

# THE IMPACT OF DECISION-MAKING MODELS AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON PERFORMANCE

Mahrinasari MS, The University of Lampung  
Sarfranz Hussain, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia  
Lis M. Yapanto, Siksha 'O'Anusandhan (Deemed to be University)  
Santos Miguel Esquivel-Infantes, Institute of Business Management  
Dhian Tyas Untari, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo  
Yusriadi Yusriadi, Universidad San Pedro  
Ahyar Diah, Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya University

## ABSTRACT

*A review on the new literature of Industry 4.0 shows the main aspects of knowledge processing and decisions management for companies. In this article, an overview of existing management literature shows the need for and defines an information management and policy scheme. Research proposes a framework to make it easier to connect between knowledge management and the effect between company performance and knowledge creation phase (i.e. cooperation of organizational members, in-fashion skills, learning and IT support). The paper also proposes to moderate the interplay between knowledge formation and organizational performance (e.g. intuitive or rational). A number of guidelines that reflect an empirically based research scheme and explain the links between the focal variables to raise public understanding of market contexts.*

**Keywords:** Decision Making, Knowledge Management, Performance.

## INTRODUCTION

Managers are accountable for the fulfillment of their goals and specified priorities in their organizations. This requires not only flexibility and skill but more appropriate control of expertise and outstanding decision-making. The word "information" is seen and defined from different angles. Awareness is a combination of qualitative learning, framed experience, experience, and meaning, contributing to creativity and unconditional experience. Awareness is often known to be an interpersonal tradition, expertise, credibility, intuition and codified philosophy shaping human actions and reasoning.

Explicit information is readily transferable and coded, whereas silent knowledge is firmly embedded in the organizational structure. However, information in its passive nature is worthless, which may contribute to excellent results if it is allowed through innovative implementation, refill and exchange processes. Knowledge management is the mechanism through which passive knowledge is used for the good of companies and gains competitive advantage (Duffy, 2000; Van Buren, 1999). The management of information is a meticulous approach to optimizing the knowledge economy of a business. It comprises many aspects such as the activities of human resources, infrastructure, philosophy and organisation (Du

Plessis, 2007). Several frameworks of information management propose to include enablers and mechanisms to the knowledge management system. In order to promote corporate activities, the architecture for information management should provide an essential understanding of business processes and infrastructures. According to (Lee & Choi, 2003), information management facilitators are tools used by organizations to encourage a systematic use of expertise. The achievement of organizational goals is the organizational success in its simplified state. It is critical that organizations have achievable expectations as they have become central to the engagement and commitment of workers towards the company. Any measures to measure corporate success are financial gains, sustainability and organizational learning. The responsibility of success within an organisation rests squarely with the organization's leadership, and they need to take actions and execute policies that contribute to the achievement of the organization's priorities and objectives.

### **Problem Statements**

In addition to innovative, strategic, economic and organization-related success (Adams & Graham, 2017), Several studies have discussed the impact of data management on institutional outcomes such as business education, creativity and product quality.. However, the absence of information and organizational success literature and experience is a sound assessment and study on the influences of judgments on organizational performance systems and knowledge management enabler. This is a breach that is being bridged by this analysis. This paper attempts to outline a diverse approach to knowledge management and organizational success in an integrative process-oriented way, by introducing an approach that integrates both logical and innate decision-making styles as mediators for knowledge management and company performance ties.

### **Contribution of the Study**

This study leads to a deeper view of the part of information management and decision-making in the success of the enterprise in many respects. Firstly, with the use of knowledge-related theoretical structure a rigorous theoretical and institutional approach to organizational success. Second, previous analysis shows that information facilitators and systems guarantee corporate effectiveness through results, this study helps to reality, as precise decision making by policymakers will intensify the chain. More subtly, we suggest that intuitive and logical decision-making approaches play a moderating role in the partnership between information formation and organizational success.

### **Knowledge Management Processes and Enablers**

Knowledge management is the way to carefully create, retain and exchange know-how inside an organization, to deliver more outcomes, including reduced cost, faster work and best practices. The key aspect in the management of knowledge is the "save" process, which is useful later on. The information management processes involve different student groups. (Long, 1997) defined knowledge processing processes as capture, delivery and execution. This was recognized by Spender as growth, transformation and delivery. (Probst, Romhardt & Raub, 2000) have been discovered, captured, created, distributed, propagated,

implemented and stored. According to (Alavi & Leidner, 2001), the knowledge system consists of four elements: growth, recovery/storage, transfers and enforcement.

