#### Naskah Jurnal (Proses Submit)

## Mercury Levels in the River Water and Urine of Traditional Gold Miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency

Rama Hiola

Faculty of Sports and Health, State University of Gorontalo

#### Abstract

**Introduction**. Gold mining process with mercury is conducted by separating gold from the sands through amalgamation process and combustion process mostly conducted by group of traditional gold miners. This research aims to know mercury levels correlation in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners. **Method.** This research used research design of Analytical Survey with Cross Sectional Study approach to be analyzed using pearson correlation test. **Result.** The research result showed that there were 2 rivers with mercury levels of 0.0213 ppm and 0.0183 ppm respectively in which it did not met the requirement of threshold value, while the urine sample that been tested in this research met the requirement of threshold value. Based on the result if pearson correlation analysis, it was obtained r value = 0.073, then correlation of mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners was in very low category. **Discussion.** It is suggested to the gold miners in which they should not dispose mining waste to the river without waste treatment process first in order to not damage the environment around such as river ecosystem and people around.

**Keywords:** Mercury (Hg), River Water, Urine of Miners, Traditional Gold Miners, Environmental Effect

#### Introduction

In Indonesia, heavy metal pollution (Widiarnarko et all, 2000) tends to increase as with the increase of industrialization process (Li et all, 2014). Since industrialization era, mercury (Villalba et all, 2015) becomes excavation pollutant (Hou et all, 2016) material due to mercury can be used as maximum as possible (Bavec et all, 2015). One of cause to the environmental pollution by mercury (Riaz et all, 2016) is tailing disposal of gold processing (Veiga et all, 2014) which processed in amalgamation way (Garcia.et all, 2015).

The effect of mercury (Hg) to the health (Parsons and Percival, 2005) depends on its compound form. Inorganic Hg compound (Rose et all, 2015) and metallic Hg compound (Rumayor et all, 2013) according to International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) included into group 3, which hazardous materials which don't cause cancer to human; while, methylmercury and its compounds

included into group of 2B, which is hazardous materials which can be carcinogenic to human. Mercury has neuro-toxic properties, which is toxic to the central nervous system (Central Nervous System- CNS).

Gold mining in Hulawa Village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency is unorganized gold mining location (Male at all, 2013) or known as Illegal Gold Mining (PETI-Penambangan Emas Tanpa Izin) (Dutu, 2016). Processing method in this mining performed in traditional way through amalgamation process (Veiga et all, 2015) with simple tools (Drevnick et all, 2016). This mining activity uses mercury as main material in separating gold (Appel and Na-Oy.2014). All activities that conducted by miners do not use any Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) (Arezes and Miguel. 2013) which can protect themselves from chemical compound exposure (Dhal et all, 2013). From all gold mining locations, the oldest location is in Hulawa Village. It is due to this mining location was established since Dutch East Indies era (Government of Gorontalo Province, 2008).

Hulawa river is water source for people (Ngoye and Machiwa, 2004) in Hulawa Village. Hulawa river functioned as conservation area (Khamis et all, 2014) which managed to maintain condition around river flow area in order to not be degraded (Abell et all, 2007). For people in East Sumalata District, Hulawa river used for social and economic interests, agriculture needs, clean water, and fishery. This river (Everard M and McInnes, 2013) includes into subsequentpermanent type with (V) shape. Hulawa river flows from west to east and ended in Sumalata Gulf. Around the boundary of Hulawa river, there are people settlements and Illegal Gold Mining activities in which the waste goes into the Hulawa river and ended in Sulawesi Sea (Environment, Research, and Information Technology Agency of Gorontalo Province, 2013).

According to the research result that been conducted by Regional Environmental Agency (BLH) of North Gorontalo Regency, it was found that from 30 miner samples that been taken, 100% suffered by mercury toxic (Li et all, 2015) with nail bio-marker shows levels above threshold value, which is 1-2 mg/Kg (WHO, 1990).

Based on another research result that conducted by (Wardiyatun and Hartini, 2009) which was about miners in Rengas Tujuh Village Tumbang Titi District Ketapang Regency West Kalimantan Province, showed that 44.4% (8 people) miners in Rengas Tujuh Village contaminated by mercury in their urine sample with mercury levels of 2.32-45.29 g/l and the average mercury level was 7.6 g/l. There were 3 gold miners who had mercury levels above the threshold value (NAB) in their urine sample. The research that conducted by (Gafur and Jahja, 2014) showed that mercury levels in Hulawa river was 0.0284 ppm and according to the Ministry of Health Regulation in 2001, heavy metal levels that allowed in the water body is 0.001 ppm.

#### **Research Method**

#### a. Research Location and Design

This research was conducted in Bukit Pasolo Gold Mining, Hulawa Village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency. Sample test was conducted in Physics Laboratory in the State University of Gorontalo and this research design used Analytical Survey (Frank, 1996) with Cross Sectional Study (Barnet et all, 2012) approach to study about correlation dynamics between risk and effect factors by observation approach or data collection all at once in a time (Notoatmodjo, 2010).

#### b. Research Population and Sample

Population in this research was all gold miners in traditional gold mining location of Hulawa Village for 30 people and sample in this research was river water and miner's urine. Sample taking technique in this research was conducted by using purposive sampling technique (Topp, 2004).

#### c. Data Analysis

Analysis of correlation coefficient used to know the level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water as X variable and urine of gold miners as Y variable by using Pearson Product Moment (Sugiyono, 2008). The equation of pearson correlation can be seen in the formula below:

$$\underline{r}_{xy} = \frac{n \sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sqrt{(n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2)(n \sum y^2) - (\sum y)^2)}}$$

- x = Independent Variable
- y = Dependent Variable
- n = Number of Sample

#### **Research Result**

#### 1. Mercury (Hg) Levels in the River Water

Sample taking of river water was performed in the established location, which was in river location as direct disposal location of gold processing waste using mercury. Sample was taken in 5 areas and then conducted by test in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo.

Sample Code	The Result of Mercury Levels in the River Water (ppm)	Explanation	Standard of Ministry of Health Regulation
01.	0.0213	TMS	
02.	0.0183	TMS	Threshold value of
03.	0.0013	MS	mercury levels in the river
04.	0.0003	MS	water is 0.001 ppm
05	0.0001	MS	

Table 3.1. The result of mercury (Hg) levels test in the river water

From the test result of mercury levels in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo to the river water around gold mining location, it was obtained the result of sample code 01 was 0.0213 ppm in which it was the highest mercury levels and sample code 05 obtained 0.0001 ppm where it was the lowest mercury levels. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No.416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

#### 2. Mercury (Hg) Levels in the Miner's Urine

Urine sample taken from traditional gold miners in Hulawa Village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency.

