

Histori Penerbitan

1. 15 Juni 2022: [Fishtaxa] Submission Acknowledgement
2. 29 Agustus 2022: [Fishtaxa] Revision request
3. 26 September 2022: [Fishtaxa] Revision Submission Acknowledgement
4. 20 Oktober 2022: [Fishtaxa] Revision request
5. 17 November 2022: [Fishtaxa] Revision Submission Acknowledgement
6. 27 Desember 2022: [Fishtaxa] Acceptance Acknowledgment

[Fishtaxa] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Wed, Jun 15, 2022, at 10:40 AM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" to the FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal website:

Submission URL: <https://fishtaxa.com/menuscrypt/index.php/ft/submission/wizard-117>

Username: koniyo

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy

[Fishtaxa] Revision request**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Mon, Aug 29, 2022, at 01:30 PM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

The "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table/response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter/table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected
- (iii) Add ORCID IDs of all authors

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy](#)

Reviewer 1

The current study used primary and secondary data to address the sensitive issue of sustainability in aquaculture protection, more specifically in a developing nation context. Establishing the unique methodology and detailed description of the understudied topic, I found that the manuscript was well-structured but very, unfortunately, not well-written. Moreover, it will change the quality of the manuscript. There are a few suggestions for the authors to make a valuable contribution to the existing body of literature.

1. Although the introduction is well written with a clear statement of the issues faced back for cultural life in light of existing literature and establishing the aims of the current study based on the available gap, the authors explain the rule of "The Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP/MMAF) in the establishing sustainable aquaculture production considering the continuous seafood demands.
2. Which research methodology add sampling technique did the author authors apply? There should be separate headings for the primary and secondary data. Also, the author should explain in detail their life cycle inventory technique, digital technologies and modern innovations on the sustainability of the growth of initiative for culture. They should explain the database they have collected to address the current study gap.
3. The authors well presented the results of this study; however, you should add a table explaining Interventions to Sustain and Facilitate the Growth of the Aquaculture Sector. Also, Aquaculture Innovations/Interventions' role in Environmental Impact By 2030 needs a detailed discussion,

4. In the discussion section, the authors must add a table linked with the life cycle Assessment Applying Mass Allocation, Scaled to One Ton of Live Animal at the Farm Gate. Moreover, the discussion section is merged with research implications, just confining to the study novelty, which is not that novel. There is a need to discuss the study results in detail, independent of implications, clearly stating the significance of the results and their applicability per the study context in light of existing studies. There is also a need to identify potential future research areas.
5. There are many grammatical issues in the article. There is a need to avail of the services of professional proof editors or native speakers to enhance the manuscript's readability.

Reviewer 2

Due to the overconsumption of sea fish and other seafood over the years, numerous concerns about climate change have arisen. To ensure the sustainable expansion of the aquaculture sector, innovations/interventions are required to offset the impact of environmental consequences associated with the sector's growth. To do this, the current study utilized a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Approach and primary and secondary data to identify a set of treatments. As a result, the protective capability of seven aquaculture interventions in Indonesia has been extracted. Moreover, this study contributes to the aquaculture literature by not only relying on the literature review but also analyzing primary and secondary data using the LCA to identify critical interventions for the sustainable expansion of the aquaculture sector. Following are some suggestions and drawbacks in the study to improve its effectiveness.

Researchers should explain the importance of seafood, particularly in developing nation contracts where purchasing power to people already no and most of the people are living below the poverty line. In addition to that, various agencies and networks working to protect the environment in terms of protecting aquaculture should be highlighted in the introduction section. Researchers should also explain in detail explicitly stating the objectives of the current study at the end of the introduction section.

In the methodology section, along with explaining the life cycle assessment approach author should pay attention to words all the interventions linked with aquaculture and their importance in terms of different species to protect wildlife to make them available for the next generations and sustain them for the social economic development of a nation more specifically the countries which rely on the seafood as major food production and supply source.

The authors have conducted a detailed analysis, and the results are well-reported by the authors.

