019 a; so it should either be Sahami et al. 2019a or Sahami et al. 2019b, if it is different

Lines 291-293: the 2 references, Elliot and Ellien, are not in alphabetical order

Line 306: in the text, the year is 2014, and in references, it is 2013; the authors should check and correct

56 SAHAMI et al. – Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages								
Line 354: the year is not formatted according to the journal format								
Lines 363-365: the references are not ordered alphabetically								
Good luck in publishing the manuscript!								
Recommendation: Revisions Required								
 Reviewer E:								
The work done is very important for strategies focused on the conservation of global biodiversity. However, many points need to be better worked out so that the article is ready for publication. I am sending a Word file in which the considerations are presented.								
Recommendation: Revisions Required								

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

Perbaikan dari Author:

Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia Abstract. Nike is Gobioidei fish identified at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in the water of seawater before they migrate to the fresh-water, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromous species. This fish is very popular, favored by people, and has become an important economic commodity in Gorontalo. One of the biggest Nike fishing locations is Gorontalo Bay. This is very important to explore the types of species composing the Nike fish clusters in their contribution to fish biodiversity. Accordingly, tThis study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from the catches of fisherments during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters to the estuary areas of Bone Bolango River from January to March 2019. The samples were then grouped based on their similarity of melanophore patterns, and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore patterns; 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The data of morphometric characters were analyzed for its differentiators, while the DNA was analyzed using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tools) from NCBL. The results of morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences of mitochondrial DNA indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish elusters assemblages in Gorontalo Bay waters have a high level of diversity with the discovery of consisting of six species; six five species in the Gobiidae family (i.e. Sicyopterus- parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) generated Gobiidae family-and a species in the Eleotridae family (Belobranchus belobranchus).-species generated from Eleotridae family. It completes the data of the diversity of Nike fish composers in Gorontalo Bay waters which is very significant as the reference for inventorying and identifying the types of Nike fish in other estuary areas and adult amphidromous Gobies in Gorontalo rivers.

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Eleotridae, Gobies, Gobiidae, Nike fish, morphometrie, molecular

Abbreviations: Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Running title: Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

# INTRODUCTION

Nike (local name) is a designation for a goby fish group in the post-larval to the juvenile stages **Alke ish is a group of small Gobin**-that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually-**at the end of** the month in Hijri calendar in the last quarter moon phase towards the new moon. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as *Nike* in North Sulawesi (Pangemanan et al. 2020), *penja* in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019a; Nurjirana; Haris et al. 2019b), and *dulong* by the Philippines (Thomas et al., 2013). As a group of Aamphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); & Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then return to the river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017); and Pasisingi & and Abdullah (2018) report that Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Several morphological

and molecular characters-based studies were then conducted to prove their truth-Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as *Awaous melanocephalus* Bleeker 1849. Furthermore, Olii et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish in the waters of Gorontalo Bay are-is *Sicyopterus longifilis* de Beaufort 1912. Several studies were then conducted to investigate reality. Sahami et al. (2019b), in her recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. *S. pugnans* Ogilvie-Grant 1884, *S. cynocephalus* Valenciennes 1837, *Bunaka gyrinoides* Bleeker 1853, *and Belobranchus segura* Keith, Hadiaty and

Lord 2012). Meanwhile, Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019b) also found similar findings that Nike reported the fish is composed of species in the Gobiidae family and Eleotridae family. based on its morphological characters. Sahami et al. (2019a), in his recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish cluster in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. *S. pugnons, S. cynocepholus, Bunako gyrinoides, and Belobranchus segura*) and it is possible that there are species that are not yet identified and reported to date. However, limited studies reported the diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas. The high diversity of Nike fish is also supported by the high

diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas as reported by Nurjirana<mark>, Burhanuddin,</mark> et

al. (2019a) In a research related to reported *Penja* fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six genera and nine species (i.e. *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* Pallas 1770, *Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni* Weber 1895, *Stiphodon atropurpureus* Herre 1927, *Sicyopus zosterophorum* Bleeker 1856, *Smilosicyopus leprurus* Sakai and Nakamura 1979, *Schismatogobius* sp., *Eleotris fusca* Forster 1801, *and Eleotris* sp.). Furthemore, Pangemanan et al. (2020) reported Nike fish in Tondano Lake consist of six different melanophore patterns that belong to the same species *Ophioleotris aporos*. Thomas et al. (2013) reported *Dulong* fish in Verde Island, Philippines, consisting of several species included in three families; Clupeidae, Gobiidae, and Scombridae (i.e., *Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus* Rüppel 1837, *Sardinella gibbosa* Bleeker 1849, *Sardinella lemuru* Bleeker 1853, *Sprotelloides delicatulus* 

Bennett 1832, Sicyopterus pugnans, Sicyopterus lagocerastallagocephalus, and Sicyopterus lagocer brachyosomaRastrelliger brachyosoma Bleeker 1851).

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high level of fishing. However, scientific studies on these fish in bioecological, fishing, and economic are still limited. It is feared that limited information and uncontrolled fishing will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. As an initial step, research related to the morphometric and molecular variations of the species that make up the Nike fish assemblage is critical to find out the bioecological information of species that can be used as a basis data for its sustainable management. Generally, research on the morphometric and molecular variations of Nike fish in Gorontalo has never been conducted. The morphometric and molecular characters of Nike fish are crucial to validate the taxonomic status. These methods are often combined to overcome the limitations of the morphological identification which heavily influenced by the environment (Firawati et al. 2017; Habibie et al. 2018). Determining the identity of juvenile gobies down to the species level based on morphological characters is difficult (Yokoo et al. 2011). Meanwhile, melanophores can be found in newly hatched gobies larvae (Yamasaki et al. 2011). Therefore this study using a grouping strategy based on the similarity of the melanophore pattern. This study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay based on morphometric and molecular characters. It is feared that rapid environmental changes and uncontrolled capture will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate

management is carried out. The management can only be performed if this is supported by the availability of accurate and current scientific information. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the diversity of Nike fish composers which can be a reference information for main exploration and their distribution in nature. Accordingly, the mapping of distribution areas, as well as appropriate conservation actions and sustainable management can be carried out. The purpose of this study is to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay comprehensively based on morphometric and molecular characters and to find out their molecular phylogenetics. Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the catches of fishermen's catches during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January--March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each period of the appearance period. The sampled fishes were temporarily preserved in an icebox (4 °C) and was transported to the laboratory for further analysis. Since the gobioidei species identification guidelines for the postlarvae and juvenile phase are still not yet available, the sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019a2019b) based on the differences in melanophoric pattern in the body. Yokoo et al. (2011) stated that the goby juvenile morphology has not been widely studied, and their early developmental stages are difficult to identify down to the species level. Yamasaki et al. (2011) stated that the newly hatched goby's larvae could be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern. Therefore, the identification strategy using these melanophore patterns has the potential to become a guide for simple identification of the post-larvae goby species in other areas in the future. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6–N20, while five groups (N1-N5) were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019a2019b). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Immediately after the measurement of morphometric characters, Regarding the molecular analysis, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then filled placed in a sample bottle and added with 95% ethanol solution for molecular analysis.



Figure 1. Map of the research location

#### **Morphometric Characters**

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The ten characters were chosen because they are easily observable parts of the body, while the other body parts are still not fully developed. The measurement was performed using Image-J application.



Figure 2. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

Table 1. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al., 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters		Formatted Table
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)		
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)		
C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD)		
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)		
C5	Eye <mark>Lens</mark> -Pupil <mark>diameter</mark> -Diameter ( <mark>EL</mark> EP)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)		

Pasisingi et al. (2020a) stated that Nike fish population shows a positive allometric growth pattern. Therefore, each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:  $M_{adj} = M (L_s/L_0)^b$ 

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data,  $L_0$  is the total length of fish,  $L_s$  is the average total length, and parameter *b* is the slope of log linear curve M to log  $L_0$  of all data.

#### **DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing**

Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) isolation extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. The DNA was extracted Isolation of the DNA sample was performed-using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. Approximately ±30 mg samples of fish muscle tissue were taken and put into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, subsequently 200 ml GT Buffer was added and homogenized by grinding. Furthermore, 20 ml of Proteinase K was added and incubated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes during incubation. 200 ml GBT Buffer was added and vortexed for five seconds. The mixture was incubated at 60 °C for 20 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes. Also, 200 ml absolute ethanol was added and vortexed for 10 seconds, and then the sample was placed to the GS column in a 2 ml collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for two minutes. The collection tube was discarded and transferred the GS column to a new collection tube. 400 ml W1 Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds. The supernatant was removed, and the 600 ml Wash Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds. After that, the supernatant was discharged, and the GS column was placed back in the collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for three minutes. In the final

# step, the dried GS column was placed to a clean microcentrifuge tube, and the pre-heated Elution Buffer was added and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds.

The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke and Lynn, 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova-dkk et al. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HC02198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer-dkk et al. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primary attachment at 50 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 45 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's 1<sup>st</sup> Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10 µl forward primers, and 10 µl reverse primers.

# **Data Analysis**

The standardized Morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit, 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available on in the GenBank database National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) through the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some goby DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Species Identification**

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary. Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019a,2019b). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6-N20) presented in Figure 3.







Figure 3. A New Record on the Diversity of Species Composers of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

The caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22–37.69 mm in general, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Range of Catch Size of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

Sample Code	Mean of <mark>Size</mark> Total Length (cm)	Range of <mark>Size</mark> Total Length (cm)	Number of Samples
N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
N4	2.089	2.089	1
N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2
N11	1.931	1.622-2.103	140
N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
N17	3.768	3.768	1
N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
Total Sample			2,523

Table 2 shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophoric patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 501 N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).