Table 3.2The Result of Mercury Levels Test to the Gold Miner's Urine

Respondent	The Result of Mercury Levels in the Urine (ppm)	Explanation	Standard of WHO
------------	--	-------------	--------------------

А.	0.0040	MS	The average
В.	0.0021	MS	threshold value of
C.	0.0033	MS	mercury levels in
D.	0.0010	MS	the human's urine
E	0.0011	MS	is 0.004 ppm

From the result of mercury levels test to the urine sample of gold miners, it was obtained that 5 tested urine sample had mercury levels which still in the tolerance of threshold value where the highest mercury levels was respondent A with 0.0040 ppm and the lowest mercury levels was respondent D with 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that established by WHO, threshold value of mercury levels in the human urine is 0.004 ppm.

# 3. Level of Correlation for Mercury Levels in the River Water and Urine of Gold Miners

Based on the result of pearson correlation analysis by using the assistance of SPSS which aims to know level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners, it was obtained that correlation coefficient value r = 0.073. If it is related with Table 3.1 to make correlation coefficient interpretation then level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was in very low category.

#### Discussion

#### 1. Mercury Levels in the River Water

Mercury is heavy metal that mostly used by human in many activities (Cao et all, 2015) such as traditional gold mining activity (Appel and Na-Oy, 2014). Mercury used to separate gold from other materials.

Gold mining in Hulawa Village still uses traditional processing method, which is through amalgamation process with very simple tools (Veiga et all, 2014). Amalgamation process is gold processing by mixing gold ore with liquid mercury. Amalgamation process that conducted by gold miners in Hulawa Village for years have caused river pollution by mercury around the mining location; in which excavation process that conducted along with amalgamation process have caused mercury washing process in the residue goes into the river. It is in line with the statement of (Kiefer et all, 2014) that "gold mining with amalgamation technique is predicted to be mercury contamination around its area".

From the test result that conducted in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, mercury levels in the river water around gold mining location was obtained result of 0.0213 for sample 01 in which it was the highest mercury levels and 0.0001 ppm for sample 05 in which it was the lowest mercury levels. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No.416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

It was affected by the distance of sample taking area. This research result was in line with (Foucher et all, 2013) which showed that distance of mining location determines level of mercury concentration which accumulated in sediment; where the nearer distance of mining location, the higher mercury concentration compared with location which far from mining location.

The nearest sample to mining location in this research was sample 01 with mercury levels above threshold value, which was 0.0213 ppm and sample 05 had mercury levels decrease with mercury levels of 0.0001 in which it was the furthest sample to mining location.

#### 2. Mercury Levels in the Urine of Gold Miners

Contact between mercury and individu can be occurred through skin inhalation (Sun et all, 2013) or digestion (swallowed) (Martinez et all, 2015) which then adsorbed and distributed by blood to all body parts and finally it will have excretion process through the route of urine, sweat, saliva, breast milk, feces, nail, and hair ((Yeganeh et all, 2013)).

From the test result that conducted in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, mercury levels in the urine of gold miners showed that 5 studied urine samples had mercury levels in tolerance levels where the highest mercury levels was respondent A for 0.0040 ppm and the lowest was respondent D for 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that been established by WHO, the average threshold value of mercury levels in human urine is 0.004 ppm.

The difference mercury level in the urine of gold miners was affected by many factors such as age and working period. Respondent A had mercury levels in the urine for 0.0040 ppm, which was higher than other respondents due to respondent A was older (43 years old) with longer working period (4 years) compared to respondent D who had mercury levels in the urine for 0.0010 ppm. Respondent D had the lowest mercury levels in the urine due to respondent D was still young (26 years old) with shorter working period (3 years).

It was in line with the research of (Solenkova et all, 2014), Hg concentration also affected by other factors such as exposure duration (working period), Hg compound form in the body, Hg dosage which adsorbed in the body, metabolism ability (organ performance and function), and age in which age supports in excreting toxic in the body.

# 3. Level of Correlation for Mercury Levels in the River Water and Urine of Gold Miners

Mercury is one of heavy metal which can be organic (Khan et all, 2013) and inorganic (MacDonald et all, 2015) compound and it is mostly found in the nature and distributed in the rocks, mine ore, soil, water, and air. Mercury is kind of metal which in normal condition it is liquid with grey color and odorless. Mercury has properties of easy to evaporate in room temperature and can be solid in the pressure of 7640 atm (Widiowati, et al., 2008 in Junita, 2013).

After conducted by test of mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, then the test result was analyzed based on pearson correlation using SPSS application which aims to know level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners. It was obtained correlation coefficient value r = 0.073 in which r > 0 means there was linear and positive relationship, which was the larger X variable the larger Y variable. If it is seen from r value and correlated with Table 3.1 for correlation coefficient interpretation, then it would be obtained that level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional

gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was included into very low category.

#### Conclusion

Mercury levels in the river water around gold mining location in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was obtained 2 samples that did not met the requirement of threshold value of mercury levels. They were sample 01 and sample 02 with the mercury levels of 0.0213 ppm and 0.0183 ppm respectively. Sample 01 had the highest mercury levels of 0.0213 ppm and sample 05 had the lowest mercury levels of 0.0001 ppm. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No.416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

Mercury levels in the urine of gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was obtained 5 studied urine samples in which those samples were still in tolerance range. The highest mercury levels was in respondent A for 0.0040 ppm and the lowest mercury levels was in respondent D for 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that been established by WHO, the average threshold value of mercury levels in human urine is 0.004 ppm.

It is expected that Government of North Gorontalo Regency able to manage the condition before the large effect comes to the people and environment around mining location. And the miners are expected to use personal protective equipment during the mining works and should not dispose mining waste directly to the river body without any waste treatment first.

#### Reference

Widiarnarko B, Van Gestel C A M, Verweij R A and Van Straleen N M. 2000. Associations between Trace Metals in Sediment, Water, and Guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters), from Urban Streams of Semarang, Indonesia. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety . Volume 46, Issue 1, Pages 101-107.

Li Z, Ma Z, Van Der Kuijp T, Yuan Z and Huang L. 2014. A review of soil heavy metal pollution from mines in China: Pollution and health risk assessment. Science of The Total Environment. Volumes 468–469, Pages 843–853.