Information growth is a complex, dynamic and multidimensional system. The capacity of an organization is to develop and share knowledge through its subsidiaries, products and processes. This organization's productivity includes effective, reliable knowledge production and execution in an organization (Mousavizadeh, Harden, Ryan & Windsor, 2015). These elements are integrated to build understanding. The elements of awareness building include the development of information through the transformation from unconscious knowledge to explicit knowledge and the Community perspective for knowledge formation (Pieters & Handelzalts, 2016)

The method of learning is to produce new materials and substitute for old information. Both directly and indirectly, companies want to acknowledge (Park, 2006). Active or passive approaches are used in intra-organizational exploration, as mentioned between (Raj & Ha-Brookshire, 2016). Conventional methods, video interviews, language recognition programs and data analysis are all key resources for acquiring knowledge (Sharma, Gupta & Wickramasinghe, 2004). The mechanism of information organisation, which often means the structure, lists and models of experience, relates to a process of knowledge sharing. There are three mechanisms involved in the material organization: compilation and assessment, arrangement and weeding or re-selection. The compilation and evaluation is continued, so details can be updated and revised annually (Rowley, 2000). In order to save the information method - new knowledge is not enough; the system is more necessary if possible, to save and recuperate knowledge, as well as to establish the information organizations (1) the development and exchange of knowledge; (2) the execution/adoption of the knowledge; and (4) change and revision of know-how (Bhatt, 2000). This also added to the theory of corporate memory whereby details can only be retrieved in various structures and ways. The memory of organization comprises personal memory (events and personal memories), information archives (inside and beyond an organisation). It entails the exchange of expertise and communication, environmental (physical) climate and the atmosphere of the organization.

Information diffusion – The way knowledge is provided between individuals, groups or organizations using different media or communication networks – is also called the sharing of knowledge. Gupta and Govindarajan were the equation of information sharing and knowledge stream (2000). Information exchange is a series of things, like sharing or supporting others (Connelly & Kevin Kelloway, 2003). Several factors have been affected: from "soft topics" (*i.e.* knowledge and identity, personal values and identities, corporate culture, commitment, incentives and encouragement to share national culture, corporate space, time and relations to seasoned persons in the organization) to "serious questions" (Chennamaneni, 2006). Personal resource practice is important for knowledge sharing. For example, the role of workers in the distribution of knowledge impacts new technology and services (Mas-Tur & Huarng, 2016).

Process of information integration – ensuring the development of awareness through the business is the core aspect of knowledge management (Probst et al., 2000). Expertise execution means application of information, problem-solving and decision-making security. The provided knowledge should be collected, distributed and implemented, followed by a loop. KMS enables frameworks for the usage of other information by people, proposed by

(Fernandez & Sabherwal, 2006). The effective deployment of knowledge requires cost savings and increase in productivity.

Organizational structure – the structure of an organisation can hinder effective organizational knowledge management (O'Dell, Grayson & Essaides, 1998). The model of the hierarchy is three-dimensional, official and centralized. Dekoulou and Trivellas represented the degree to which specific rules, regulations, procedures and procedures govern actions, staff relations and organizational routines (2017). Centralization is the extent of which the right policy management and assessment of corporate activities at the institutional decision-making stage within an organization is granted authority. Specifically, it analyses the role and location of the authorities, strategies and resource allocations. Skill assesses how employees or teams perform different duties and how activities are divided between enterprises (Dekoulou & Trivellas, 2017). Organizational culture and institutions – a number of individual values, positions, principles, methods and meanings shared by the members of an association (Robbins, 2001). The interpretation and reactions of people to different scenarios are affected by organizational culture (Farrell & Mavondo, 2004). Efficient and profitable corporate culture promotes management of knowledge and practice of trade (Janz & Prasarnphanich, 2003). A prevailing culture can be seen in any organization, where loyalty, sociability and values promote knowledge sharing and employee participation (Ngoc, 2005). The extent to which people help each other in group work is known as teamwork (Lee & Choi, 2003).

Analysis reveals that cooperation consists of three elements: cooperation, relationship balance and interdependence of priorities (Slater, 2004). A social ecosystem presents opportunities for successful information communication mechanisms and people may openly share their expertise (Duffy, 2000; Pfister & Eppler, 2012). The use of immaterial and tangible awards can promote the sharing of information between staff and make the knowledge management process an essential part of (Hurley & Green, 2005).