#### Morphometric Data Analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al., 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Morphometric Characters Data of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay

Sample				Un	it of Character (	cm)			
Code	SL	PL	ED	<mark>el</mark> ep	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	2.237 ± 0.10	0.112 ± 0.02	0.131 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.13	0.447 ± 0.04	0.403 ± 0.09	$0.188 \pm 0.08$	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.063 ± 0.02
N2	2.214 ± 0.05	0.117 ± 0.02	0.132 ± 0.02	0.057 ± 0.02	0.442 ± 0.05	0.405 ± 0.04	0.228 ± 0.03	$0.014 \pm 0.00$	0.060 ± 0.03
N3	2.257 ± 0.07	$0.137 \pm 0.01$	$0.136 \pm 0.01$	$0.073 \pm 0.02$	$0.525 \pm 0.03$	$0.383 \pm 0.02$	$0.155 \pm 0.01$	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	$0.038 \pm 0.02$

63

Formatted Table

N4	2.333 ± a	0.118 ± a	0.166 ± a	0.086 ± a	0.841 ± a	0.502 ± a	0.183 ± a	0.023 ± a	0.201 ± a
N5	2.258 ± 0.08	0.158 ± 0.02	$0.150 \pm 0.01$	0.095 ± 0.02	0.557 ± 0.04	0.379 ± 0.02	0.156 ± 0.02	0.022 ± 0.00	0.065 ± 0.03
N6	2.246 ± 0.04	0.118 ± 0.02	$0.130 \pm 0.01$	0.141 ± 0.17	0.476 ± 0.04	0.406 ± 0.10	0.197 ± 0.11	0.030 ± 0.04	$0.071 \pm 0.04$
N7	2.243 ± 0.04	0.114 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.144 ± 0.02	0.438 ± 0.03	0.390 ± 0.10	0.179 ± 0.09	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.062 ± 0.02
N8	2.238 ± 0.01	0.116 ± 0.02	0.133 ± 0.01	0.168 ± 0.18	0.456 ± 0.05	0.381 ± 0.11	0.168 ± 0.11	0.023 ± 0.02	0.072 ± 0.03
N9	2.211 ± 0.04	0.146 ± 0.02	0.128 ± 0.02	0.062 ± 0.02	0.525 ± 0.03	0.365 ± 0.02	0.161 ± 0.02	0.016 ± 0.00	0.046 ± 0.02
N10	2.205 ± 0.04	$0.120 \pm 0.00$	0.138 ± 0.00	0.039 ± 0.01	0.502 ± 0.01	0.344 ± 0.01	0.169 ± 0.00	0.017 ± 0.00	0.045 ± 0.01
N11	2.222 ± 0.04	0.095 ± 0.02	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	0.083 ± 0.02	$0.411 \pm 0.04$	0.376 ± 0.03	0.122 ± 0.02	$0.018 \pm 0.00$	0.080 ± 0.03
N12	2.256 ± 0.04	0.108 ± 0.02	0.123 ± 0.01	0.074 ± 0.02	0.434 ± 0.03	0.349 ± 0.04	0.116 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.00	$0.061 \pm 0.04$
N13	2.245 ± 0.04	0.112 ± 0.02	0.132 ± 0.01	0.154 ± 0.16	0.456 ± 0.04	0.389 ± 0.11	0.172 ± 0.20	0.022 ± 0.02	0.059 ± 0.02
N14	2.240 ± 0.03	$0.111 \pm 0.01$	0.139 ± 0.01	$0.061 \pm 0.01$	0.445 ± 0.03	0.381 ± 0.03	0.210 ± 0.02	0.015 ± 0.00	0.047 ± 0.01
N15	2.189 ± 0.04	$0.154 \pm 0.02$	$0.140 \pm 0.01$	0.079 ± 0.02	0.543 ± 0.04	0.356 ± 0.02	0.177 ± 0.01	$0.018 \pm 0.01$	0.056 ± 0.02
N16	2.216 ± 0.04	$0.111 \pm 0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.01$	0.045 ± 001	0.438 ± 0.05	0.394 ± 0.03	0.258 ± 0.03	0.012 ± 0.00	$0.051 \pm 0.01$
N17	2.352 ± a	0.069 ± a	0.122 ± a	0.039 ± a	0.387 ± a	0.272 ± a	0.187 ± a	0.010 ± a	0.047 ± a
N18	2.229 ± 002	$0.110 \pm 0.02$	$0.133 \pm 0.00$	$0.049 \pm 0.00$	$0.409 \pm 0.01$	0.369 ± 0.02	0.252 ± 0.02	$0.010 \pm 0.00$	$0.037 \pm 0.01$
N19	2.225 ± 0.03	$0.113 \pm 0.01$	$0.124 \pm 0.00$	$0.038 \pm 0.00$	$0.440 \pm 0.02$	0.460 ± 0.03	0.279 ± 0.02	0.009 ± 0.00	0.057 ± 0.02
N20	2.243 ± 0.05	$0.109 \pm 0.01$	$0.131 \pm 0.01$	0.172 ± 0.17	0.462 ± 0.05	0.369 ± 0.10	0.152 ± 0.10	0.029 ± 0.03	$0.065 \pm 0.02$

Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit, 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Diagram of the canonical discriminant function of nike fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters

Each of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometric variant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three clusters, N1, N2,

#### Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster. One sample, i.e. N17, does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster. Besides, the forked caudal fin shows that N17 is not a member of the goby group and is strongly suspected of being accidentally caught. Since the number of samples was only one during the observation period.

Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b) reported species N1 as *S. pugnans*; N2 as *S. cynocephalus*; N3 and N5 as *B. segura*; and N4 as *B. gyrinoides*. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the *Sicyopterus* genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused abdominal-pelvic fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana, Haris, et al. (2019b) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the abdominal-pelvic fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused abdominal-pelvic fins, forming a ventral disc and Eleotridae has a separated pelvic fins.

#### **Molecular Analysis**

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay had a high level of diversity with the discovery consist of six different species as their composers. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Sample Code	Species	Sample Code	Species	_
N6	Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis	
N7	Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis	
N8	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus	
N9	Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	
N10	Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus	
N11	Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei	
N12	Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis	

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. S. *parvei* Bleeker 1853, *S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni*) from the Gobiidae family; and *Belobranchus belobranchus* Valenciennes 1837 from the Eleotridae family. One species, *S. longifilis,* is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore patterns and one species, *S. cynocephalus*, is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019a 2019b).

The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a species school in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster is a species school in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster is a species school in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon* 

*semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Phylogenetic Tree of Nike fish School Composers in the Gorontalo Bay Waters

The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus, S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis,* and *S. Pugnans*). The second genus is *Stiphodon* which consists of only one species (i.e. *Stiphodon semoni*). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. *Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura,* and *B. Belobranchus*).

# Discussion

Fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromous species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith<sub>7</sub> 2003). According to Thacker and Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the post-larval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched goby can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophoric patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the S. japonicus post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other Gobioidei fish larvae. Thacker & and Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Akihito et al. (2000); Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only. Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea, 2009). The COI gene is the fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al., 2008; Bingpeng et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2018; Roesma et al., 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al. 2012; Thomas et al. 2013; Viswambharan et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2014; Taillebois et al. 2014; Lejeune et al. 2016; Wang et

al., 2017; Linh et al., 2018; Olii et al., 2019; Roesma et al., 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species.

Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and nature of dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that *S. lagocephalus* larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019a), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike *Belobranchus segura* fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not sexually change or have alternative sexual

strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism.

The results of molecular identification indicate that the **new melanophore patterns of** Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. *S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus*, and *Stiphodon semoni*). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species *A. Melanocephalus* by Usman (2016) and *Sicyopterus longifilis* by Olii et al. (2019). Recently, Sahami et al. (2019a2019b) found the diversity of the composers species of Nike fish in *S. pugnans, S.* 

*cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides*, and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus*, and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns

compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker and Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of ± 1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are *Sicydium* Valenciennes, 1837; *Sicyopterus* Gill, 1860; *Lentipes* Günther, 1861; *Sicyopus* Gill, 1863; *Cotylopus* Guichenot, 1864; *Stiphodon* Weber, 1895; *Parasicydium* Risch, 1980; *Smilosicyopus* Watson, 1999; and *Akihito* Watson,

Keith and Marquet, 2007 (Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2011; Taillebois et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2005; Keith et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2015; Lord et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found *Sicyopterus* as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters.

The *S. parvei* species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al., 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja, 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al., 2014); and Java and Bali. Meanwhile, *S. lagocephalus* species is known as the species of genus *Sicyopterus* with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region (Keith et al., 2005; Lord et al., 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al., 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al., 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2020b) and further strengthen the discovery at the post-larval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. sequra*.

The *Stiphodon semoni* species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda & and Tan, 2013; Hubert et al., 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson, 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda & Tan, 2013),

Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin *et al.*, 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana, Burhanuddin, et al., 2019<u>a</u>); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al., 2019). The *A. melanocephalus* species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for *A. melanocephalus* was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, indepth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity.

Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish **cluster** assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several *milango* (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this **Study** research. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129–144.

Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): e0198109.
Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through

Dantudan H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keitn P, Hadnaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the intrijoniversity of Java and Bait through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1–12. Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1–12.

Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202–220.

Ellien C, Wemer U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1-12.

Firawati I, Murwantoko, Setyobudi E. 2017. Morphological and molecular characterization of hairtail (*Trichiurus* spp.) from the Indian Ocean, southern coast of East Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 18 (1): 190–196.

Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294–299.

Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi. J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57–60.

Habibie SA, Djumanto, Murwantoko. 2018. Polikromatik, dimorfisme seksual, dan redeskripsi spesies ikan red devil Amphilophus amarillo [Stauffer & McKaye, 2002] di Waduk Sermo Yogyakarta. J Iktiologi Indones 18 (1): 69–86. [Indonesian]
 Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008.

Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144–169.

Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544–548.

Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269–278.
 Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2013/2014. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Tridentiger bifasciatus* and *Tridentiger barbatus*

(Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253–265. Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831–847.

Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of Sicyopterus lagocephalus (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus Sicyopterus in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721–732.

Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas) (Teleostei : Gobiidae : Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876–889. Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae)

inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311–326. Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiaty RK. 2015. A new species of *Sicyopterus* (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243–248. Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.

Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256–2268.

Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby Sicydium punctatum (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272–280.

Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433–451.

Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicyopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019;00: 1–16.
Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of *Stiphodon* (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2):

749-761. Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627-639.

Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019a. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (1): 246–249.

Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019b. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1–7.

Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (Awaous sp.) in the estuary of Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110–1118.

Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51–56.

Pangemanan NP, Kepel RC, Bataragoa NE, Tumbol R, Sahami FM. 2020. Morphological and molecular identification of nike fish, Ophioleotris aporos in Tondano Lake, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bopflux 13 (3): 1614–1621.

Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111–118. [Indonesian]

Pasisingi N, Olii AH, Habibie SA. 2020a. Morphology and growth pattern of Nike fish (amphidromous goby larvae) in Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Tomini J Aquat Sci 1 (1): 1–7.

Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020b. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5 (2): 87–91.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of *Puntius* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase 1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250–256.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of *Puntius* cf. *binotatus* from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54–60.

Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43–48.

Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46–52. [Indonesian]

Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358–1365.
 Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019b. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay,

Indonesia? [version ]; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: 1654. Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (*Awaous melanocephalus*) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River.

Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130–136. Sara L, Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu. (2016.) The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab *Portunus pelagicus* in Southeast Sulawesi Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101–1112.

Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131–148.

Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydiinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1–8.

Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260–271.

Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265–293. Tiakrawidiaia AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15–22.

Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329–347.

Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines. Phil J of Nat 18: 29–36.

Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15–22.

Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): e2490.

Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (Awaous sp.) Indigenous

Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian]
 Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33(4): 309–319.

Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes,

Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15–19.
Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CQ, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of *Gobiopterus lacustris*, a newly recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1–7.

Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of Sicyopterus japonicus in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371–379. Watson RE. 2008. A new species of *Stiphodon* from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43–56. Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, *Sicyopterus japonicus, Awaous* 

melanocephalus, and Stenogobius sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345-360.

Yokoo T, Kanou K, Moteki M, Kohno H, Tongnunui P, Kurokura H. 2011. Assemblage structures and spatial distributions of small gobioid fishes in a mangrove estuary, southern Thailand. Fish Sci 78: 237–247.
SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

# [biodiv] Editor Decision

2020-09-11 05:04 PM

FEMY M. SAHAMI, RENE CHARLES KEPEL, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK, RIDWAN LASABUDA, ADNAN WANTASEN, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, "Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Smujo Editors editors@smujo.id

**Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity** 

74 SAHAMI et al. – Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

# [biodiv] Editor Decision

2020-09-11 05:06 PM

FEMY M. SAHAMI, RENE CHARLES KEPEL, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK, RIDWAN LASABUDA, ADNAN WANTASEN, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE:

The editing of your submission, "Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: https://smujo.id/biodiv/authorDashboard/submission/6414

Smujo Editors editors@smujo.id

**Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity** 

# SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages

•	0	🔒 smujo.id	C		① + 88
Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity	Uncorrected proof		×	⊖ English	🛔 femysahami
R) Ni	<b>Participants</b> Smujo Editors (editors) DEWI NUR PRATIWI (dewinurpratiw Mrs. Femy M. Sahami (femysahami)	n) )			d
<u>u</u>	Messages Note		From	i 06:12	
B	Dear Author(s), Pls, find attached file for ar The revised manuscript is a revision; our staff will fix it a	n uncorrected proof (Copyedited file). awaited. Do not worry about layout changes due to again.	dewinurpratiwi 2020-09-09 07:38 AM	08:27	
	Note: Kindly use track char	nge when you make improvements. Sahami.doc			
	<ul> <li>Dear Editor of Biodiversitas</li> <li>Please find attached file for have made improvements v</li> <li>Thankyou very much for the</li> </ul>	s Journal, r the revised manuscript of copyedited file. We with track change. Also, we will pay a billing soon. e cooperation.	femysahami 2020-09-11 06:12 AM		
	Sincerely, Femy M. Sahami 🗅 femysahami, revised-6414-	-Article Text-29081-1-18-20200909.doc			

# Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

# FEMY M. SAHAMI<sup>1</sup><sup>\*</sup>, RENE CHARLES KEPEL<sup>2</sup>, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII<sup>1</sup>, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK<sup>2</sup>, RIDWAN LASABUDA<sup>2</sup>, ADNAN WANTASEN<sup>2</sup>, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University. Jl. Jend. Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, 96128, Gorontalo, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-435-821125, Fax.: +62-435-821752. \*email: femysahami@ung.ac.id
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, 95115, North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

Manuscript received: 13 July 2020. Revision accepted: xxx September 2020.

Abstract. Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB, Lasabuda R, Wantasen A, Habibie SA. 2020. Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: xxxx. Nike is Gobioidei fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in seawater before they migrate to the freshwater, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromous species. This study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from the catches of fishermen during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters from January to March 2019. The samples were grouped based on their similarity of melanophore patterns, and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore patterns; 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish assemblages consisting of six species; five species in the Eleotridae family (i.e. Sicyopterus parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. laggicephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) and a species in the Eleotridae family (Belobranchus belobranchus).

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Eleotridae, Gobiidae, molecular.

Abbreviations: Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

#### INTRODUCTION

Nike (local name) is a designation for a goby fish group in the post-larval to the juvenile stages that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually in the last guarter moon phase towards the new moon. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as Nike in North Sulawesi (Pangemanan et al. 2020), penja in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al. 2019a; Nurjirana et al. 2019b), and dulong by the Philippines (Thomas et al., 2013). As a group of amphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); & Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then

return to the river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017); Pasisingi and Abdullah (2018) report that Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as Awaous melanocephalus Bleeker 1849. Furthermore, Olii et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish is Sicyopterus longifilis de Beaufort 1912. Several studies were then conducted to investigate reality. Sahami et al. (2019b), in her recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. S. pugnans Ogilvie-Grant 1884, S. cynocephalus Valenciennes 1837, Bunaka gyrinoides Bleeker 1853, and Belobranchus segura Keith, Hadiaty and Lord 2012). Meanwhile, Nurjirana et al. (2019b) also found similar findings that Nike fish

is composed of species in the Gobiidae and Eleotridae family. However limited studies reported the diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas. Nurjirana et al. (2019a) reported Penja fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six genera and nine species (i.e. Sicyopterus lagocephalus Pallas 1770, Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni Weber 1895, Stiphodon atropurpureus Herre 1927, Sicyopus zosterophorum Bleeker 1856, Smilosicyopus leprurus Sakai and Nakamura 1979, Schismatogobius sp., Eleotris fusca Forster 1801, and Eleotris sp.). Furthemore, Pangemanan et al. (2020) reported Nike fish in Tondano Lake consist of six different melanophore patterns that belong to the same species Ophioleotris aporos.

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high level of fishing. However, scientific studies on these fish in bioecological, fishing, and economic are still limited. It is feared that limited information and uncontrolled fishing will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. As an initial step, research related to the morphometric and molecular variations of the species that make up the Nike fish assemblage is critical to find out the bioecological information of species that can be used as a basis data for its sustainable management. Generally, research on the morphometric and molecular variations of Nike fish in Gorontalo has never been conducted. The morphometric and molecular characters of Nike fish are crucial to validate the taxonomic status. These methods are often combined to overcome the limitations of the morphological identification which heavily influenced by the environment (Firawati et al. 2017; Habibie et al. 2018). Determining the identity of juvenile gobies down to the species level based on morphological characters is difficult (Yokoo et al. 2011). Meanwhile, melanophores can be found in newly hatched gobies larvae (Yamasaki et al. 2011). Therefore this study using a grouping strategy based on the similarity of the melanophore pattern. This study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay based on morphometric and molecular characters.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the catches of fishermen during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January-March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each appearance period. The sampled fishes were temporarily preserved in an icebox (4 °C) and was transported to the laboratory for further analysis. Since the gobioidei species identification guidelines for the postlarvae and juvenile phase are still not yet available, the sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019b) based on the differences in melanophoric pattern in the body. Yokoo et al. (2011) stated that the goby juvenile morphology has not been widely studied, and their early developmental stages are difficult to identify down to the species level. Yamasaki et al. (2011) stated that the newly hatched goby's larvae could be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern. Therefore, the identification strategy using these melanophore patterns has the potential to become a guide for simple identification of the post-larvae goby species in other areas in the future. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6-N20, while five groups (N1-N5) were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019b). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Immediately after the measurement of morphometric characters, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then placed in a sample bottle

#### and added with 95% ethanol solution for

molecular analysis.



Figure 1. Map of the research location

### **Morphometric Characters**

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The ten characters were chosen because they are easily observable parts of the body, while the other body parts are still not fully developed. The measurement was performed using Image-J application.

Pasisingi et al. (2020a) stated that Nike fish population shows a positive allometric growth pattern. Therefore, each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:  $M_{adj} = M (L_s/L_0)^b$ 

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data, L<sub>0</sub> is the total length of fish, L<sub>s</sub> is the average total length, and parameter *b* is the slope of log linear curve M to log L<sub>0</sub> of all data.

DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. The DNA was extracted using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. Approximately ±30 mg samples of fish muscle tissue were taken and put into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, subsequently 200 ml GT Buffer was added and homogenized by grinding. Furthermore, 20 ml of Proteinase K was added and incubated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes during incubation. 200 ml GBT Buffer was added and vortexed for five seconds. The mixture was incubated at 60 °C for 20 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes. Also, 200 ml absolute ethanol was added and vortexed for 10 seconds, and then the sample was placed to the GS column in a 2 ml collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for two minutes. The collection tube was discarded and transferred the GS column to a new collection tube. 400 ml W1 Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty

seconds. The supernatant was removed, and the 600 ml Wash Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds. After that, the supernatant was discharged, and the GS column was placed back in the collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-

16,000 g for three minutes. In the final step, the dried GS column was placed to a clean microcentrifuge tube, and the pre-heated Elution Buffer was added and centrifuged at 14,000-16,000 g for thirty seconds.



Figure 2. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al. 2004)

 Table 1. Morphometric Characters of Nike Fish (modified from Benbow et al. 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)
C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD)
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)
C5	Eye Pupil Diameter (EP)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)

The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke and Lynn, 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova et al. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HCO2198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer et al. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primary attachment at 50 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 45 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and

electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's  $1^{st}$  Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10 µl forward primers, and 10 µl reverse primers.

# Data Analysis

The standardized morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit, 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available on the National Center for **Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases** through the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some goby DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree

was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Species Identification**

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary. Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019b). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6-N20) presented in Figure 3.



SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages



Figure 3. A New Record on the Diversity of Species Composers of Nike Fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

The caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22-37.69 mm in general, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2. This table shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophoric patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 501 N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).



# Morphometric data analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al. 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3.

Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit, 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.

Each of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometric variant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three clusters, N1, N2, N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster. One sample, i.e. N17, does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster. Besides, the forked caudal fin shows that N17 is not a member of the goby group and is strongly suspected of being accidentally caught. Sahami et al. (2019b) reported species N1 as S. pugnans; N2 as S. cynocephalus; N3 and N5 as B. segura; and N4 as B. gyrinoides. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged

that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the Sicyopterus genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused pelvic fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana et al. (2019b) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the pelvic fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused pelvic fins, forming a ventral disc and Eleotridae has a separated pelvic fins.