Villalba I M, Lacasana M, Baranco M R, Hernandez A F et all. 2015. Biomonitoring of arsenic, cadmium, lead, manganese and mercury in urine and hair of children living near mining and industrial areas. Chemosphere. Volume 124, Pages 83–91 Bavec S, Gosar M, Biester H and Grcman H. 2015. Geochemical investigation of mercury and other elements in urban soil of Idrija (Slovenia). Journal of Geochemical Exploration. Volume 154, Pages 213–223

Riaz A, Khan S, Shah M T, Li G, Gul N, and Shamshad I. 2016. Mercury contamination in the blood, urine, hair and nails of the gold washers and its human health risk during extraction of placer gold along Gilgit, Hunza and Indus rivers in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. Environmental Technology & Innovation. Volume 5, Pages 22–29

Veiga M M, Santos G A and Meech J A. Review of barriers to reduce mercury use in artisanal gold mining. The Extractive Industries and Society. Volume 1, Issue 2, Pages 351–361

Garcia O, Veiga M M, Corby P, Suescun O E, Molina J M and Roeser M. 2015. Artisanal gold mining in Antioquia, Colombia: a successful case of mercury reduction. Journal of Cleaner Production. Volume 90, Pages 244–252.

Parsons M B and Percival J B. 2005. A Brief History Of Mercury And Its Environmental Impact. Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Course 34, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Pages. 1-20.

Rose C H, Ghosh S, Blum J D and Bergquist B A. 2015. Effects of ultraviolet radiation on mercury isotope fractionation during photo-reduction for inorganic and organic mercury species. Chemical Geology. Volume 405, Pages 102–111

Rumayor M, Somoano M D, Anton M AL and Tarazona M RM. 2013. Mercury compounds characterization by thermal desorption. Talanta. Volume 114, Pages 318–322

Inswiarsi dan Kusnoputranto, H. 2011.Pajanan Hg pada penambang emas tradisional di Kabupaten Gunung Mas, Kalimantan Tengah. Jurnal Ekologi Kesehatan, Vol 10, Nomor 2.

Male Y T, Brushett A JR, Pocock M, and Nanlohy A. 2013. Recent mercury contamination from artisanal gold mining on Buru Island, Indonesia – Potential future risks to environmental health and food safety. Marine Pollution Bulletin. Volume 77, Issues 1–2, Pages 428–433

Dutu R. 2016. Challenges and policies in Indonesia's energy sector. Energy Policy. Volume 98, Pages 513–519

Veiga M M, Angeloci G, Niquen W and Seccatore J. 2015. Reducing mercury pollution by training Peruvian artisanal gold miners. Journal of Cleaner Production. Volume 94, Pages 268–277

Drevnick P E, Cooke C A, Barraza D, Blais J M et all. 2016. Spatiotemporal patterns of mercury accumulation in lake sediments of western North America. Science of The Total Environment. Volume 568, Pages 1157–1170

Appel P WU and Na-Oy L D. 2014. Mercury-Free Gold Extraction Using Borax for Small-Scale Gold Miners. Journal of Environmental Protection Vol.5 No.6. Pages 493 – 499

Arazes P M and Miguel A S. 2013. Assessing the use of hearing protection in industrial settings: A comparison between methods. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics. Volume 43, Issue 6, Pages 518–525

Dhal B, Thatoi N, Das N and Pandey D. 2013. Chemical and microbial remediation of hexavalent chromium from contaminated soil and

mining/metallurgical solid waste: A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials. Volumes 250–251, Pages 272–291

Government of Gorontalo Province, 2008. Pengawasan pelaksanaan kegiatan pertambangan emas tanpa ijin (PETI) di Provinsi Gorontalo.Gorontalo. Pemerintah Provinsi Gorontalo

Ngoye E and Machiwa J F. 2004. The influence of land-use patterns in the Ruvu river watershed on water quality in the river system. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C. Volume 29, Issues 15–18, Pages 1161–1166

Khamis K, Hannah D M, Clarvis M H, Brown L E, Castella E and Milner A M. 2014. Alpine aquatic ecosystem conservation policy in a changing climate. Environmental Science & Policy. Volume 43, Pages 39–55

Abell R, Allan J D and Lehner B. 2007. Unlocking the potential of protected areas for freshwaters. Biological Conservation. Volume 134, Issue 1, Pages 48–63

Everard M and McInnes R. 2013. Systemic solutions for multi-benefit water and environmental management. Science of The Total Environment. Volumes 461–462, Pages 170–179

Environment, Research, and Information Technology Agency of Gorontalo Province. 2013. Status lingkungan hidup daerah (SLHD) Provinsi Gorontalo.Gorontalo

Li P, Du B, Chan H M and Feng X. 2015. Human inorganic mercury exposure, renal effects and possible pathways in Wanshan mercury mining area, China. Environmental Research. Volume 140, Pages 198–204

Wardiyatun, S,dan Eko Hartini. 2009. Faktor – faktor yang berhubungan dengan kadar merkuri dalam urine pada pekerja tambang emas di Desa Rengas Tujuh Kecamatan Tumbang Titi Kabupaten Ketapang Kalimantan Barat.Jurnal VISIKES. Vol 8 No 2.

Gafur , N dan Jahja, J. 2014. International conference on transdisciplinary research on environtmental problem in southeastern asia. Swiss Berlian Hotel.Makasar

Frank P M. 1996. Analytical and Qualitative Model-based Fault Diagnosis – A Survey and Some New Results. European Journal of Control . Volume 2, Issue 1, Pages 6-28

Barnet K, Mercer S W, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S and Guthrie B. 2012. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. The Lancet. Volume 380, Issue 9836, Pages 37–43

Notoatmodjo, S. 2010. Metodologi penelitian kesehatan. Jakarta : Rineka Cipta

Topp L, Barker B and Degenhardt. 2004. The external validity of results derived from ecstasy users recruited using purposive sampling strategies. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. Volume 73, Issue 1, Pages 33–40

Sugiyono. 2008. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif Dan R & D. Bandung Alfabeta

Cao Y, Skaug M A, Andersen O and Aaseth J. 2015. Chelation therapy in intoxications with mercury, lead and copper. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology. Volume 31, Pages 188–192

Veiga M M, Angeloci G, Hitch M and Lopez P CV. 2014. Processing centres in artisanal gold mining. Journal of Cleaner Production. Volume 64, Pages 535–544

Kiefer A M, Drace K, Gottlieb S, Coursey S, Veiga M M et all. 2014. Evaluation of mercury content in amalgams from Munhena mine, Mozambique. Journal of Cleaner Production. Volume 84, Pages 783–785

Anonymous Ministry of Health Regulation No.416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990