IT – Institutional communication plays an important role in breaking down the insinuator. Combined Education, Studies and Communication Information Technology (NGOC, 2005). According to (Devenport & Pusak, 1998), it is an important energy and a dynamic component in data management. (Gold & Arvind, 2001) expressed the opinion that significant expenditure on technical resources should be paid for maintaining various institutional activities.

### **Personal and Organized Performance**

With tremendous attention has been drawn to the fundamental process of individual and company performance (Austin & Villanova, 1992). Borman & Motowidlo define the behaviors or events that are important to the goals of the company (1993). The performance of human activity includes specific actions and eliminates environmental activities or conduct (Viswesvaran & Ones, 2000). (Koopmans et al., 2011) proposed a heuristic output scheme with four broad and general dimensions for people. In the first place, relational performance involves workforce activities that maintain the organizational, psychological and social environment, ensuring essential tasks (Pulakos, Arad, Donovan, & Plamondon, 2000). Secondly, the success at work determines workers' capacity for fundamental jobs (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993).

Fourth, adaptation performance demonstrates the appropriateness of workers to acclimatize cultural changes or employment (Sinclair & Tucker, 2006). (Gavin, Green & Fairhurst, 1995) also expressed that individual achievement has to be integrated into the company's output, recognizing that individuals like managers often require feedback on the outcomes. Teams have been able to measure their efficacy over time with standard or goals (Salas Reyes & Woods, 2017). In the same way, organizations might monitor their progress by conflicting goals and actual results. It is expedient to specify a certain number of metrics to maintain uniformity while assessing operating performance. Some of these benchmarks include the independent financial statements, standardized mission statement, information maintenance, HR structures and a strategic program.

## **Propositions**

The competence demonstrates the willingness of the firms or individuals to attain and sustain the acquired resources and competitiveness required to achieve their stated objectives through the organized asset and capital delivery mechanism (Tomenendal, Raffer, Stockklauser & Kirch, 2017). This links to the capital perspective since it focuses on internal capabilities (Tomenendal et al., 2017). People who are T-formers must have adequate understanding of the profession and know how to function as a team together (Hamdi, Silong, Omar & Rasdi, 2016). In other respects, they may increase their abilities to diverse places and develop structural thinking skills through combining analytical and practical knowledge (Lee & Choi, 2003). T-shape capacities include the horizontal "T" (wide) and vertical "T" (deep). The horizontal implies that experts from other areas may collaborate and use knowledge, and the vertical applies to experts in a particular area. Many who have these skills are valuable as they can integrate several information resources and research various expertise areas and their applications (Barton, 1995). You can also combine theory with practice. You should understand how your experience communicates with other disciplines. As a result of Hansen & Oetinger (2001), for example, the workforce is often referred to as "ta-shape skill" in order to share knowledge and to contact other members in the company (vertically) and in other fields of organisation (horizontally). Thus, T-shaped people may extend their abilities into several areas and thus learn new knowledge (Madhavan & Grover, 1998). According to (Johannessen, Olsen & Olaisen, market and technology knowledge teams can organize effectively and systemically (1999). This implies that T-form skills give people the possibility to grab a range of new materials, which are the nature of the process through which new information is produced and fused into existing knowledge. Some empirical research has observed the benefit of the T-shaped skills on innovation pace (Hamdi et al., 2016; Zhang & Yin, 2012). Logically, this may have an effect on market performance. Based on these claims, we present the following proposal:

### **Proposition 1:**

(a) T-shaped abilities of representatives of organisations would have beneficial effects on information creation; teamwork, knowledge development and knowledge output. The mutual experience of working together and expecting continued collaboration is a temporary balance, under which this "conception is intrinsically bound to the Community development cycle" (Alge, Wiethoff & Klein, 2003). There are no linear interactions