 Table 2. Range of catch size of each species composer of nike

 fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia

Sample	Mean of total	Range of total	Number of
code	length (cm)	length (cm)	samples
N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
N4	2.089	2.089	1
N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2
N11	1.931	1.622-2.103 1	
N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
N17	3.768	3.768	1
N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
Total Samp	le		2,523

Table 3. Morphometric Characters Data of Each Species Composer of Nike Fish Assemblages in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia

Sample	Unit of Character (cm)								
code	SL	PL	ED	EP	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	2.237±0.10	0.112±0.02	0.131±0.01	0.116±0.13	0.447±0.04	0.403±0.09	0.188±0.08	0.018±0.01	0.063±0.02
N2	2.214±0.05	0.117±0.02	0.132±0.02	0.057±0.02	0.442±0.05	0.405±0.04	0.228±0.03	0.014±0.00	0.060±0.03
N3	2.257±0.07	0.137±0.01	0.136±0.01	0.073±0.02	0.525±0.03	0.383±0.02	0.155±0.01	0.018±0.00	0.038±0.02

N4	2.333±a	0.118±a	0.166±a	0.086±a	0.841±a	0.502±a	0.183±a	0.023±a	0.201±a
N5	2.258±0.08	0.158±0.02	0.150±0.01	0.095±0.02	0.557±0.04	0.379±0.02	0.156±0.02	0.022±0.00	0.065±0.03
N6	2.246±0.04	0.118±0.02	0.130±0.01	0.141±0.17	0.476±0.04	0.406±0.10	0.197±0.11	0.030±0.04	0.071±0.04
N7	2.243±0.04	0.114±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.144±0.02	0.438±0.03	0.390±0.10	0.179±0.09	0.018±0.01	0.062±0.02
N8	2.238±0.01	0.116±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.168±0.18	0.456±0.05	0.381±0.11	0.168±0.11	0.023±0.02	0.072±0.03
N9	2.211±0.04	0.146±0.02	0.128±0.02	0.062±0.02	0.525±0.03	0.365±0.02	0.161±0.02	0.016±0.00	0.046±0.02
N10	2.205±0.04	0.120±0.00	0.138±0.00	0.039±0.01	0.502±0.01	0.344±0.01	0.169±0.00	0.017±0.00	0.045±0.01
N11	2.222±0.04	0.095±0.02	0.131±0.01	0.083±0.02	0.411±0.04	0.376±0.03	0.122±0.02	0.018±0.00	0.080±0.03
N12	2.256±0.04	0.108±0.02	0.123±0.01	0.074±0.02	0.434±0.03	0.349±0.04	0.116±0.02	0.015±0.00	0.061±0.04
N13	2.245±0.04	0.112±0.02	0.132±0.01	0.154±0.16	0.456±0.04	0.389±0.11	0.172±0.20	0.022±0.02	0.059±0.02
N14	2.240±0.03	0.111±0.01	0.139±0.01	0.061±0.01	0.445±0.03	0.381±0.03	0.210±0.02	0.015±0.00	0.047±0.01
N15	2.189±0.04	0.154±0.02	0.140±0.01	0.079±0.02	0.543±0.04	0.356±0.02	0.177±0.01	0.018±0.01	0.056±0.02
N16	2.216±0.04	0.111±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.045±001	0.438±0.05	0.394±0.03	0.258±0.03	0.012±0.00	0.051±0.01
N17	2.352±a	0.069±a	0.122±a	0.039±a	0.387±a	0.272±a	0.187±a	0.010±a	0.047±a
N18	2.229±002	0.110±0.02	0.133±0.00	0.049±0.00	0.409±0.01	0.369±0.02	0.252±0.02	0.010±0.00	0.037±0.01
N19	2.225±0.03	0.113±0.01	0.124±0.00	0.038±0.00	0.440±0.02	0.460±0.03	0.279±0.02	0.009±0.00	0.057±0.02
N20	2.243±0.05	0.109±0.01	0.131±0.01	0.172±0.17	0.462±0.05	0.369±0.10	0.152±0.10	0.029±0.03	0.065±0.02



Figure 4. Diagram of the canonical discriminant function of nike fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

#### Molecular analysis

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay consist of six different species. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were

identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. S. *parvei* Bleeker 1853, *S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni*) from the Gobiidae family; and *Belobranchus belobranchus* Valenciennes 1837 from the Eleotridae family. One species, *S. longifilis,* is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore patterns and one species, *S. cynocephalus,* is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019b).

The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a species school in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster is a species school in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster is a species school in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through

84

molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Sample code	Species	Sample code	Species
N6	Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis
N7	Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis
N8	Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus
N9	Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus
N10	Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus
N11	Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei
N12	Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis





Figure 5. Phylogenetic Tree of Nike fish School Composers in the Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus,* 

S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis, and S. Pugnans). The second genus is Stiphodon which consists of only one species (i.e. Stiphodon semoni). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura, and B. Belobranchus).

#### Discussion

86

Fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromous species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith 2003). According to Thacker and Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the postlarval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched goby can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophoric patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the S. japonicus post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other Gobioidei fish larvae. Thacker and Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only. Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid

in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea 2009). The COI gene is the fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al. 2008; Bingpeng et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al. 2012; Thomas et al. 2013; Viswambharan et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2014; Taillebois et al. 2014; Lejeune et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017; Linh et al. 2018; Olii et al. 2019; Roesma et al. 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species. Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and nature of dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that S. lagocephalus larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019a), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike Belobranchus segura fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not sexually change or have alternative sexual strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism. The results of molecular identification indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus, and Stiphodon semoni). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species A. Melanocephalus by Usman (2016) and Sicyopterus longifilis by Olii et al. (2019). Recently, Sahami et al. (2019b) found the diversity of the composers species of

Nike fish in *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides*, and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus*, and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker and Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of±1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are Sicydium Valenciennes, 1837; Sicyopterus Gill, 1860; Lentipes Günther, 1861; Sicyopus Gill, 1863; Cotylopus Guichenot, 1864; Stiphodon Weber, 1895; Parasicydium Risch, 1980; Smilosicyopus Watson, 1999; and Akihito Watson, Keith and Marquet, 2007 (Keith et al. 2011; Taillebois et al. 2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al. 2005; Keith et al. 2015; Lord et al. 2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found Sicyopterus as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters. The S. parvei species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al. 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Java and Bali. Meanwhile, S. lagocephalus species is known as the species of genus Sicyopterus with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region (Keith et al. 2005; Lord

et al. 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al., 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al. 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin *et al.* 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al. 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al. 2020b) and further strengthen the discovery at the postlarval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. segura*.

The Stiphodon semoni species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda and Tan 2013; Hubert et al. 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda & Tan, 2013), Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin et al. 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al., 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The A. melanocephalus species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for A. melanocephalus was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, in-depth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity. Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish assemblages in the

Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several milango (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this research. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

# REFERENCES

- Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129-144.
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): 60198109.
- Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1-12.
- Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1-12.
- Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202-220.
- Firawati I, Murwantoko, Setyobudi E. 2017. Morphological and molecular characterization of hairtail (*Trichiurus* spp.) from the Indian Ocean, southern coast of East Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 18 (1): 190-196.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294-299.
- Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi. J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57-60.
- Habibie SA, Djumanto, Murwantoko. 2018. Polikromatik, dimorfisme seksual, dan redeskripsi spesies ikan red devil Amphilophus amarillo [Stauffer & McKaye, 2002] di Waduk Sermo Yogyakarta. J Iktiologi Indones 18 (1): 69-86. [Indonesian]
- Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): <u>b2490</u>.
- Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144-169.
- Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544-548.
- Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269-278.
- Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2014. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Tridentiger bifasciatus* and *Tridentiger barbatus* (Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253-265.
- Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831-847.
- Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of Sicvopterus lagocephalus (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus Sicvopterus in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721-732.
- Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas) (Teleostei\: Gobiidae: Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876-889.
- Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311-326.
- Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiatv RK. 2015. A new species of *Sicyopterus* (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243-248.
- Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.

88

Comment [A26]: doi

Comment [A27]: doi

Comment [A28]: DOI

- Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256-2268.
- Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby *Sicydium punctatum* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272-280.
- Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433-451.
- Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicyopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicydinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019;00: 1-16.
- Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of *Stiphodon* (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2): 749-761.
- Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627–639.
- Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019a. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (1): 246-249.
- Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019b. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1-7.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (*Awaous* sp.) in the estuary of Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110-1118.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51-56.
- Pangemanan NP, Kepel RC, Bataragoa NE, Tumbol R, Sahami FM. 2020. Morphological and molecular identification of nike fish, *Ophioleotris* aporos in Tondano Lake, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bopflux 13 (3): 1614-1621.
- Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111-118. [Indonesian]
- Pasisingi N, Olii AH, Habibie SA. 2020a. Morphology and growth pattern of Nike fish (amphidromous goby larvae) in Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Tomini J Aquat Sci 1 (1): 1-7.
- Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020b. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5 (2): 87-91.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of *Puntius* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase 1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250-256.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of *Puntius* cf. *binotatus* from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54-60.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43-48.
- Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46--2. [Indonesian]
- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358-1365.
- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019b. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: 1654.
- Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (Awaous melanocephalus) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River. Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130-136.

- Sara L. Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu. 2016. The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab *Portunus pelagicus* in Southeast Sulawesi Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101-1112.
- Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131-148.
- Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydiinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1-8.
- Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260-271.
- Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265-293.
- Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329-347.
- Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines. Phil J of Nat 18: 29-36.
- Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15-22.
- Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (*Awaous* sp.) Indigenous Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian]
- Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33 (4): 309-319.
- Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes, Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15-19.
- Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CO, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of *Gobiopterus lacustris*, a newly recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1-7.
- Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of *Sicyopterus japonicus* in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371-379.
- Watson RE. 2008. A new species of *Stiphodon* from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43-56.
- Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, *Sicvopterus japonicus*, *Awaous melanocephalus*, and *Stenogobius* sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345-360.
- Yokoo T, Kanou K, Moteki M, Kohno H, Tongnunui P, Kurokura H. 2011. Assemblage structures and spatial distributions of small gobioid fishes in a mangrove estuary, southern Thailand. Fish Sci 78: 237-247.

Comment [A32]: doi

#### Comment [A29]: doi

Comment [A33]: doi

Comment [A30]: check?

Comment [A31]: doi

**BIODIVERSITAS** Volume 21, Number 10, October 2020 Pages: xxxx ISSN: 1412-033X E-ISSN: 2085-4722 DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d2110xx

# Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

# FEMY M. SAHAMI<sup>1</sup><sup>v</sup>, RENE CHARLES KEPEL<sup>2</sup>, ABDUL HAFIDZ OLII<sup>1</sup>, SILVESTER BENNY PRATASIK<sup>2</sup>, RIDWAN LASABUDA<sup>2</sup>, ADNAN WANTASEN<sup>2</sup>, SITTY AINSYAH HABIBIE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Gorontalo State University. Jl. Jend. Sudirman No. 6, Gorontalo, 96128, Gorontalo, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-435-821125, Fax.: +62-435-821752. \*email: femysahami@ung.ac.id
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, 95115, North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

Manuscript received: 13 July 2020. Revision accepted: xxx September 2020.