Foucher D, Hintelmann H, Al T A and MacQuarrie K T. 2013. Mercury isotope fractionation in waters and sediments of the Murray Brook mine watershed (New Brunswick, Canada): Tracing mercury contamination and transformation. Chemical Geology. Volume 336, Pages 87–95

Sun G, Li Z, Bi X, Chen Y, Lu S and Yuan X. 2013. Distribution, sources and health risk assessment of mercury in kindergarten dust. Atmospheric Environment. Volume 73, Pages 169–176

Martinez R F, Rucandio I, Pinilla I, Borlal F, Garcia F and Larea M T. 2015. Evaluation of different digestion systems for determination of trace mercury in seaweeds by cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrometry. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. Volume 38, Pages 7–12

Solenkova N V, Newman J D, Berger J S, Thurston G, Hochman J S and Lamas G A. 2014. Metal pollutants and cardiovascular disease: Mechanisms and consequences of exposure. American Heart Journal. Volume 168, Issue 6, Pages 812–822

Khan N A, Hasan Z and Jhung S H.2013. Adsorptive removal of hazardous materials using metal-organic frameworks (MOFs): A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials. Volumes 244–245, Pages 444–456

Junita, N.R. 2013.Risiko Keracunan Merkuri (Hg) Pada Pekerja Penambang Emas Tanpa Izin (PETI) di Desa Cisarua Kecamatan Nanggung Kabupaten Bogor. Skripsi Program Studi Kesehatan Masyarakat Fakultas Ilmu Kedokteran dan Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatulah Jakarta



## Email dari Publisher (Submission jurnal)

#### 👿 | 🔙 🤊 - 😈 | <del>-</del> Checked revised 30822-RJMS\_1 [Compatibility Mode] - Microsoft Word ٥ File Home Insert ۵ 🕜 Page Layout References Review Mailings View A Find ab Replace Paste Select -Font Clipboard Editing Paragraph Net of the second Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency Rama Hiola Faculty of Sports and Health, State University of Gorontalo Comment [WU1]: Abstract of the manuscript should not be exceed 350 words and must be structured into separate sections: Background, Material and Methods, Results, Discusion and Conclusion. Abstract Abstract Introduction. Gold mining process with mercury is conducted by separating gold from the sands through amalgamation process and combustion process mostly conducted by group of traditional gold miners. This research aims to know mercury levels correlation in the river water and urine of traditional gold To know mercury reveas correlation in the river water and unne of traditional goid miners. Method. This research used research design of Analytical Survey with Cross Sectional Study approach to be analyzed using pearson correlation test **Result**. The research result showed that there were 2 rivers with mercury levels of 0.0213 ppm and 0.0183 ppm respectively in which it did not met the requirement of threshold value, while the urine sample that been tested in this research met the requirement of threshold value. Based on the result if pearson correlation analysis it was obtained r value = 0.073, then correlation of mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners was in very low category. **Discussion**. It is suggested to the gold miners in which they should not dispose mining waste to the river without waste treatment process first in order to not damage the environment around such as river ecosystem and people around. Keywords: Mercury (Hg), River Water, Urine of Miners, Traditional Gold Miners, Environmental Effect duction Comment [WU2]: Provide and complee the references of all the statements where In Indonesia, heavy metal pollution (Widiamarko et all, 2000)<sup>1</sup> tends to crease as with the increase of industrialization process (Li et all, 2014)<sup>2</sup>. Since

## Naskah Jurnal (Checked Revised 1)

## Naskah Jurnal (Checked Revised 2)



## Email dari Publisher:

## Jurnal telah selesai tahapan revisi di sistem dinyatakan di terima untuk dipublikasi



#### Evaluation Sheet for 30822-RJMS

#### Does author clearly declared their Conflict of Interest Statement ?

A statement on conflicts of interest should be included in the manuscript. Either mention: 'none declared', or specify the authors' financial or other interests which should be known to the readers.

Research Journal of Medical Sciences (RJMS) policy requires that authors of all manuscripts should reveal any financial interests or connections that may be of direct or indirect nature. one should also clarify other situations that might arise the question of bias in the reported work, conclusions, implications, stated opinions including pertinent commercial and other sources of funding for the individual author(s), for the associated department(s), organization(s), personal relationships and direct academic competition.

Answer :

No i have completed conflict of statements that I make

#### Does author provided Running Title to appear at the top of each printed page ?

A brief running title of about 60 characters should be provided. Choose the running title carefully as this version of your title will be used in electronic alerting services and some mobile applications too.

Answer :

yes I am willing Provided Running Title to Appear at the top of each printed page

# Does abstract provides basic content of the paper without extensive experimental detail and prepared according to the journal format ?

Abstract should describe the main objective(s) of the study, explains how the study was done without presenting extensive experimental details, and summarize the most important results and their significance. Please minimize the use of abbreviations and **do not cite references** in the abstract. Abstract of the manuscript should not be exceed 350 words and **must be structured** into separate sections: **Background**, the context and purpose of the study; **Materials and Methods**, how the study was performed and which statistical tests were being used; **Results**, the main findings; **Conclusions**, brief summary

and potential implications. **Main conclusions** and interpretation of findings with emphasis on new important aspects of the study and observations should be included in the abstract.

Answer :

The author has made improvements to the format of the abstract, please be checked in order to comply with the rules of writing in RJMS

#### Does Author provide significant statement in the paper ?

Significance Statement (120 words maximum)

The significance statement should provide a clear explanation of the importance and relevance of the research in a manner accessible to researchers without specialized knowledge in the field.

Significance Statement may also be presented in the form of 3 to 5 bullets, short summary which describe what this paper adds to and what was already known. Include at least one implication for public health policy and practices.

The Significance Statement will appear within the paper below the abstract.

Answer :

yes I have given a statement in accordance with the rules set

# Does author point out the importance of the results and place them in the context of previous studies and in relation to the application of the work ?

- Focused on the interpretation of the results
- Should not repeat information already presented in the "Results" section
- The Discussion should explain the significance of the results and place them into a broader context.
- It should not be redundant with the Results section.
- This section may contain subheadings and can in some cases be combined with the Results section.

#### Answer :

The author has made improvements to the discussion sessions, please be checked in order to comply with the rules of writing in RJMS. Thank you

Author clearly acknowledged the funding agency and those individuals who support the project ?

Acknowledgements should be brief, and should not include thanks to anonymous referees and editors, inessential words, or effusive comments. A person can be thanked for assistance, not "excellent" assistance, or for comments, not "insightful" comments, for example. Acknowledgements can contain grant and contribution numbers.