between collaboration and knowledge growth, because the intensity of the links between workers significantly influences collaboration. "Faith, norm and obligation" Wang (2016) adds that the ties with the low cognitive resources is reasonably weak (e.g., mutual perception and knowledge). These partnerships are mostly related to drawbacks of the epistemology and connectivity that affect ideas and information sharing. Effective relationships provide higher cognitive and relevant tools that can help to create better intelligence (Guan, Yan & Zhang, 2017) Confidence between teams can influence team effectiveness and therefore foster collaboration and in turn improve organizational performance (De Jong, Dirks & Gillespie, 2016). In particular, the lack of trust within teams could increase opportunities and prevent the sharing of expertise (Wang, 2016). Various empirical work has shown how teamwork is related to cross-personal learning and to the developing of new ideas (*i.e.* Bapuji & Crossan 2004; Dodgson 1993; Inkpen & Crossan 1995; Levinson & Asahi 1995; Melton & Hartline 2013; Bapuji & Crossan, 2004; Mowery, Oxley & Silverman, 1996). Collaboration can also be related to sensitisation by use of the lens which enables knowledge production, sharing of ideas and synchronization of relationships (Bapuji & Crossan, 2004; Day, 1994; Nonaka & Konno, 1998; Nonaka, Byosiere, Borucki & Konno, 1994). New learning requires dedication and therefore eclectic interrelationships and interactions (De Jong et al., 2016). These memberships cultivate an appreciation and a common vocabulary of individual concepts and thoughts that foster and build new knowledge (Nonaka et al., 1994). Cooperation increases the potential of the company for knowledge training and transformation between systems and divisions of an enterprise. The collaboration adds to the efficiencies in production and services, (Darr, Argote & Epple 1995; Epple, Argote & Murfy, 1996) continued. Collaboration on knowledge management 'is a difficult mechanism requiring the exchange of knowledge, the transmission of knowledge and intelligence' (Gao, Guo, Chen & Li, 2016). The expert collaboration between organisations, team success, management and imaginative performance also shown a positive partnership as well (Gao et al., 2016; Shi, Du & Liu, 2013; Xu & Zheng, 2010; Zhou & Jiang, 2012). (Goerzen & Beamish, 2005) noted that collaboration would contribute in the long term to maximizing market share, value, and innovation, ultimately combining technological skills. Collectively, intellectual resources acquired by jobs provides a company with enormous flexibility and encourages firms to grow and maintain staff and expertise too expensively. Comparative labor capacity (Latta, 2009) is being used by organisations to create an active, equitable and mutual working environment dependent on resource optimisation in order to reduce costs and thereby improve organizations' performance. Based on these claims, we present the following proposal:

### **Proposition 2:**

(a) Organizational representatives' collaboration will have a beneficial influence on awareness development; (b) and on progress in organizations. Digital media support, awareness-raising and performance.

Helping information technology is called the degree to which use of computing technology enhances knowledge management. IT has become a key to an efficient and successful knowledge process due to the accelerated collection, storage and share of data on an inconvenient scale in the past (Davenport & Prusak, 1998; Raven & Prasser, 1996). IT

incorporates fragmented information and thus removes barriers to communication within the company, supports knowledge transfer, growth, facilitation, expenditure and transition. Engagement and autonomy support to IT promotes creativity, addresses internal problems and encourages knowledge to evolve (Gallupe et al., 1992; Gold & Arvind Malhotra, 2001; Zuboff, 1988). IT helps improve the company's capacity for knowledge absorption and sharing (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990). Wiki innovations, later noted by Prasarnphanich and Wagner, are linked to the popularity of the common model for knowledge building implemented in Wikipedia (2009). This obviously involves increasing the operational capability of IT and its support equipment to locate, take advantage of the harsh market conditions and respond quickly (Su, Lin & Chen, 2015). Technological KMS practices are related to innovations (Inkinen, Kianto & Vanhala, 2015). (Little & Deokar, 2016) have recently noted that the development of knowledge is influenced by social skills and the interaction of citizens and IT procedures. "Little and (Deokar, 2016) concluded: 'Trainings and promotional chances are approaches that enhance our comprehension of how information system activities are connected. Support for the "knowledge control and codification processes' focused on the know-how in management capability" of (Cohen & Olsen, 2015), which have an intimate connection with financial and market performance. In addition, IT help is boosting the exchange of expertise, and their inclusion would increase organizational creativity and learning, according to (Cohen & Olseen, 2015). Based on these claims, we present the following proposal:

### **Proposition 3**

(a) IT help will have a significant influence on awareness development; and (b) on organizations' progress.