**Abstract.** Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB, Lasabuda R, Wantasen A, Habibie SA. 2020. Morphometric and genetic variations of species composers of nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: xxxx. Nike is Gobioidei fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages whose habitat is still in seawater before they migrate to the freshwater, grow up, and spawn to fulfill their life cycle as an amphidromous species. This study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters based on their morphometric and molecular characters. 2,523 samples were collected from the catches of fishermen during three periods of their appearance in Gorontalo Bay waters from January to March 2019. The samples were grouped based on their simil arity of melanophore patterns, and morphometric characters of 10 units were then measured. This study found 20 different groups of melanophore patterns; 15 of them were new melanophore patterns. DNA samples from each group of new melanophore patterns were then isolated for molecular analysis. The morphometric analysis grouped the 20 melanophore patterns into three separate clusters that were confirmed through molecular analysis. The results of Gen Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) sequences indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish assemblages consisting of six species; five species in the Eleotridae family (*i.e. Sicyopterus parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni*) and a species in the Eleotridae family (*Belobranchus belobranchus*).

Keywords: amphidromous, COI gene, Eleotridae, Gobiidae, molecular.

#### INTRODUCTION

Nike (local name) is a designation for a goby fish group in the post-larval to the juvenile stages that seasonally appear in Gorontalo Bay waters, usually in the last quarter moon phase towards the new moon. The people of Gorontalo catch this fish for consumption as well as in other areas, such as Nike in North Sulawesi (Pangemanan et al. 2020), Penja in West Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al. 2019a; Nurjirana et al. 2019b), and Dulong by the Philippines (Thomas et al. 2013). As a group of amphidromous fish, the catching is usually done when they migrate from the sea to the river. Keith (2003); Yamasaki et al. (2011); Taillebois et al. (2012); and Mennesson et al. (2019) mention that adult amphidromous fish will spawn in fresh water, the eggs are placed on the substrate at the bottom of the water, and the larvae are then carried away by the estuary area into the sea. After the larvae live in the sea, they will then return to the river at the post-larval and juvenile stages. Olii et al. (2017) and Pasisingi and Abdullah (2018) report that Nike fish in

Gorontalo Bay will first appear in the sea and move closer to the estuary areas by time until they finally disappear.

The local communities and general public believe Nike fish as a single species. Usman (2016) reported that Gorontalo Nike fish has been identified as Awaous melanocephalus Bleeker 1849. Furthermore, Olii et al. (2019) reported that Nike fish is Sicyopterus longifilis de Beaufort 1912. Several studies were then conducted to investigate reality. Sahami et al. (2019b), in her recent study reported that the composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay consist of four species (i.e. Sicyopterus pugnans Ogilvie-Grant 1884, Sicyopterus cynocephalus Valenciennes 1837, Bunaka gyrinoides Bleeker 1853, and Belobranchus segura Keith, Hadiaty and Lord 2012). Meanwhile, Nurjirana et al. (2019b) also found similar findings that Nike fish is composed of species in the Gobiidae and Eleotridae family. However, limited studies reported the diversity of similar fish assemblages in other aquatic areas. Nurjirana et al. (2019a) reported Penja fish in West Sulawesi consisting of six genera and

nine species (i.e. *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* Pallas 1770, *Sicyopterus longifilis, Stiphodon semoni* Weber 1895, *Stiphodon atropurpureus* Herre 1927, *Sicyopus zosterophorum* Bleeker 1856, *Smilosicyopus leprurus* Sakai and Nakamura 1979, *Schismatogobius* sp., *Eleotris fusca* Forster 1801, *and Eleotris* sp.). Furthemore, Pangemanan et al. (2020) reported Nike fish in Tondano Lake consist of six different melanophore patterns that belong to the same species *Ophioleotris aporos*.

92

The popularity of Nike fish, which is much favored by the community and its high economic value, has a significant impact on the high level of fishing. However, scientific studies on these fish in bioecological, fishing, and economic are still limited. It is feared that limited information and uncontrolled fishing will reduce diversity if no rapid and appropriate management is carried out. As an initial step, research related to the morphometric and molecular variations of the species that make up the Nike fish assemblage is critical to finding out the bioecological information of species. This particular information can be used as a basis for its sustainable management. Generally, research on the morphometric and molecular of Nike fish in Gorontalo has never been conducted. The morphometric and molecular data of the composers of Nike fish are crucial to validate the taxonomic status. These data are often combined to overcome the limitations of the morphological identification which heavily influenced by the environment (Firawati et al. 2017; Habibie et al. 2018). Because the determination of juvenile gobies down to the species level based on morphological characters is difficult to be carried out (Yokoo et al. 2011), Also, melanophores can be found in newly hatched gobies larvae (Yamasaki et al. 2011), Hence this study using a grouping strategy based on the similarity of the melanophore patterns. This study aims to identify the species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay based on morphometric and molecular characters.

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

### Sampling

The samples were obtained from the catches of fishermen during the three occurrence periods (i.e. January–March 2019) in Gorontalo Bay to the estuary of Bone Bolango River (Figure 1). The sampling was carried out from the first day until the last day in each appearance period. The sampled fishes were temporarily preserved in an icebox (4 °C) and were transported to the laboratory for further analysis. The morphology of the Nike fish is recognized by the morphological features described by Olii et al. (2019) and Pasisingi et al. (2020a). The sample grouping referred to the initial method used by Sahami et al. (2019b) based on the differences in melanophore patterns in the body. 20 groups of sample were found in this study, in which 15 groups were new melanophore patterns and coded with N6–N20, while five groups (N1–N5) were the melanophore patterns that had been reported Sahami et al. (2019b). The measurement of morphometric characters was carried out on 20 groups of melanophore patterns, whereas the samples for molecular analysis were only obtained from 15 samples of newly discovered melanophore patterns. Immediately after the measurement of morphometric characters, five individuals were taken from each group and each of them was then placed in a sample bottle and added with 95% ethanol solution for molecular analysis.

SAHAMI et al. - Morphometric and genetic variations of Species Composers of Nike Fish Assemblages



Figure 1. Map of the research location

# **Morphometric Characters**

The morphometric characters of Nike fish consist of 10 characters modified from Benbow et al. (2004) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The ten characters were chosen because they are easily observable parts of the body, while the other body parts are still not fully developed. The measurement was performed using Image-J application. Pasisingi et al. (2020a) stated that Nike fish population shows a positive allometric growth pattern. Therefore, each measured morphometric character data was then standardized by following the allometric formula according to Elliott et al. (1995) as follows:  $M_{adj} = M (L_s/L_0)^b$ 

 $M_{adj}$  is the standardized morphometric data, M is the measured morphometric data,  $L_0$  is the total length of fish,  $L_s$  is the average total length, and parameter b is the slope of log linear curve M to log  $L_0$  of all data.

DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing Molecular analysis was carried out through several stages including collection of fish tissue, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) DNA, electrophoresis, and DNA sequencing. The DNA was extracted using Genomic DNA Mini Kit Tissue by following the protocol of the kit. Approximately ±30 mg samples of fish muscle tissue were taken and put into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, subsequently 200 ml GT Buffer was added and homogenized by grinding. Furthermore, 20 ml of Proteinase K was added and incubated at 60 °C for 30 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes during incubation. 200 ml GBT Buffer was added and vortexed for five seconds. The mixture was incubated at 60 °C for 20 minutes, with inverting the tube every 5 minutes. Also, 200 ml absolute ethanol was added and vortexed for 10 seconds, and then the sample was placed to the GS column in a 2 ml collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000–16,000 g for two minutes. The collection tube was discarded and transferred the GS column to a new collection tube. 400 ml W1 Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000–16,000 g for thirty seconds. The supernatant was removed, and the 600 ml Wash Buffer was added to the GS column and centrifuged at 14,000–16,000 g for thirty seconds. After that, the supernatant was discharged, and the GS column was placed back in the collection tube and centrifuged at 14,000-

16,000 g for three minutes. In the final step, the dried GS column was placed to a clean microcentrifuge tube, and the pre-heated

Elution Buffer was added and centrifuged at 14,000–16,000 g for thirty seconds.



C1

Figure 2. Morphometric characters of Nike fish (modified from Benbow et al. 2004)

Table 1. Morphometric characters of Nike fish (modified from Benbow et al. 2004)

No	Morphometric Characters	No	Morphometric Characters
C1	Total Length (TL)	C6	Head Length (HL)
C2	Standard Length (SL)	C7	Body Depth (BD)
C3	Preorbital Length (PL)	C8	Peduncle Depth (PD)
C4	Eye Diameter (ED)	C9	Eye Area (EA)
C5	Eye Pupil Diameter (EP)	C10	Yolk Sac area (YS)

The mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was chosen because the resolution of the COI gene at the intraspecific level is better than other core genes, so it was appropriate to be used to identify the species up to the intraspecific level (Strüder-Kypke and Lynn 2010). The mitochondrial DNA COI gene was further amplified using a forward primer pair FF2d 5'-TTC TCC ACC AAC CAC AAR GAY ATY GG-3' and reverse primer FR1d 5'-CAC CTC AGG GTG TCC GAA RAA YCA RAA-3' (Ivanova et al. 2007). One sample, i.e. N16, was amplified using the LCOI490 forward primer pair (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATA ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and reverse primer HC02198 5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3' (Folmer et al. 1994) because it was unsuccessfully amplified using FF2d and FR1d primers. The PCR profiles were predenaturation at 94 °C for five minutes, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primary attachment at 50 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 45 seconds, and final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The PCR process lasted for 40 cycles and the DNA samples that had been amplified and electrophoresed were then sequenced. The sequencing process was performed at Malaysia's  $1^{st}$  Base Laboratory through PT Genetika Science Indonesia by sending samples consisting of PCR Product of 30 µl DNA samples, 10 µl forward primers, and 10 µl reverse primers.

# Data Analysis

The standardized morphometric characters were analyzed using Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) (Landau and Everit 2004) using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The molecular data sequencing process was carried out using Dideoxy Sanger Termination Method through PT Genetika Science Indonesia. Nucleotide sequences from DNA sequencing that had been processed and carried out by CONTIG were then matched with data available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases through the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool). Phylogenetic trees are arranged by aligning the DNA sequences of the identified samples with some goby DNA samples available in the GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was created using Maximum Likelihood 1000 bootstrap method in MEGA 6.0 software.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# **Species Identification**

Nike is a group of small fish at the post-larval and juvenile stages which generally have a transparent body at the beginning until they turn blackish at the end of the appearance period when the fish have entered the river estuary (Pasisingi et al. 2020a). Based on a cursory observation, Nike fish is a composition of small fish grouped with the same morphological appearance. However, if this is observed in detail, the fish show a variety of melanophore patterns on their bodies. From a total of 2,523 Nike fish samples caught during the study period, 1,856 fish samples were found with different melanophore patterns than previously reported by Sahami et al. (2019b). This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (sample code N6–N20) presented in Figure 3.