Answer :

Author not statement with Acknowledgements can contain grant and contribution numbers

#### Do all references cited in the text are according to the journal format ?

Authors are responsible for the accuracy of cited references and these should be checked before the manuscript is submitted. Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa).

Authors are responsible for ensuring that the information in each reference is complete and accurate. All references must be numbered consecutively and citations of references in text should be identified using numbers in square brackets (e.g., "as discussed by Smith [9]"; "as discussed elsewhere [9, 10]"). All references should be cited within the text; otherwise, these references will be automatically removed.

Answer :

the author has added all references according to the journal format

#### Does author use personal pronouns throughout the article?

Authors are guided not to use first-person pronouns in their writing and preferring a more passive tone, Instead of "We speculate that...", these professors prefer "The authors speculate that..." or "It is speculated that...". Generally action done by the author should be described as

e.g.,

"The tests were completed,"

"The central hypothesis of this work was tested in a level 5 clean room.").

The reason behind this is to emphasize and encourage objectivity of scientific work to be published in the journal.

## Email dari Publisher:

#### Tahapan Final Declaration autor untuk persiapan publish



## Email dari Publisher:

## pemberitahuan bahwa jurnal telah terbit



# COVER



## **EDITORIAL BOARD**

Editor-in-Chief:

Dr. Gianfranco D. Alpini

**Director Publications:** 

Dr. Muhammad Sohail (Pakistan)

#### EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Dr Wayne R. Leifert (Australia)		Dr. A. Daryani	(Iran)
Dr. Andrey Pavlovich Anisimov	(Russia)	Dr. Antonio An Tung Chuh	(Hong Kong)
Dr. Antonio G Tristano	(USA)	Dr. Arndt P. Schulz	(Germany)
Dr. Athanasios Papatsoris	(United Kingdom)	Dr. Benjamin JW Kienast	(Germany)
Dr. Bor Luen Tang	(Singapore)	Dr. Brian M. Ross	(Canada)
Dr. Carlo Torti	(Italy)	Dr. Chunxiang Zhang	(USA)
Dr. Donovan McGrowder	(Jamaica)	Dr. Elias Zintzaras	(Greece)
Dr. Elif Derya Ubeyli	(Turkey)	Dr. Eyad Elkord	(United Kingdom)
Dr. George Perry	(USA)	Dr. Giovanni Tarantino	(Italy)
Dr. Han Dai	(USA)	Dr. Hany M. Elsheikha	(United Kingdom)
Dr. HE Xiangyi	(China)	Dr. John M. Luk	(Hong Kong)
Dr. Jonny St-Amand	(Canada)	Dr. Jorge Mel�ndez Zajgla	(Mexico)
Dr. Jose E. Tanus Santos	(Brasil)	Dr. Joseph I. Shapiro	(USA)
Dr. Malay Chatterjee	(India)	Dr. Mark A. Smith	(USA)
Dr. Martin Storr	(Germany)	Dr. Mohammad Hossein Dehghan	(Iran)
Dr. Nima Rezaei	(Iran)	Dr. Ovidiu Burta	(Romania)
Dr. Peter A. Horn	(Germany)	Dr. Pierre Vereecken	(Belgium)
Dr. Qing Ma	(USA)	Dr. R. Manojkumar	(USA)
Dr. Rakesh Kumar M Parikh	(India)	Dr. Richard Y. Zhao	(USA)
Dr. Rob Siebers	(New Zealand)	Dr. Shannon Stroud Glaser	(USA)
Dr. Sharon De Morrow	(USA)	Dr. Siamak Salami	(Iran)
Dr. Sunil Saxena	(USA)	Dr. Vatsala Misra	(India)
Dr. Viroj Wiwanitkit	(Thailand)	Dr. W. H. Yuen	(Hong Kong)
Dr. William CS Cho	(Hong Kong)	Dr. Xiong-Zhi Wu	(China)
Dr. Yousef Rasmi	(Iran)	Mr. Wasim S. Khan	(UK)

# **ABSTRACTED/INDEXED IN**

- :: Agro Asia
- :: World Agri. Database
- :: MedLit
- :: IndexCopernicus
- :: ASCI-ACR
- :: EMBASE

# **TABLE OF CONTENT**



Home | Journals | For Authors | For Subscribers | Privacy Policy | Terms and Conditions | Contact Us

## Mercury Levels in the River Water and Urine of Traditional Gold Miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency

Rama Hiola

Faculty of Sports and Health, State University of Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia

**Abstract:** Gold mining process with mercury is conducted by separating gold from the sands through amalgamation process and combustion process mostly conducted by group of traditional gold miners. This research aims to know mercury levels correlation in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners. This research used research design of analytical survey with cross sectional study approach to be analyzed using pearson correlation test. The research result showed that there were 2 rivers with mercury levels of 0.0213-0.0183 ppm respectively in which it did not met the requirement of threshold value while the urine sample that been tested in this research met the requirement of threshold value. Based on the result if pearson correlation analysis, it was obtained r = 0.073, then correlation of mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners was in very low category. It is suggested to the gold miners in which they should not dispose mining waste to the river without waste treatment process first in order to not damage the environment around such as river ecosystem and people around.

Key words: Mercury (Hg), river water, urine of miners, traditional gold miners, environmental effect

#### INTRODUCTION

In Indonesia, heavy metal pollution (Widianarko *et al.*, 2000) tends to increase as with the increase of industrialization process (Li *et al.*, 2014, 2015). Since, industrialization era, mercury (Villalba *et al.*, 2015) becomes excavation pollutant material due to mercury can be used as maximum as possible (Bavec *et al.*, 2015). One of cause to the environmental pollution by mercury (Riaz *et al.*, 2016) is tailing disposal of gold processing (Veiga *et al.*, 2014a, b, 2015) which processed in amalgamation way (Garcia *et al.*, 2015).

The effect of mercury (Hg) to the health (Parsons and Percival, 2005) depends on its compound form (Branco et al., 2014). Inorganic Hg compound (Rose et al., 2015) and metallic Hg compound (Rumayor et al., 2013) according to International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) included into group 3 which hazardous materials which don't cause cancer to human while methylmercury and its compounds included into group of 2B which is hazardous materials which can be carcinogenic to human. Mercury has neuro-toxic properties which is toxic to the central nervous system (Central Nervous System-CNS) (Inswiarsi and Kusnoputranto, 2011).