Data learning, information production and success Perceptual atmosphere is characterized as the perceived learning environment that encourages employees to create, acquire and pass information (Marsick & Watkins, 2003). Perceived training, which helps staff to create greater connections with their business and business priorities, allows them to share and exchange knowledge as well as the purpose of their organisation. Learning means gaining more insight from others who will use this information to affect or create choices. According to swap, Leonard, Shields and Abrams the organization must improve its curricular culture, including other learning forms, such as instruction and training for good learning praxes (2001). Perceived schooling has a positive effect on engagement, as the learning atmosphere creates trust to produce outcomes and to become employees (Eldor & Harpaz, 2016). Learning and business experience interlink as cognitive processes settle on successful "transfer" practices of the organization (Reger, Gustafson, Demarie & Mullane, 1994). (March & Simon, 1958) added that rationality and rational decision-making are promoted by formal approaches for resolving challenges that enable organisations to gather produce and implement knowledge systematically. Organizational development is knowledge of an organization that relies on the practice of maintaining or improving efficiency. Lessons include knowledge acquisition (to develop or establish connections, concepts and abilities, sharing of information and the use of knowledge (to make it possible to integrate learning into new contexts to spread the knowledge learned by others) and the use of knowledge (to make it possible to integrate it into new contexts) (DiBella, Nevis & Gould, 1996). Organizational learning focuses on the construction, retention and development in a

customer base; improvement of productivity and quality; further support and sales growth of the business. Furthermore, companies who learn and do this can quickly strengthen their leadership skills, retain their competitive advantage and boost operating efficiency. Based on these claims, we present the following proposal:

#### **Proposition 4**

(a) Enterprise curriculum will greatly influence awareness-raising; (b) Organisation's success. Information production process and results. In recent years, an important field of research "Constructing information" has been linked to the vision of companies, and especially the perspective of knowledge management. According to Kao & Wu (2016), knowledge creation is a multidimensional area covering several areas of research and analysis (*i.e.* human behavior, organizational behavior, leadership, technology, environment, strategic thinking and management). Many studies suggest that sensitization plays an important role in organizational success (Gold & Arvind Malhotra, 2002; Kogut & Zander, 2003). (Calabretta, Gemser & Wijnberg, 2017) submitting that the knowledge approach 'needs to be adjusted to incorporate in the enterprise modern ideas, cognitive constructs, and modes of thought' into the particular practices and socio-cultural meaning of the target organization. Therefore knowledge may be generated by multiple modes of social contact, complementing coordination, teamwork and cooperation for different purposes (Kao & Wu, 2016). Experience production and usage remain uncertain, however enabling companies, in a number of areas, to create a consistent competitive edge (Grant, 1996; Teece, 1998; Matusik & Hill 1998; Grant, 1996). The main innovative and organizational achievement in knowledge production is further identified by experts such as (e.g. Fidel et al., 2015; Ferraris, Santoro & Dezi, 2017; Tseng, 2016; yes it et al. 2013). (e.g., knowledge creating, transferring, and storages). Furthermore, the leadership and organizational mechanisms of the relationship between expert growth and organizational performance were established as important interferences (Inkinen, 2016). The speech assemblies for knowledge processes served as a way of reading, replicating and creating copies from a research organisation's perspective (Bi, Sarpong, Botchie & Rao-Nicholson, 2017). Enterprises who can bind data uniquely and newly, offer added benefits to their consumers and enhance organisation's innovation (Nonaka & Konno, 1998; Chia, 2003). International, economical, business and organizational performance may enhance knowledge creation processes (Kao & Wu, 2016; Quinn, Anderson & Finkelstein, 1996). Organizational quality may be called the findings in information management. So in a competitive environment it's easier to thrive, grow and gain when a company has rich financial resources (Kiessling et al., 2009). Based on these claims, we present the following proposal:

#### **Proposal 5. Sensitization will Benefit the Productivity of the Company**

##### **Balanced Decision-Making Style, Information Creation Process and Presentation**

Given the complexities of achieving organizational success and the effective utilization of information by the management of expertise in an organisation, we may infer that knowledge management needs some decision-making capabilities to accomplish its goals. Intelligence managers must be willing to evaluate, prioritize, interpret and use the data