Figure 3. A new record on the melanophore pattern diversity of species composers of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters (notes: the sketch of melanophore pattern does not use actual fish size)

Generally, the caught Nike fish have a total length of 16.22–37.69 mm, do not have scales, the fins are not perfect, and the caudal fins tend to form truncates. One sample, i.e. N17, is the only sample whose caudal fins form a clear fork. Each group of melanophore pattern was caught in a range of different sizes as presented in Table 2. This table shows that the Nike fish assemblages do not only consist of fish with different melanophore patterns, but also different sizes. In a single Nike catch, the size of each group of melanophore patterns is very diverse and shows a certain tendency to group. The highest caught samples in the observation period were 508 for N1, while the lowest caught samples were N4 and N17 (i.e. one sample for each).

# Morphometric data analysis

Morphometric characters can be used in taxonomies as initial identification in fisheries (Sara et al. 2016). A summary of the results of morphometric characters data measurements that have been standardized follows the allometric formula Elliott et al. (1995) as presented in Table 3. Discriminant analysis is an analysis used to define morphometric characters distinguishing among populations (Landau and Everit 2004). The distribution of the discriminant coefficient values presented in the form of canonical discriminant function diagrams shows the 20 types of species that compose the Nike fish assemblages with different melanophore patterns in Gorontalo Bay forming three clusters as presented in Figure 4.

Each of the two discriminant functions can describe 63.9% and 19% of the total morphometric variant characters. Based on the analysis of discriminant functions, the C6 (head length) character was the highest character, which suggested that the main distinguishing character among Nike populations in the Gorontalo Bay waters could be determined from the head length character. Figure 4 obviously shows that Nike fish samples in the Gorontalo Bay waters formed three clusters, N1, N2, N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19 and N20) in the first cluster; N3, N4, N5, N9, N10, and N15 in the second cluster; and N11 and N12 in the third cluster. One sample, i.e. N17, does not show a tendency to be included in certain cluster. Besides, the forked caudal fin shows that N17 is not a member of the goby group and is strongly suspected of being accidentally caught. Sahami et al. (2019b) reported species N1 as S. pugnans; N2 as S. cynocephalus; N3 and N5 as B. segura; and N4 as B. gyrinoides. The diagram of canonical discriminant function classifies N1 and N2 in the first cluster, so it is strongly alleged that other species in the first cluster (N4, N6, N7, N8, N13, N14, N16, N18, N19, and N20) are species in the Sicyopterus genus or at least is a species in the Gobiidae family. Also, N3, N4, and

N5 as species in Eleotridae family are present in the second cluster, so it is also strongly alleged that other species in the second cluster (N9, N10, and N15) are the members of Eleotridae family. On the other hand, N11 and N12 as separate clusters have not yet ascertained for the tendency of their species identity. However, the morphological character with the fused pelvic fins implies that both species are the members of Gobiidae family and not generated from the Sicyopterus genus. Nurjirana et al. (2019b) stated that the fundamental difference from the morphology of Gobiidae and Eleotridae fish lies in the shape of the pelvic fins, where the Gobiidae fish has a fused pelvic fins, forming a ventral disc and Eleotridae has a separated pelvic fins.

**Table 2.** Range of catch size of each species composers of Nike

 fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia

Sample code	Mean of total length (cm)	Range of total length (cm)	Number of samples
N1	2.765	1.964-3.547	508
N2	2.764	2.383-3.326	81
N3	2.153	1.917-2.372	54
N4	2.089	2.089	1
N5	2.063	1.892-1.943	23
N6	3.043	2.345-3.658	190
N7	2.777	2.415-3.748	399
N8	2.796	2.379-3.333	277
N9	2.204	1.897-2.362	27
N10	2.314	2.283-2.344	2
N11	1.931	1.622-2.103	140
N12	2.019	1.694-2.369	191
N13	2.767	2.480-3.347	240
N14	2.642	2.386-2.863	50
N15	2.181	2.002-2.357	42
N16	3.042	2.579-3.769	129
N17	3.768	3.768	1
N18	2.952	2.840-3.118	4
N19	3.208	2.900-3.507	31
N20	2.774	2.452-3.628	133
Total Sam	ple		2,523

Table 3. Morphometric characters data of each species composers of Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia

Sample	Unit of Character (cm)								
code	SL	PL	ED	EP	HL	BD	PD	EA	YS
N1	2.237±0.10	0.112±0.02	0.131±0.01	0.116±0.13	0.447±0.04	0.403±0.09	0.188±0.08	0.018±0.01	0.063±0.02
N2	2.214±0.05	0.117±0.02	0.132±0.02	0.057±0.02	0.442±0.05	0.405±0.04	0.228±0.03	0.014±0.00	0.060±0.03
N3	2.257±0.07	0.137±0.01	0.136±0.01	0.073±0.02	0.525±0.03	0.383±0.02	0.155±0.01	0.018±0.00	0.038±0.02
N4	2.333±a	0.118±a	0.166±a	0.086±a	0.841±a	0.502±a	0.183±a	0.023±a	0.201±a
N5	2.258±0.08	0.158±0.02	0.150±0.01	0.095±0.02	0.557±0.04	0.379±0.02	0.156±0.02	0.022±0.00	0.065±0.03
N6	2.246±0.04	0.118±0.02	0.130±0.01	0.141±0.17	0.476±0.04	0.406±0.10	0.197±0.11	0.030±0.04	0.071±0.04
N7	2.243±0.04	0.114±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.144±0.02	0.438±0.03	0.390±0.10	0.179±0.09	0.018±0.01	0.062±0.02
N8	2.238±0.01	0.116±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.168±0.18	0.456±0.05	0.381±0.11	0.168±0.11	0.023±0.02	0.072±0.03

N9	2.211±0.04	0.146±0.02	0.128±0.02	0.062±0.02	0.525±0.03	0.365±0.02	0.161±0.02	0.016±0.00	0.046±0.02
N10	2.205±0.04	0.120±0.00	0.138±0.00	0.039±0.01	0.502±0.01	0.344±0.01	0.169±0.00	0.017±0.00	0.045±0.01
N11	2.222±0.04	0.095±0.02	0.131±0.01	0.083±0.02	0.411±0.04	0.376±0.03	0.122±0.02	0.018±0.00	0.080±0.03
N12	2.256±0.04	0.108±0.02	0.123±0.01	0.074±0.02	0.434±0.03	0.349±0.04	0.116±0.02	0.015±0.00	0.061±0.04
N13	2.245±0.04	0.112±0.02	0.132±0.01	0.154±0.16	0.456±0.04	0.389±0.11	0.172±0.20	0.022±0.02	0.059±0.02
N14	2.240±0.03	0.111±0.01	0.139±0.01	0.061±0.01	0.445±0.03	0.381±0.03	0.210±0.02	0.015±0.00	0.047±0.01
N15	2.189±0.04	0.154±0.02	0.140±0.01	0.079±0.02	0.543±0.04	0.356±0.02	0.177±0.01	0.018±0.01	0.056±0.02
N16	2.216±0.04	0.111±0.02	0.133±0.01	0.045±001	0.438±0.05	0.394±0.03	0.258±0.03	0.012±0.00	0.051±0.01
N17	2.352±a	0.069±a	0.122±a	0.039±a	0.387±a	0.272±a	0.187±a	0.010±a	0.047±a
N18	2.229±002	0.110±0.02	0.133±0.00	0.049±0.00	0.409±0.01	0.369±0.02	0.252±0.02	0.010±0.00	0.037±0.01
N19	2.225±0.03	0.113±0.01	0.124±0.00	0.038±0.00	0.440±0.02	0.460±0.03	0.279±0.02	0.009±0.00	0.057±0.02
N20	2.243±0.05	0.109±0.01	0.131±0.01	0.172±0.17	0.462±0.05	0.369±0.10	0.152±0.10	0.029±0.03	0.065±0.02



Figure 4. Diagram of the canonical discriminant function of nike fish in Gorontalo Bay Waters, Indonesia

#### Molecular analysis

The molecular analysis succeeded in identifying 14 of the 15 new melanophore pattern samples, while one sample, N17, was not identified since the sample was damaged and there were no more sample reserves. The results of mitochondrial COI gene sequencing indicate that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay consist of six different species. The results of BLAST of DNA mitochondrial COI gene sequence data on www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov are presented in Table 4.

Some samples with different melanophores had the same genetic profile so that they were identified as the same species. Table 3 shows that the Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo Bay is composed of six species from two different families (i.e. *S. parvei* Bleeker 1853, *S*. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, and Stiphodon semoni) from the Gobiidae family; and Belobranchus belobranchus Valenciennes 1837 from the Eleotridae family. One species, S. longifilis, is the same species as reported by Olii et al. (2019) without a description of specific melanophore pattern and one species, S. cynocephalus, is also the same species with a different melanophore pattern as reported by Sahami et al. (2019b).

The results of molecular analysis were able to identify the samples up to the species level and further clarify the results of morphometric analysis. The first cluster was a group of species in the genus *Sicyopterus*; the second cluster was a group of species in the Eleotridae family; and the third cluster was a group of species in the genus *Stiphodon*. Alleged N11 and N12 samples as the members of species in the Gobiidae family and not the members of species in the genus *Sicyopterus* were also confirmed through molecular analysis that succeeded in identifying the two species as *Stiphodon semoni* species. Overall, it can be emphasized that Nike fish has a fairly high level of diversity, both in terms of its constituent species and melanophore patterns at the species level. The overall kinship relationships of the species of Nike fish assemblages in the Gorontalo Bay waters based on the nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA COI gene are presented in Figure 5.

Table 4. The Results of Nike Fish BLAST in Gorontalo Bay on NCBI Website

Species	Sample code	Species
Sicyopterus parvei	N13	Sicyopterus longifilis
Sicyopterus longifilis	N14	Sicyopterus longifilis
Sicyopterus cynocephalus	N15	Belobranchus belobranchus
Belobranchus belobranchus	N16	Sicyopterus cynocephalus
Belobranchus belobranchus	N18	Sicyopterus lagocephalus
Stiphodon semoni	N19	Sicyopterus parvei
Stiphodon semoni	N20	Sicyopterus longifilis
	Species Sicyopterus parvei Sicyopterus longifilis Sicyopterus cynocephalus Belobranchus belobranchus Belobranchus belobranchus Stiphodon semoni Stiphodon semoni	SpeciesSample codeSicyopterus parveiN13Sicyopterus longifilisN14Sicyopterus cynocephalusN15Belobranchus belobranchusN16Belobranchus belobranchusN18Stiphodon semoniN19Stiphodon semoniN20





The phylogenetic tree (Figure 5) shows that the species composing the Nike fish in the Gorontalo Bay waters form two monophyletic clades as family clades. The first monophyletic clade is the Gobiidae family clade which includes two genera and six species. The first genus is *Sicyopterus* which includes five species (i.e. *S. cynocephalus,* 

S. parvei, S. lagocephalus, S. longifilis, and S. Pugnans). The second genus is Stiphodon which consists of only one species (i.e. Stiphodon semoni). The second monophyletic clade is the Eleotridae family which includes two genera and three species (i.e. Bunaka gyrinoides, Belobranchus segura, and B. Belobranchus).