The discussion section needs to be more detailed. Authors should first state their results and then explain them based on their contextual nature. This need for separate hinting to explain the implications, limitations and future research direction.

Authors should double-check their citations and match them with the end-text references. Moreover, there is a need to follow the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study.

[Fishtaxa] Revision Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Mon, Sep 26, 2022, at 08:15 AM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" to the FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://fishtaxa.com/menuscrypt/index.php/ft/submission/wizard-117>

Username: koniyo

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-A

No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>The current study used primary and secondary data to address the sensitive issue of sustainability in aquaculture protection, more specifically in a developing nation context. Establishing the unique methodology and detailed description of the understudied topic, I found that the manuscript was well-structured but very, unfortunately, not well-written. Moreover, it will change the quality of the manuscript. There are a few suggestions for the authors to make a valuable contribution to the existing body of literature.</p>	<p>Thanks a lot, dear reviewer, for allowing us to revise our manuscript. We are very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.</p>
1.	<p>Although the introduction is well written with a clear statement of the issues faced back for cultural life in light of existing literature and establishing the aims of the current study based on the available gap, the authors explain the rule of "The Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP/MMAF) in the establishing sustainable aquaculture production considering the continuous seafood demands.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, we have revised the introduction sector section. Following your kind guidelines, we have explained the rule of "The Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP/MMAF) in establishing sustainable aquaculture production, considering the continuous seafood demands Please see pages 2-5</p>
2.	<p>Which research methodology add sampling technique did the author authors apply? There should be separate headings for the primary and secondary data. Also, the author should explain in detail their life cycle inventory technique, digital technologies and modern innovations on the sustainability of the growth of initiative for culture. They should explain the database they have collected to address the current study gap.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have explained the life cycle inventory technique, digital technologies and modern innovations on the sustainability of the growth of initiative for culture. We have explained the database they have collected to address the current study gap Please see pages 5-10</p>
3	<p>The authors well presented the results of this study; however, you should add a table explaining Interventions to Sustain and Facilitate the Growth of the Aquaculture Sector. Also, Aquaculture</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have added a table explaining Interventions to Sustain and Facilitate the Growth of the Aquaculture Sector. Also,</p>

	Innovations/Interventions' role in Environmental Impact By 2030 needs a detailed discussion,	Aquaculture Innovations/Interventions' role in Environmental Impact By 2030 is explained in a detailed discussion, Please see pages 11-12
4	In the discussion section, the authors must add a table linked with the life cycle Assessment Applying Mass Allocation, Scaled to One Ton of Live Animal at the Farm Gate. Moreover, the discussion section is merged with research implications, just confining to the study novelty, which is not that novel. There is a need to discuss the study results in detail, independent of implications, clearly stating the significance of the results and their applicability per the study context in light of existing studies. There is also a need to identify potential future research areas.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. We have thoroughly revised the results sections with the required details. Please see pages: 13-17
5	There are many grammatical issues in the article. There is a need to avail of the services of professional proof editors or native speakers to enhance the manuscript's readability.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. We have thoroughly revised the discussion section as per your kind suggestions. Please see pages 18-20
6	Although the introduction is well written with a clear statement of the issues faced back for cultural life in light of existing literature and establishing the aims of the current study based on the available gap, the authors explain the rule of "The Indonesian Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP/MMAF) in the establishing sustainable aquaculture production considering the continuous seafood demands.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have now proof-edited the whole manuscript.