on the spot in order to achieve timely results (Vester 2002). (Skyrme, 2002) said there is a clear connection between the management of knowledge and decision-making. The approach to decisions involves the hierarchical, group, and institutional phases, including the management of knowledge (Bryant, 2003; Harrison, 1999). Phase-by-step guidelines should also be applied for making rational judgments as required for the management of knowledge (Hellriegel, Slocum & Woodman, 2001; Hendry, 2000). Researchers (*i.e.* Chater, Oaksford, Nakisa & Redington, 2003; Mangalindan, 2004; Nutt, 1984) have said it is essential to identify the problem, formulate the selected solution, and implement and evaluate the selected solution as a rationale process for decision. The handling of knowledge (Nicolas, 2004) and decision-making at all stages was influenced (Holsapple, 1995). To draw a decision, essentially all the evidence are viewed impartially by professionals. An analysis and discussion of the relation between reasonable judgement and operational performance was performed closely. In a remarkable analysis of decision making and organizational success, the degree of rationality has been considered crucial (Fredrickson, 1984; Marusich et al., 2016; Walker, James & Brewer, 2017). Rationality is a continual preventive quest for threats and prospects by structured planning and rigorous study. Participatory and broad decision-making should also focus on Rationality (Ferretti & Parmentola, 2015; Fredrickson, 1983, 1984). In order to make informed judgments based on nonpartial criteria and systemic analyses, managers should evaluate the company environment both internally and externally. (Swenk & Shrader, 1993; Miller & Cardinal, 1994) have meta-analyzed this relationship with rationality and quality. Based on these claims, we present the following proposal: Proposal 6. Rational decision-making will mitigate the connection between knowledge creation and organizations operating Intuitive way, knowledge production and success Studies have shown that the ability of human information acquisition is sometimes limited by our cognitive capacity level and determined by neural substrate structure (Ariely, 2010; Simon, 1976, respectively) (Kahneman, 2011; Tranel, Damasio, Damasio & Brandt, 1994). In his view, human behavior in the business context is 'intense' yet rarely purely rational (Simon, 1976). Many academics shared that view, indicating that insight directs complicated information processing (Tranel et al., 1994). Scientists demonstrate that decision makers depend on internal feelings to decide quickly in the seemingly difficult circumstance based on Simon's study (Klein, 1998; Klein, Pongonis & Klein, 2002). These inner feelings (intuitions) confirm the truth and allow conclusions faster regardless of the secret knowledge contained in similar conditions. Intuitive strategy is a correct method that encourages the usage of emotions in the decision making phase over reality (Wray, 2017). In order to analyze available evidence for making an assessment, it involves basically a pulsating and amorphous mechanism (Busari, Mughal, Khan, Rasool & Kiyani, 2017). This alleviates the strain of logical thought and the algorithms for good decisions that liberate the mind through these cognitive activities (Kahneman, 2003; Kahneman & Klein, 2009). (Smith, 2008) said that human reasoning is interconnected between intuition and deliberation. Complex data processes more generally depend on faint brain signals which lead to issues (Tranel et al., 1994). Sometimes, since these poor signals are no longer weak but strong enough to smash the obstacle of awareness, they are becoming insight (Becker, 2004). The choice of decision-making style is conditional on the type of a problem and the environment. For eg, the usage of detailed information, debate and exact decision-making guidelines should be remembered as some challenges. For other problems to be solved, no predefined rules are needed. This effort varies from the rational form of intuitive coherence decision-making (Dijksterhuis &

Nordgren, 2006; Kahneman, 2011). The nature of the processes of training expertise demands that professionals and professionals collect, organize and implement the knowledge. This is also due to the need to make knowledge decisions which involve significant thought in a relatively short period of time. On the other hand, company performance is targeted at meeting observable corporate targets that may require best-in-class knowledge management. There are no specific laws controlling processes and procedures when handling the information and the operating performance that involve the application of certain guidelines or enforcement with minimum requirements. In this way, we argue that the process of consciousness-development affects the performance of organizations through intuitive decisions, which enable decisionmakers to consolidate their views of accessible knowledge. Based on the above claims, we propose the following proposal: Proposition 7. The intuitive decision-making style moderates the relationship between knowledge generation and results.

### **Discussion and Future Research Direction**

The paper reviews information structures, knowledge structures, functional findings and theories for decision making and generates testable recommendations. A philosophy that understands and accepts information as something to be encrypted, systematised, preserved and retrieved if necessary, is the two-dimensional central purpose of knowledge management. While the science and qualified method emphasizes the importance of digital evidence and technology in the management of knowledge (Ekbja & Hara, 2008). Obvious and tacit wisdom is integrated into holy helix: seemingly contradictory concepts such as intelligence and organism, recruiting and submission, local, macro, chaos and order (Nonaka & Nishiguchi, 2001). Information management is focused on relationships with various groups, leading to social understanding as a summary of what people and processes are. The quotation for this article is: Abubakar, et al., Information control, decision-making and effective operations.