Gold mining in Hulawa village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency is unorganized gold mining location (Male *at al.*, 2013) or known as Illegal Gold Mining (PETI-Penambangan Emas Tanpa Izin) (Dutu, 2016). Processing method in this mining performed in traditional way through amalgamation process (Veiga *et al.*, 2015) with simple tools (Drevnick *et al.*, 2016). This mining activity uses mercury as main material in separating gold (Appel and Na-Oy, 2014). All activities that conducted by miners do not use any Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) (Arezes and Miguel, 2013) which can protect themselves from chemical compound exposure (Dhal *et al.*, 2013). From all gold mining locations, the oldest location is in Hulawa village. It is due to this mining location was established since Dutch East Indies era (GGP, 2008).

Hulawa river is water source for people (Ngoye and Machiwa, 2004) in Hulawa village. Hulawa river functioned as conservation area (Khamis *et al.*, 2014) which managed to maintain condition around river flow area in order to not be degraded (Abell *et al.*, 2007). For people in East Sumalata District, Hulawa river used for social and economic interests, agriculture needs, clean water and fishery. This river (Everard and McInnes, 2013) includes into subsequent-permanent type with (V) shape. Hulawa river flows from West to East and ended in Sumalata Gulf. Around the boundary of Hulawa river, there are people settlements and Illegal Gold Mining activities in which the waste goes into the Hulawa river and ended in Sulawesi Sea (ERITAGP, 2013).

According to the research result that been conducted by Regional Environmental Agency (BLH) of North

Gorontalo Regency, it was found that from 30 miner samples that been taken, 100% suffered by mercury toxic (Li *et al.*, 2015) with nail bio-marker shows levels above threshold value which is  $1-2 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ .

Based on another research result that conducted by (Wardiyatun and Hartini, 2009) which was about miners in Rengas Tujuh Village Tumbang Titi District Ketapang Regency West Kalimantan Province, showed that 44.4% (8 people) miners in Rengas Tujuh Village contaminated by mercury in their urine sample with mercury levels of 2.32-45.29 g L<sup>-1</sup> and the average mercury level was 7.6 g L<sup>-1</sup>. There were 3 gold miners who had mercury levels above the threshold value (NAB) in their urine sample. The research that conducted by Gafur and Jahja showed that mercury levels in Hulawa river was 0.0284 ppm and according to the Ministry of Health Regulation in 2001, heavy metal levels that allowed in the water body is 0.001 ppm.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Research location and design:** This research was conducted in Bukit Pasolo Gold Mining, Hulawa village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency. Sample test was conducted in Physics Laboratory in the State University of Gorontalo and this research design used analytical survey (Frank, 1996) with cross sectional study (Barnett *et al.*, 2012) approach to study about correlation dynamics between risk and effect factors by observation approach or data collection all at once in a time (Notoatmodjo, 2010).

**Research population and sample:** Population in this research was all gold miners in traditional gold mining location of Hulawa village for 30 people and sample in this research was river water and miner's urine. Sample taking technique in this research was conducted by using purposive sampling technique (Topp *et al.*, 2004).

**Data analysis:** Analysis of correlation coefficient used to know the level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water as X variable and urine of gold miners as Y variable by using pearson product moment (Sugiyono, 2008). The equation of pearson correlation can be seen in the equation:

$$\mathbf{r}_{xy} = \frac{n\sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sqrt{\left(n\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2\right)}\left(n\sum y^2\right) - (\sum y)^2}}$$

Where:

- r = Pearson correlation coefficient
- x = Independent variable
- y = Dependent variable
- n = Number of sample

#### RESULTS

**Mercury (Hg) levels in the river water:** Sample taking of river water was performed in the established location which was in river location as direct disposal location of gold processing waste using mercury. Sample was taken in 5 areas and then conducted by test in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo (Table 1).

From the test result of mercury levels in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo to the river water around gold mining location, it was obtained the result of sample code 01 was 0.0213 ppm in which it was the highest mercury levels and sample code 05 obtained 0.0001 ppm where it was the lowest mercury levels. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No. 416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

**Mercury (Hg) levels in the miner's urine:** Urine sample taken from traditional gold miners in Hulawa village, East Sumalata District, North Gorontalo Regency (Table 2). From the result of mercury levels test to the urine sample of gold miners, it was obtained that 5 tested urine sample had mercury levels which still in the tolerance of threshold value where the highest mercury levels was respondent A with 0.0040 ppm and the lowest mercury levels was respondent D with 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that established by WHO threshold value of mercury levels in the human urine is 0.004 ppm.

Table 1: The result of mercury (Hg) levels test in the river water				
	The result			
	of mercury			
Sample	levels the river		Standard of ministry	
code	water (ppm)	Explanation	of health regulation	
01	0.0213	TMS	Threshold value of	
02	0.0183	TMS	mercury levels in the	
03	0.0013	MS	river water is 0.001 ppm	
04	0.0003	MS		
05	0.0001	MS		

Table 2: The result of mercury levels test to the gold miner's urine

	The result of mercury levels in the		
Respondent	urine (ppm)	Explanation	Standard of WHO
А	0.0040	MS	The average threshold
В	0.0021	MS	value of mercury levels
С	0.0033	MS	in the human's urine
D	0.0010	MS	is 0.004 ppm
E	0.0011	MS	

Level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners: Based on the result of pearson correlation analysis by using the assistance of SPSS which aims to know level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners, it was obtained that correlation coefficient value r = 0.073. If it is related with Table 1 to make correlation coefficient interpretation then level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was in very low category.

#### DISCUSSION

Mercury levels in the river water: Mercury is heavy metal that mostly used by human in many activities (Cao *et al.*, 2015) such as traditional gold mining activity (Appel and Na-Oy, 2014). Mercury used to separate gold from other materials.

Gold mining in Hulawa village still uses traditional processing method which is through amalgamation process with very simple tools (Veiga *et al.*, 2014). Amalgamation process is gold processing by mixing gold ore with liquid mercury. Amalgamation process that conducted by gold miners in Hulawa village for years have caused river pollution by mercury around the mining location in which excavation process that conducted along with amalgamation process have caused mercury washing process in the residue goes into the river. It is in line with the statement of Kiefer *et al.* (2014) that "gold mining with amalgamation around its area".

In this research, the researcher used Hulawa river water as research sample. Sample was taken in 5 sample areas in the direct disposal location of mining waste. It was taken from the furthest area to the nearest area such in Fig. 1.