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-B

No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>Due to the overconsumption of sea fish and other seafood over the years, numerous concerns about climate change have arisen. To ensure the sustainable expansion of the aquaculture sector, innovations/interventions are required to offset the impact of environmental consequences associated with the sector's growth. To do this, the current study utilized a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Approach and primary and secondary data to identify a set of treatments. As a result, the protective capability of seven aquaculture interventions in Indonesia has been extracted. Moreover, this study contributes to the aquaculture literature by not only relying on the literature review but also analyzing primary and secondary data using the LCA to identify critical interventions for the sustainable expansion of the aquaculture sector. Following are some suggestions and drawbacks in the study to improve its effectiveness.</p>	<p>Thanks very much, dear reviewer, for your kind remarks and for allowing us to revise our manuscript. We are very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.</p>
1	<p>Researchers should explain the importance of seafood, particularly in developing nation countries where purchasing power is low and most of the people are living below the poverty line. In addition to that, various agencies and networks working to protect the environment in terms of protecting aquaculture should be highlighted in the introduction section. Researchers should also explain in detail explicitly stating the objectives of the current study at the end of the introduction section.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, we have revised the introduction and explained the required details</p> <p>Please see pages 2-5</p>

2	In the methodology section, along with explaining the life cycle assessment approach author should pay attention to words all the interventions linked with aquaculture and their importance in terms of different species to protect wildlife to make them available for the next generations and sustain them for the social, economic development of a nation more specifically the countries which rely on the seafood as major food production and supply source.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have now revised the methodology section with a clear description of all the necessary parts as per your kind suggestions. Please see pages 11-12
3	The authors have conducted a detailed analysis, and the results are well-reported by the authors.	Many thanks for your kind comments.
4	The discussion section needs to be more detailed. Authors should first state their results and then explain them based on their contextual nature. This need for separate hinting to explain the implications, limitations and future research direction	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. We have thoroughly revised the discussion section as per your kind suggestions. Please see pages 18-20
5	Authors should double-check their citations and match them with the end-text references. Moreover, there is a need to follow the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study.	Dear reviewer, we have cross-checked all the citations and followed the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study.

[Fishtaxa] Revision request**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Thu, Oct 20, 2022, at 11:20 AM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

The paper "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" has been reviewed again.

I appreciate the authors for their good efforts in revising the manuscript. However, there are a few things that must be overcome before acceptance.

1. The authors must explain in detail the secondary data sources with a clear statement of the collected data from the source.
2. The detail provided in terms of the lifecycle assessment approach is quite ambiguous; where authors did not mention the version of the software they used. Therefore, it is appreciated to add the details regarding the life cycle assessment approach.
3. The authors have well explained the results and analysis. However, they should elaborate on sustainable processing and packaging for better readability.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy

[Fishtaxa] Revision Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Thu, Nov 17, 2022, at 09:50 AM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" to the FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://fishtaxa.com/manuscript/index.php/ft/submission/wizard-117>

Username: koniyo

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy](#)

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER

No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	I appreciate the authors for their good efforts in revising the manuscript. However, there are a few things that must be overcome before acceptance:	Once again, many thanks, dear reviewer, for allowing us to revise our manuscript. We now revised the manuscript to meet your kind requirements.
1.	The authors must explain in detail the secondary data sources with a clear statement of the collected data from the source.	Dear reviewer, we have now explained in detail the secondary data sources with a clear statement of the collected data from the source Please see pages 13
2.	The detail provided in terms of the lifecycle assessment approach is quite ambiguous; where authors did not mention the version of the software they used. Therefore, it is appreciated to add the details regarding the life cycle assessment approach.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have provided details in terms of the lifecycle assessment approach is quite ambiguous; where authors did not mention the version of the software they used. Please see page 14
3	The authors have well explained the results and analysis. However, they should elaborate on sustainable processing and packaging for better readability.	Dear reviewer, we are really sorry for this inconvenience. Following your kind guidelines, we have now elaborated on sustainable processing and packaging for better readability Please see page 16

[Fishtaxa] Acceptance Acknowledgment**Editor in Chief** <editor@fishtaxa.com>

Tue, Dec 27, 2022, at 01:22 PM

To: **Koniyo** <yuniarti.koniyo@ung.ac.id>

Koniyo:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "Role of Innovations / Interventions to Bring Sustainability in Aquaculture Growth in Indonesia: Integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework" has been accepted for publication in the FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy (Vol. 26, 2022).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

Note:

We will send you email separately for publication fee (covers publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

Editor in Chief

FishTaxa - Journal of Fish Taxonomy