The authors emphasize first of all the importance of T-shaped skills in knowledge production and operational performance. T-Shaped jobs are employees and supervisors "Industry 4.0" engaged in their private companies, thereby separating the standard structure of organization hierarchy from their own background in business (Hecklau, Galeitzke, Flach & Kohl, 2016; Pfeiffer, 2015). T-shaped personnel are urged, in order to achieve creative and innovative achievement, to use their problem solving and decision making skills. The experience continues to show that T-shaped skills play a big role in creating knowledge and processes for market performance. Second, analytical positivist analyzes stressed more intensely the concept of the governance mechanisms of team performance. This article states that coordination successfully reduces the protection of knowledge the ingenuity recognized obstacles (Labafi, 2017). Therefore, the coherence of their relationships between workers is important (Switzerland, 2017). More generally, collaboration helps to create knowledge to improve competitive benefits among employees, allowing businesses to exceed competitors. This viewpoint helps one to believe that teamwork has a substantial influence on knowledge creation processes and operational performance. Third, IT support helps to get, transmit and store information, while human race relies on the exegesis of intelligence. (Kautz & Thaysen, 2001) added that information technology should only provide data implicitly for the promotion of awareness structures and circumstances. Information building will also

increase work effectiveness by reusing valuable material (Wang, Clay & Forsgren, 2015). (Gregory, Ngo & Karavdic, 2017) also added that IT assistance is designed to increase employment and company competitiveness in sectors with a high level of knowledge. This insight tends to suggest that IT assistance has important influence on knowledge production processes and organizational performance. Fourth, the generation of knowledge is rendered through means of engagement and/or instruction, up-to-date and reactivating new or business details (Marsh & Stock, 2006). Organizations must have ample prior technological and corporate experience to maximize their firm performance (Chih, Huang & Yang, 2016; Hernández & Sanchez-Pérez, 2010). This allows know-how to be expanded by useful possibilities to new products, technologies and business processes as well as knowledge. This insight tends to draw conclusions that analysis has an impact on knowledge production processes and operational performance. Fifthly, in the way stated in this comment, it was necessary to maintain a balance between creation and execution (Bi et al., 2017) and produce positive organizational outcomes (*i.e.* corporate learning, finance, company growth, successful companies, innovation and innovative performance) (Ferraris et al., 2017; Fidel et al., 2015; Kao & Wu, 2016; Tseng, 2016). Current theoretical and conceptual analysis allows us to conclude that knowledge formation mechanisms can increase overall organizational performance. In conclusion, research suggests that people sometimes choose insight or reasoning. Decision-makers create a problem solving approach to combine information without association throughout the intuitive decision-making process. Sensitivity growth of unprocessed intelligence is organized through tacit reasoning and more chaotic learning is (Zander et al., 2016). Reasonable methods and protocols to eliminate uncertainty and misunderstanding rely upon fair decision making.

Rational decision-makers are largely uncomfortable and sometimes condemn new studies because the causes and consequences are not interpreted explicitly. This adds to a tension between (rational) and implicit decisions (intuition). Phillips, Fletcher, Marks and Hine defined the effectiveness of rational and intuitive decision making in the sense of (2016). Instead of previous tasks that conceptualize insight and rationality as alternate decision-making tools, we suggest that intuitive and analytical frameworks should be balanced (Dayan & Elbanna, 2011; Witteman, Bercken, Claes & Godoy, 2009).

The literature indicates that knowledge growth has an effect on business performance. This insight lets you draw findings and increases the effects of a realistic and intuitive decision-making strategy on your business performance. The model proposes a partial view of the information-intensive world, although it takes note of the complexity of business processes that depend heavily on human capital. We recommend that scientists in information technology search beyond existing knowledge management literature in order to achieve more industrial development. For knowledge technology researchers, the prize is now an analytical study, meaning that we call on researchers to test the proposed model empirically. Longitudinal analysis is also important in order to improve our knowledge of how this relates to knowledge production and efficiency. It is necessary to note that different decision-making styles vary between different cultures, as they differ in their impacts and extent.