# Discussion

Fish school migration strategy is a consequence of the amphidromous species in avoiding predators and foraging food when migrating from marine waters at the post-larval stage to the river (Keith 2003). According to Thacker and Roje (2011), the diversity of Gobies at the postlarval and juvenile stages is often unnoticed because of their small size and unclear ecology. The use of melanophore pattern in morphological grouping is inspired by the research conducted by Yamasaki et al. (2011) which stated that the larvae of newly hatched goby can be distinguished based on their melanophore pattern.

This study found 15 new melanophore patterns (Figure 3) that can distinguish and classify the fish composing Nike fish assemblages from one another. The combination of their morphological characters and diagram of canonical discriminant function (Figure 4) shows that morphometric characters can be used in determining samples up to family level, but it cannot identify the samples up to the genus or even species level. These results are in line with research conducted by Watanabe et al. (2011) which also had not been able to identify the S. japonicus post-larvae based on its morphological characters since the morphology is still very common as the morphological characters of other Gobioidei fish larvae. Thacker and Roje (2011) stated that Gobiidae fish have few morphological characters that can be used to group subgroups in the family even though the diversity of its species is quite high. Roesma et al. (2020) said that Gobies develop various morphological specialties as an adaptation to their environment, making it difficult to estimate the evolutionary scenarios by using a morphological information only. Subsequently, molecular identification was performed to confirm the identity of species that cannot be demonstrated either by the morphological features of the species or their morphometric characters. Mitochondrial DNA markers (mtDNA) had been widely used for most systematic molecular studies compared to nuclear DNA due to the large number of copies obtained from one cell, their small size, haploid

in nature, and evolving faster (Teletchea 2009). The COI gene is the fastest and most reliable gene used as a barcoding marker to identify species (Hubert et al. 2008; Bingpeng et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2018; Roesma et al. 2019). Initially, the COI gene have also been widely used to identify the species in Gobioidei assemblages (Jeon et al. 2012; Thomas et al. 2013; Viswambharan et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2014; Taillebois et al. 2014; Lejeune et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017; Linh et al. 2018; Olii et al. 2019; Roesma et al. 2020). Therefore, this study also used the COI gene to identify species. Several samples with different melanophore patterns were found having the same genetic identity. This was affected by some factors, such as environment, age, and natural dichromatism that might appear when the adult stage. Ellien et al. (2014); Valade et al. (2009) explained that S. lagocephalus larvae changes in the appearance of chromatophores in its body that starts from the head area and spreads along the body as the larvae get older. The identical results were obtained by Sahami et al. (2019a), which found an increase in the number of melanophores in the body of the Nike Belobranchus segura fish when entering the estuary areas. Keith (2003) noted that freshwater Gobioidei fish are not hermaphrodite and do not have sexually change or alternative sexual strategies, but usually occur in sexual dichromatism in adult stage, where males have a brighter color than females. Larmuseau et al. (2010), in his research, revealed that natural selection might also affect the genetic variation in cone opsins in species that could have an impact on the evolution of polymorphism.

The results of molecular identification indicate that the new melanophore patterns of Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay waters were composed of six species (i.e. *S. parvei, S. cynocephalus, S. longifilis, S. lagocephalus, B. Belobranchus,* and *Stiphodon semoni*). Nike fish was initially reported as a single species *A. Melanocephalus* by Usman (2016) and *Sicyopterus longifilis* by Olii et al. (2019). Recently, Sahami et al. (2019b) found the diversity of the composers species of

Nike fish is *S. pugnans, S. cynocephalus, Bunaka gyrinoides*, and *Belobranchus segura*. This study successfully found and identified four new composers species of Nike fish, such as *S. parvei* and *S. lagocephalus*, and *Stiphodon semoni* generated from the Gobiidae family, and *B. Belobranchus* generated from the Eleotridae family.

94.09% (2,374 samples) out of a total of 2,523 samples whose morphometric characters were observed are species in the Gobiidae family. In addition to the high quantity of the catches, the species in the Gobiidae family also show its highest diversity of melanophore and genetic patterns compared to the Eleotridae family. According to Thacker and Roje (2011), Gobiidae is one of the largest Acanthomorph fish assemblages consisting of ±1,120 species from 30 genera that have been described. Sicydiinae subfamily (Teleostei: Gobioidei) is the largest subfamily that contributes to the diversity of fish communities in tropical river waters with nine genera and more than 110 species that have been described. Nine genera of the Sicydiinae subfamily are Sicydium Valenciennes 1837; Sicyopterus Gill 1860; Lentipes Günther 1861; Sicyopus Gill 1863; Cotylopus Guichenot 1864; Stiphodon Weber 1895; Parasicydium Risch 1980; Smilosicyopus Watson 1999; and Akihito Watson, Keith and Marquet 2007 (Keith et al. 2011; Taillebois et al. 2014). The genus Sicyopterus of the Sicydiinae subfamily is the genus with the highest diversity of species and is widely distributed to the Indo-Pacific tropical islands (Keith et al. 2005; Keith et al. 2015; Lord et al. 2019). It strengthens the results of this study which found Sicyopterus as the genus with the highest diversity of Gorontalo Bay waters. The S. parvei species are known to be Indonesian local endemic (LE) (Lord et al. 2019). Its distribution in Indonesia was found in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade river, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Java and Bali (Dahruddin et al. 2016). Meanwhile, S. lagocephalus species is known as the species of genus Sicyopterus with the most extensive distribution in the Indo-Pacific region

(Keith et al. 2005; Lord et al. 2019). This species was also found in La Réunion island (Keith et al. 2008); Vanuatu, Futuna and Okinawa (Keith et al. 2011); Buleleng, Bali (Dahruddin et al. 2016); Leppangan river, East Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al. 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019).

The adult species of *B. belobranchus* was found in Bone river, Gorontalo (Pasisingi et al. 2020b) and further strengthen the discovery at the postlarval and juvenile stages in this study. Besides, the distribution of this species in Indonesia had been reported in Manggarai, Flores (Tjakrawidjaja 2002); Sukamade, East Java (Rukmana et al. 2014); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The discovery of *B. belobranchus* species in the Gorontalo Bay waters contributes to the diversity of species in the genus *Belobranchus* which was previously only found for one species, i.e. *B. segura*.

The Stiphodon semoni species, the Opal cling goby, is one of the economically important species in the world of ornamental fish trade (Maeda and Tan 2013; Hubert et al. 2015). The distribution of this species in Indonesia was found in Lampung (Watson 2008), Bengkulu (Maeda and Tan 2013), Sukabumi, West Java (Dahruddin et al. 2016); Leppangan River, West Sulawesi (Nurjirana et al. 2019a); and Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi (Gani et al. 2019). The A. melanocephalus species, that was initially reported by Usman (2016) as a Nike species in Gorontalo Bay, was not found in this study because the sampling time did not coincide with the spawning time of the species. As explained in Yamasaki et al. (2011), it showed that the spawning season for A. melanocephalus was June to November, while the sampling was done in January-March. Besides, species extinction might occur due to overfishing and habitat change. However, in-depth research needs to be conducted to fulfill a scientific information on Gorontalo aquatic biodiversity. Having described above, it has been genetically confirmed for 10 species of Gobies as a constituent of the Nike fish assemblages in the

Gorontalo Bay waters to date and it is possible to find more other species in line with further advance in science and research. This study has been successfully grouping and identifying the species based on their morphometric and molecular characters, as well as being the initial identity of the melanophore pattern characters of each Nike fish compiler. These data are also very worthwhile as the reference for the inventory of Nike fish species in other places on the coast of Tomini Bay and other areas. Salam et al. (2016) stated that Nike fish assemblages in Gorontalo could be found in several milango (estuary areas). Besides being found in the estuary of the Bone Bolango River in Gorontalo, which is the location of this study, Nike fish assemblages also often appear in several estuary areas (i.e. Taludaa, Paguyaman, and Marisa). Nike fish caught at these locations are also consumed by the local community or sold in urban areas, making it one of the important fisheries commodities in Gorontalo. However, scientific information concerning Nike fish in these locations does not yet exist and should be sought as soon as possible. The results of this study can also be an information for exploring adult gobies in the river and finding out their distribution in nature.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Rector of Gorontalo State University for granting permission and supporting the accomplishment of this research. Also, the greatest gratitude goes to Mohamad Rusdiyanto Puluhulawa for the research fund. The authors also thank Thomas Tammu and Zulkifli Karim for their technical assistance and all those who have contributed to this study. Finally, the authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive input and contribution in improving the quality of this manuscript.