From the test result that conducted in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, mercury levels in the river water around gold mining location was obtained result of 0.0213 for sample 01 in which it was the highest mercury levels and 0.0001 ppm for sample 05 in which it was the lowest mercury levels. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No. 416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

It was affected by the distance of sample taking area. This research result was in line with Foucher *et al.* (2013) which showed that distance of mining location determines level of mercury concentration which accumulated in sediment where the nearer distance of mining location, the higher mercury concentration compared with location



Fig. 1: Location of the river Hulawa seemed satellite and 5 points of mining waste samples from the closest point to the mine site to the farthest point

which far from mining location. The nearest sample to mining location in this research was sample 01 with mercury levels above threshold value which was 0.0213 ppm and sample 05 had mercury levels decrease with mercury levels of 0.0001 in which it was the furthest sample to mining location.

Mercury levels in the urine of gold miners: Contact between mercury and individu can be occurred through skin inhalation (Sun *et al.*, 2013) or digestion (swallowed) (Martinez *et al.*, 2015) which then adsorbed and distributed by blood to all body parts and finally it will have excretion process through the route of urine, sweat, saliva, breast milk, feces, nail and hair.

From the test result that conducted in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, mercury levels in the urine of gold miners showed that 5 studied urine samples had mercury levels in tolerance levels where the highest mercury levels was respondent A for 0.0040 ppm and the lowest was respondent D for 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that been established by WHO the average threshold value of mercury levels in human urine is 0.004 ppm.

The difference mercury level in the urine of gold miners was affected by many factors such as age and working period. Respondent A had mercury levels in the urine for 0.0040 ppm which was higher than other respondents due to respondent A was older (43 years old) with longer working period (4 years) compared to respondent D who had mercury levels in the urine for 0.0010 ppm. Respondent D had the lowest mercury levels in the urine due to respondent D was still young (26 years old) with shorter working period (3 years).

It was in line with the research of (Solenkova *et al.*, 2014), Hg concentration also affected by other factors such as exposure duration (working period), Hg compound form in the body, Hg dosage which adsorbed

in the body, metabolism ability (organ performance and function) and age in which age supports in excreting toxic in the body.

Level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners: Mercury is one of heavy metal which can be organic (Khan *et al.*, 2013) and inorganic (MacDonald *et al.*, 2015) compound and it is mostly found in the nature and distributed in the rocks, mine ore, soil, water and air. Mercury is kind of metal which in normal condition it is liquid with grey color and odorless. Mercury has properties of easy to evaporate in room temperature and can be solid in the pressure of 7640 atm (Junita, 2013).

After conducted by test of mercury levels in the river water and urine of gold miners in Physics Laboratory State University of Gorontalo, then the test result was analyzed based on pearson correlation using SPSS application which aims to know level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners. It was obtained correlation coefficient value r = 0.073 in which r>0 means there was linear and positive relationship which was the larger X variable the larger Y variable. If it is seen from r value and correlated with Table 1 for correlation coefficient interpretation, then it would be obtained that level of correlation for mercury levels in the river water and urine of traditional gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was included into very low category.

#### CONCLUSION

Mercury levels in the river water around gold mining location in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was obtained 2 samples that did not met the requirement of threshold value of mercury levels. They were sample 01 and sample 02 with the mercury levels of 0.0213-0.0183 ppm, respectively. Sample 01 had the highest mercury levels of 0.0213 ppm and sample 05 had the lowest mercury levels of 0.0001 ppm. According to the Ministry of Health Regulation No. 416/Ministry of Health/Regulation/IX/1990: threshold level of mercury levels to the river water is 0.001 ppm.

Mercury levels in the urine of gold miners in Hulawa Village East Sumalata District North Gorontalo Regency was obtained 5 studied urine samples in which those samples were still in tolerance range. The highest mercury levels was in respondent A for 0.0040 ppm and the lowest mercury levels was in respondent D for 0.0010 ppm. Based on standard that been established by WHO the average threshold value of mercury levels in human urine is 0.004 ppm.

It is expected that Government of North Gorontalo Regency able to manage the condition before the large effect comes to the people and environment around mining location. And the miners are expected to use personal protective equipment during the mining works and should not dispose mining waste directly to the river body without any waste treatment first.

#### REFERENCES

- Abell, R., J.D. Allan and B. Lehner, 2007. Unlocking the potential of protected areas for freshwaters. Biol. Conserv., 134: 48-63.
- Appel, P.W.U. and L.D. Na-Oy, 2014. Mercury-free gold extraction using borax for small-scale gold miners. J. Environ. Prot., 5: 493-499.
- Arezes, P.M. and A.S. Miguel, 2013. Assessing the use of hearing protection in industrial settings: A comparison between methods. Intl. J. Ind. Ergon., 43: 518-525.
- Barnett, K., S.W. Mercer, M. Norbury, G. Watt and S. Wyke *et al.*, 2012. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research and medical education: A cross-sectional study. Lancet, 380: 37-43.
- Bavec, S., M. Gosar, H. Biester and H. Greman, 2015. Geochemical investigation of mercury and other elements in urban soil of Idrija (Slovenia). J. Geochem. Explor., 154: 213-223.
- Branco, V., A.G. Santos, J. Goncalves, J. Lu and A. Holmgren *et al.*, 2014. Mitochondrial thioredoxin reductase inhibition, selenium status and Nrf-2 activation are determinant factors modulating the toxicity of Mercury compounds. Free Radical Biol. Med., 73: 95-105.
- Cao, Y., M.A. Skaug, O. Andersen and J. Aaseth, 2015. Chelation therapy in intoxications with Mercury, Lead and Copper. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol., 31: 188-192.
- Dhal, B., H.N. Thatoi, N.N. Das and B.D. Pandey, 2013. Chemical and microbial remediation of Hexavalent Chromium from contaminated soil and mining/metallurgical solid waste: A review. J. Hazard. Mater., 250: 272-291.
- Drevnick, P.E., C.A. Cooke, D. Barraza, J.M. Blais and K.H. Coale *et al.*, 2016. Spatiotemporal patterns of mercury accumulation in lake sediments of Western North America. Sci. Total Environ., 568: 1157-1170.
- Dutu, R., 2016. Challenges and policies in Indonesia's energy sector. Energy Policy, 98: 513-519.
- ERITAGP., 2013. [Environmental status area (SLHD)]. Gorontalo Provincial Environment, Research and Information Technology Agency, Gorontalo, Indonesia. (In Indonesian)