## REFERENCES

- Acıkgöz, A., Günsel, A., Bayyurt, N., & Kuzey, C. (2014). Team climate, team cognition, team intuition, and software quality: The moderating role of project complexity. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 23(5), 1145–1176.
- Adams, F.G., & Graham, K.W. (2017). Integration, knowledge creation and B2B governance: The role of resource hierarchies in financial performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 63, 179–191.
- Alavi, M. (2000). Managing organizational knowledge. In Framing the domains of IT management: Projecting the future through the past. 15–28.
- Alavi, M., & Leidner, D.E. (2001). Review: Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, 107–136.
- Alge, B.J., Wiethoff, C., & Klein, H.J. (2003). When does the medium matter? Knowledge-building, experiences and opportunities in decision making teams. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 91, 26–37.
- Ariely, D. (2010). The upside of irrationality. USA: Dan Ariely. Arora, R. (2002). Implementing KM–A balanced score card approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6(3), 240–249.
- Austin, J.T., & Villanova, P. (1992). The criterion problem: 1917–1992. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(6), 836.
- Baird, B.F. (1989). Managerial decisions under uncertainty: An introduction to the analysis of decision making, 4.
- John W.S., Bapuji, H., & Crossan, M. (2004). From questions to answers: Reviewing organizational learning research. *Management Learning*, 35(4), 397–417.
- Barker, R. (2015). Management of knowledge creation and sharing to create virtual knowledge-sharing communities: A tracking study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 19(2), 334–350.
- Fernandez, I., & Sabherwal, R. (2006). ICT and knowledge management systems. *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management*, 230–236.
- Becker, M.C. (2004). Organizational routines: A review of the literature. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 13(4), 643–678.
- Behling, O., Gifford, W.E., & Tolliver, J.M. (1980). Effects of grouping information on decision making under risk. *Decision Sciences*, 11(2), 272–283.
- Bhatt, G.D. (2000). Organizing knowledge in the knowledge development cycle. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4(1), 15–26.
- Bi, J., Sarpong, D., Botchie, D., & Nicholson, R. (2017). From imitation to innovation: The discursive processes of knowledge creation in the Chinese space industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 120, 261–270.
- Bierman, D.J., Destrebecqz, A., & Cleeremans, A. (2005). Intuitive decision making in complex situations: Somatic markers in an artificial grammar learning task. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 5(3), 297–305.
- Boomer, J. (2004). Finding out what knowledge management is – and isn't. *Accounting Today*, 18(14), 9–22.
- Borman, W.C., & Motowidlo, S.M. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In *Personnel Selection in Organizations*. pp. 71. San Francisco: Jossey-
- Bass, B.J. (2017). Exploring knowledge creation processes as a source of organizational learning: A longitudinal case study of a public innovation project. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 33(2), 113–127.
- Ekbia, H.R., & Hara, N. (2008). The quality of evidence in knowledge management research: Practitioner versus scholarly literature. *Journal of Information Science*, 34(1), 110–126.
- Elbanna, S. (2006). Strategic decision making: Process perspectives. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 8, 1–20.
- Elbanna, S., & Child, J. (2007). Influences on strategic decision effectiveness: Development and test of an integrative model. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, 431–453.
- Eldor, L., & Harpaz, I. (2016). A process model of employee engagement: The learning climate and its relationship with extra-role performance behaviors. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37, 213–235.
- Epple, D., Argote, L., & Murphy, K. (1996). An empirical investigation of the microstructure of knowledge acquisition and transfer through learning by doing. *Operations Research*, 44(1), 77–86.

- Esterhuizen, D., Schutte, C.S.L., & du Toit, A.S.A. (2012). Knowledge creation processes as critical enablers for innovation. *International Journal of Information Management*, 32(4), 354–364.
- Farrell, M., & Mavondo, F.T. (2004). The effect of downsizing strategy and reorientation strategy on a learning orientation. *Personnel Review*, 33(4), 383–402.
- Ferraris, A., Santoro, G., & Dezi, L. (2017). How MNC's subsidiaries may improve their innovative performance? The role of external sources and knowledge management capabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(3), 540–552.
- Ferretti, M., & Parmentola, A. (2015). The Firm-Driven LISs. In the creation of local innovation systems in emerging countries part of the series springer briefs in regional science, pp. 61–89.
- Fidel, P., Schlesinger, W., & Cervera, A. (2015). Collaborating to innovate: Effects on customer knowledge management and performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(7), 1426–1428.
- Fitzgerald, D.R., Mohammed, S., & Kremer, G.O. (2017). Differences in the way we decide: The effect of decision style diversity on process conflict in design teams. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 104, 339–344.