# REFERENCES

- Benbow ME, Burky AJ, Way CM. 2004. Morphoogical characteristics and species separation of Hawaiian postlarval amphidromous fishes. Micronesica 37 (1): 129-144.
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLoS One 13 (6): e0198109, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198109.
- Dahruddin H, Hutama A, Busson F, Sauri S, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty R, Hubert N. 2016. Revisiting the ichthyodiversity of Java and Bali through DNA barcodes: taxonomic coverage, identification accuracy, cryptic diversity and identification of exotic species. Mol Ecol Resour 17 (2): 1-12.
- Ellien C, Werner U, Keith P. 2014. Morphological changes during the transition from freshwater to sea water in an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas 1770) (Teleostei). Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (1): 1-[12] DOI: 10.1111/eff.12190.
- Elliott NG, Haskard K, Koslow JA. 1995. Morphometric analysis of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atalanticus*) off the continental slope of southern Australia. J Fish Biol 46 (2): 202-220.
- Firawati I, Murwantoko, Setvobudi E. 2017. Morphological and molecular characterization of hairtail (*Trichiurus* spp.) from the Indian Ocean, southern coast of East Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 18 (1): 190-196.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol and Biotechnol 3 (5): 294-299.
- Gani A, Bakri AA, Adriany DT, Nurjirana N, Herjayanto M, Bungalim MI, Ndobe S, Burhanuddin AI. 2019. Identification of freshwater goby species from The Biak and Koyoan Rivers, Luwuk Banggai, Central Sulawesi. J Ilmu Kel Spermonde 5 (2): 57-60.
- Habibie SA, Djumanto, Murwantoko. 2018. Polikromatik, dimorfisme seksual, dan redeskripsi spesies ikan red devil Amphilophus amarillo [Stauffer & McKaye, 2002] di Waduk Sermo Yogyakarta. J Iktiologi Indones 18 (1): 69-86. [Indonesian]
- Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M, Watkinson D, Dumont P, Curry A, Bentzen P, Zhang J, April J, Bernatchez L. 2008. Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One 3(6): <u>e2490</u>, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002490.
- Hubert N, Kadarusman, Wibowo A, Busson F, Caruso D, Sulandari S, Nafiqoh N, Poiyaud L, Ruber L, Avarre JC, Herder F, Hanner R, Keith P, Hadiaty RK. 2015. DNA Barcoding Indonesian freshwater fishes: challenges and prospects. DNA Barcodes 3: 144-169.
  Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal
- Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN. 2007. Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. Mol Ecol Notes 7(4): 544-548.
- Jeon HB, Choi SH, Suk HY. 2012. Exploring the utility of partial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 for DNA barcoding of gobies. Anim Syst Evol Divers 28 (4): 269-278.
- Jin X, Wang R, Wei T, Tang D, Xu T. 2014. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Tridentiger bifasciatus* and *Tridentiger barbatus* (Perciformes, Gobiidae): a mitogenomic perspective on the phylogenetic relationships of Gobiidae. Mol Biol Rep 42: 253-265.
- Keith P. 2003. Biology and ecology of amphidromous Gobiidae of the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean regions. J Fish Biol 63: 831-847.
- Keith P, Galewski T, Cattaneo-Berrebi G, Hoareau T, Berrebi P. 2005. Ubiquity of Sicvopterus lagocephalus (Teleostei: Gobioidei) and phylogeography of the genus Sicyopterus in the Indo-Pacific area inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. Mol Phylogenet Evol 37: 721-732.
- Keith P, Hoareau TB, Lord C, Ah-Yane O, Gimonneau G, Robinet T, Valade P. 2008. Characterisation of post-larval to juvenile stages, metamorphosis and recruitment of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Pallas) (Teleostei\: Gobiidae: Sicydiinae). Mar Freshwater Res 59: 876-889.
- Keith P, Lord C, Lorion J, Watanabe S, Tsukamoto K, Couloux A, Dettai A. 2011. Phylogeny and biogeography of Sicydiinae (Teleostei: Gobiidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mar Biol 158: 311-326.
- Keith P, Lord C, Busson F, Sauri S, Hubert N, Hadiaty RK. 2015. A new species of *Sicyopterus* (Gobiidae) from Indonesia. Cybium 39 (4): 243-248.

Comment [A34]: doi

Comment [A35]: doi

Comment [A36]: DOI

- Landau S, Everit BS. 2004. A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Washington DC.
- Larmuseau MHD, Vancampenhout K, Raeymaekers JAM, Houdt JKJ, Volckaert FAM. 2010. Differential modes of selection on the rhodopsin gene in coastal Baltic and North Sea populations of the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus*. Mol Ecol 19 (11): 2256-2268.
- Lejeune L, Tabouret H, Taillebois L, Monti D, Keith P. 2016. Larval traits of the Caribbean amphidromous goby *Sicydium punctatum* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) in Guadeloupe. Ecol Freshw Fish 25 (2): 272-280.
- Linh NM, Thu PT, Quan NV, Chien PV, Ly DH, Nhan DV, Len DT. 2018. DNA barcoding application of mitochondrial COI gene to identify some fish species of family Gobiidae in Vietnam. J Mar Sci Tech-Japan 18 (4): 433-451.
- Lord C, Bellec L, Dettaï A, Bonillo C, Keith P. 2019. Does your lip stick? Evolutionary aspects of the mouth morphology of the Indo-Pacific clinging goby of the Sicyopterus genus (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae) based on mitogenome phylogeny. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 2019;00: 1-16. DOI: 10.1111/jzs.12291.
- Maeda K, Tan HH. 2013. Review of *Stiphodon* (Gobiidae: Sicydiinae) from Western Sumatra, with Description of a New Species. Raffles B Zool 61 (2): 749-761.
- Mennesson MI, Maeda K, Keith P. 2019. Evolutionary aspects of cephalic senosory papillae of the Indo-Pacific species of Eleotris (Teleostei: Eleotridae). Zool Scr 48 (5): 627–639.
- Nurjirana, Burhanuddin AI, Haris A. 2019a. Diversity of penja fish (amphidromous goby) in Leppangan River, West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (1): 246-249.
- Nurjirana, Haris A, Sahami FM, Keith P, Burhanuddin AI. 2019b. Preliminary note on the morphological characters of penja (amphidromous goby post larvae) in West Sulawesi and Gorontalo Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environ Sci 370 (1): 1-7.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2017. Preliminary findings on distribution pattern of larvae of nike fish (Awaous sp.) in the estuary of Bone River, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 10: 1110-1118.
- Olii AH, Sahami FM, Hamzah SN, Pasisingi N. 2019. Molecular approach to identify gobioid fishes, "Nike" and "Hundala" (local name), from Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Online J Biol Sci 19 (1): 51-56.
- Pangemanan NP, Kepel RC, Bataragoa NE, Tumbol R, Sahami FM. 2020. Morphological and molecular identification of nike fish, *Ophioleotris aporos* in Tondano Lake, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bopflux 13 (3): 1614-1621.
- Pasisingi N, Abdullah S. 2018. Pola kemunculan ikan nike (Gobiidae) di Perairan Teluk Gorontalo, Indonesia. Depik 7 (2): 111-118. [Indonesian]
- Pasisingi N, Olii AH, Habibie SA. 2020a. Morphology and growth pattern of Nike fish (amphidromous goby larvae) in Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia. Tomini J Aquat Sci 1 (1): 1-7.
- Pasisingi N, Habibie SA, Olii AH. 2020b. Are Awaous ocellaris and Belobranchus belobranchus the two species of Nike fish schools?. Aceh J Anim Sci 5 (2): 87-91.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Munir W, Aidil DR. 2018. New record species of *Puntius* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from West Sumatra based on cytochrome oxidase 1 gene. Int J Adv Sci Eng Inf Techno 8: 250-256.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Karlina W, Aidil DR. 2019. Taxonomy confirmation of *Puntius* cf. *binotatus* from Gunung Tujuh Lake, Jambi, Indonesia based on cytochrome oxidase-I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 20 (1): 54-60.
- Roesma DI, Tjong DH, Aidil DR. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of transparent gobies in three Sumatran lakes, inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Biodiversitas 21 (1): 43-48.
- Rukmana RM, Trijoko, Pratiwi R. 2014. Keragaman ikan anggota ordo perciformes di Sungai Sukamade Taman Nasional Meru Betiri. Biomedika 7 (2): 46--52. [Indonesian]
- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019a. Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12 (4): 1358-1365.
- Sahami FM, Kepel RC, Olii AH, Pratasik SB. 2019b. What species make up the Nike fish assemblages at the macrotidal estuary in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia? [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservation]. F1000Research 8: [1654] DOI: 10.12688/f1000research.19501.1.

- Salam A, Sahami FM, Panigoro C. 2016. Nike (Awaous melanocephalus) fishery and mercury contamination in the estuary of Bone-Bolango River. Omni-Akuatika 12 (2): 130-136.
- Sara L, Muskita WH, Astuti O, Safilu. 2016. The reproductive biology of blue swimming crab *Portunus pelagicus* in Southeast Sulawesi Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 9 (5): 1101-1112.
- Strüder-Kypke M, Lynn DH. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. System Biodivers 8 (1): 131-148.
- Taillebois L, Maeda K, Vigne S, Keith P. 2012. Pelagic larval duration of three amphidromous Sicydinae gobies (Teleostei: Gobioidei) including widespread and endemic species. Ecol Freshw Fish 21 (4): 1-8. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2012.00575.x.
- Taillebois L, Castelin M, Lord C, Chabarria R, Dettaï A, Keith P. 2014. New sicydiinae phylogeny (Teleostei: Gobioidei) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear genes: insights on systematics and ancestral areas. Mol Phylogenet and Evol 70: 260-271.
- Teletchea F. 2009. Molecular identification methods of fish species: reassessment and possible applications. Rev Fish Biol Fish 19: 265-293.
- Thacker CE, Roje DM. 2011. Phylogeny of Gobiidae and identification of gobiid lineages. System Biodivers 9 (4): 329-347.
- Thomas Jr RC, Beldia III PD, Campos WL, Santos MD. 2013. Resolving the identity of larval fishes, Dulong, in the Verde Island Passages. Philippines. Phil J of Nat 18: 29-36.
- Tjakrawidjaja AH. 2002. Jenis ikan air tawar dari Manggarai, Flores, dengan variasi aspek limnologinya. J Iktiologi Indones 2 (2): 15-22.
- Usman MY. 2016. Analisis Variasi Genetik Ikan Penja Indigenous Perairan Polewali Mandar Sulawesi Barat dan Ikan Nike (*Awaous* sp.) Indigenous Perairan Gorontalo. [Thesis]. UIN Alauddin Makassar, Makassar. [Indonesian]
- Valade P, Lord C, Grondin H, Bosc P, Taillebois L, Iida M, Tsukamoto K, Keith P. 2009. Early life history and description of larval stages of an amphidromous goby, *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* (Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Cybium 33 (4): 309-319.
- Viswambharan D, Pavan-Kumar A, Singh DP, Jaiswar AK, Chakraborty SK, Nair JR, Lakra WS. 2013. DNA barcoding of gobiid fishes (Perciformes, Gobioidei). Mitochondrial DNA 26 (1): 15-19.
- Wang ZD, Liao J, Huang CQ, Long SS, Zhang S, Guo YS, Liu L, Liu CW. 2017. Significant genetic differentiation of *Gobiopterus lacustris*, a newly recorded transparent goby in China. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: 1-17, DOI: 10.1080/24701394.2017.1357712.
- Watanabe S, Iida M, Hagihara S, Endo H, Matsuura K, Tsukamoto K. 2011. First collection of amphidromous goby post-larvae of *Sicyopterus japonicus* in the ocean off Shikoku, Japan. Cybium 35 (4): 371-379.
- Watson RE. 2008. A new species of *Stiphodon* from southern Sumatra (Pisces: Gobioidei: Sicydiinae). Zootaxa 1715: 43-56.
- Yamasaki N, Kondo M, Maeda K, Tachihara K. 2011. Reproductive biology of three amphidromous gobies, *Sicyopterus japonicus*, *Awaous melanocephalus*, and *Stenogobius* sp., on Okinawa Island. Cybium 35 (4): 345-360.
- Yokoo T, Kanou K, Moteki M, Kohno H, Tongnunui P, Kurokura H. 2011. Assemblage structures and spatial distributions of small gobioid fishes in a mangrove estuary, southern Thailand. Fish Sci 78: 237-247.

Comment [A40]: doi

Comment [A37]: doi

Comment [A41]: doi

Comment [A38]: check?

Comment [A39]: doi