- Everard, M. and R. McInnes, 2013. Systemic solutions for multi-benefit water and environmental management. Sci. Total Environ., 461: 170-179.
- Foucher, D., H. Hintelmann, T.A. Al and K.T. MacQuarrie, 2013. Mercury isotope fractionation in waters and sediments of the Murray Brook mine watershed (New Brunswick, Canada): Tracing mercury contamination and transformation. Chem. Geol., 336: 87-95.
- Frank, P.M., 1996. Analytical and qualitative model-based fault diagnosis: A survey and some new results. Eur. J. Control, 2: 6-28.
- GGP., 2008. [Supervision of the implementation of the gold mining activities without permission (illegal) in Gorontalo]. Pemerintah Provinsi Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia. (In Indonesian)
- Garcia, O., M.M. Veiga, P. Cordy, O.E. Suescun and J.M. Molina *et al.*, 2015. Artisanal gold mining in Antioquia, Colombia: A successful case of mercury reduction. J. Cleaner Prod., 90: 244-252.
- Inswiarsi and H. Kusnoputranto, 2011. [Hg exposure in traditional gold miners in Gunung Mas, Central Kalimantan (In Indonesia)]. J. Health Ecol., Vol. 10,
- Junita, N.R., 2013. [Risk of poisoning Mercury (Hg) on gold miners workers without permission (illegal) in cisarua village nanggung bogor regency]. Ph.D Thesis, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
- Khamis, K., D.M. Hannah, M.H. Clarvis, L.E. Brown and E. Castella *et al.*, 2014. Alpine aquatic ecosystem conservation policy in a changing climate. Environ. Sci. Policy, 43: 39-55.
- Khan, N.A., Z. Hasan and S.H. Jhung, 2013. Adsorptive removal of hazardous materials using metal-organic frameworks (MOFs): A review. J. Hazardous Mater., 244-245: 444-456.
- Kiefer, A.M., K. Drace, S. Gottlieb, S. Coursey and M.M. Veiga *et al.*, 2014. Evaluation of Mercury content in amalgams from Munhena mine, Mozambique. J. Cleaner Prod., 84: 783-785.
- Li, P., B. Du, H.M. Chan and X. Feng, 2015. Human inorganic mercury exposure, renal effects and possible pathways in Wanshan Mercury mining area, China. Environ. Res., 140: 198-204.
- Li, Z. Z. Ma, T.J. van der Kuijp, Z. Yuan and L. Huang, 2014. A review of soil heavy metal pollution from mines in China: Pollution and health risk assessment. Sci. Total Environ., 468-469: 843-853.
- MacDonald, T.C., S. Nehzati, N.J. Sylvian, A.K. James and A.K. Korbas *et al.*, 2015. Phenylthiourea alters toxicity of Mercury compounds in zebrafish larvae. J. Inorganic Biochemistry, 151: 10-17.

- Male, Y.T., B.A.J. Reichelt, M. Pocock and A. Nanlohy, 2013. Recent mercury contamination from artisanal gold mining on Buru Island, Indonesia potential future risks to environmental health and food safety. Marine Pollut. Bull., 77: 428-433.
- Martinez, R.F., I. Rucandio, I. Pinilla, F. Borlal and F. Garcia *et al.*, 2015. Evaluation of different digestion systems for determination of trace Mercury in Seaweeds by cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrometry. J. Food Compos. Anal., 38: 7-12.
- Ngoye, E. and J.F. Machiwa, 2004. The influence of land-use patterns in the Ruvu river watershed on water quality in the river system. Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A. B. C., 29: 1161-1166.
- Notoatmodjo, S., 2010. [Health Research Methodology]. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta, Indonesia, ISBN:9789795189848, Pages: 216 (In Indonesian).
- Parsons, M.B. and J.B. Percival, 2005. A Brief History of Mercury and its Environmental Impact. In: Mercury: Sources, Measurements, Cycles and Effects, Parsons, M.B. and J.B. Percival (Eds.). MIneralogical Association of Canada, Quebec, Canada, ISBN:9780921294344, pp: 1-20.
- Riaz, A., S. Khan, M.T. Shah, G. Li and N. Gul *et al.*, 2016. Mercury contamination in the blood, urine, hair and nails of the gold washers and its human health risk during extraction of placer gold along Gilgit, Hunza and Indus rivers in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. Environ. Technol. Innov., 5: 22-29.
- Rose, C.H., S. Ghosh, J.D. Blum and B.A. Bergquist, 2015. Effects of ultraviolet radiation on mercury isotope fractionation during photo-reduction for inorganic and organic mercury species. Chem. Geol., 45: 102-111.
- Rumayor, M., M.D. Somoano, M.A.L.Anton and M.R.M. Tarazona, 2013. Mercury compounds characterization by thermal desorption. Talanta, 114: 318-322.
- Solenkova, N.V., J.D. Newman, J.S. Berger, G. Thurston and J.S. Hochman *et al.*, 2014. Metal pollutants and cardiovascular disease: Mechanisms and consequences of exposure. Am. Heart J., 168: 812-822.
- Sugiyono, 2008. [Quantitative Research Methods Qualitative and R and D]. Alfa Beta, Bandung, Indonesia, (In Indonesian).
- Sun, G., Z. Li, X. Bi, Y. Chen and S. Lu et al., 2013. Distribution, sources and health risk assessment of Mercury in kindergarten dust. Atmos. Environ., 73: 169-176.

- Topp, L., B. Barker and L. Degenhardt, 2004. The external validity of results derived from ecstasy users recruited using purposive sampling strategies. Drug Alcohol Dependence, 73: 33-40.
- Veiga, M.M., S.G. Angeloci and J.A. Meech, 2014a. Review of barriers to reduce mercury use in artisanal gold mining. Extr. Ind. Soc., 1: 351-361.
- Veiga, M.M., G. Angeloci, M. Hitch and P.C.V. Lopez, 2014b. Processing centres in artisanal gold mining. J. Cleaner Prod., 64: 535-544.
- Veiga, M.M., G. Angeloci, W. Niquen and J. Seccatore, 2015. Reducing mercury pollution by training Peruvian artisanal gold miners. J. Cleaner Prod., 94: 268-277.
- Villalba, I.M., M. Lacasana, M.R. Barranco, A.F. Hernandez and B.G. Alzaga *et al.*, 2015. Biomonitoring of arsenic, cadmium, lead, manganese and mercury in urine and hair of children living near mining and industrial areas. Chemosphere, 124: 83-91.
- Wardiyatun, S. and E. Hartini, 2009. [Factors associated with the mercury levels in urine at the gold mine workers in Rengas Village seven Tumbles Titi District of Ketapang in West Kalimantan (In Indonesian)]. J. Viseques, 8: 1-11.
- Widianarko, B., C.A.M. Van Gestel, R.A. Verweij and N.M. Van Straalen, 2000. Associations between trace metals in sediment, water and guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters), from urban streams of Semarang, Indonesia. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, 46: 101-107.