PROSES REVIEW JURNAL

JRPS-2018-0223

"Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent "


JRPS Office

Dear Mr. Rama Hiola,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansium domesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent " to JRPS Office. Your assigned manuscript number is JRPS-2018-0223.

We will begin processing of your manuscript and may contact you if we require any further information. Once your manuscript passes our in-house technical checks, you will receive an updated version. You can also check the status of your manuscript by logging into your account. Click here For Login to our system.

Thank you for your support of JRPS. Kind regards, JRPS Office



JRPS-2018-0223

"Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent "

JRPS Office

Dear Mr. Rama Hiola,


The PDF of your submission, "Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent " has been built and is ready for your approval. Please view the submission before approving it, to be certain that it is free of any errors, if you have already approved the PDF of your submission, this e-mail can be ignored.

To approve the PDF please login to the our system as an Author : Click $\underline{\text{here}}$ For Login to our system.

Your username is: RHiola-28857

Then click on the link, "Submissions Waitings for Author's Approval Submissions" to view and approve the PDF of your submission.

Your submission will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned to handle it. Thank you for your time and patience. kind regards, JRPS Office



JRPS-2018-0223

"Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent "

JRPS Office

Dear Rama Hiola,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to JRPS Office. After careful consideration, we feel that it has merit, but is not suitable for publication as it currently stands. Therefore, my decision is "Major Revision."

We invite you to submit a revised version of the manuscript that addresses the points below : **EDITOR :**

With special thanks in advance, Please be sure to fulfill the following requirements/comments:

- All raised comments MUST be followed by suitable responses Point-by-Point
- Corresponding author should independently assess whether the paper meets the publication criteria of JRPS Office and control for any possible plagiarism
- Ms must first go through a complete English proofread and correction before considering for publication in the journal.

We encourage you to submit your revision within sixty days of the date of this decision.

When your files are ready, please submit your revision by logging on to JRPS Office and following the Submissions Needing Revision link. **Do not submit a revised manuscript as a new submission.** Before uploading, you should proofread your manuscript very closely for mistakes and grammatical errors. Should your manuscript be accepted for publication, you may not have another chance to make corrections as we do not offer pre-publication proofs.

In addition, when submitting your revision please include the following items:

A rebuttal letter that responds to each point brought up by the editor and reviewer(s). This letter should be uploaded as a 'Response to Reviewers' file.

A clean revised manuscript as your 'Manuscript' file.

A marked-up copy of the changes made from the previous article file as a 'Revised Manuscript with Track Changes' file. This can be done using 'track changes' in programs such as MS Word and/or highlighting any changes in the new document.

If you choose not to submit a revision, please notify us. Yours sincerely,
Mohsen Shahlaei
Executive Editor
JRPS Office

Reviewers' comments:


Comments to the Author:


Reviewer #1:


- 1. In result section you should discuss the cons and pro. most paragraphs in this section is mentioned only to the results and there in not discussion about the results.
- 2. How did you select the amount of material used in making these formulations explain
- 3.on which bases did you select the concentrations explain it in method part

- 4. How maney people participated in organoleptic evaluation and how did they evaluate the products? explain them in detail.
- 5. The manuscript need editorial evaluation.
- 6. What is the unit for viscosity. Write it to tables no 4 and 5.

Please complete Reply table. click here../../userfiles/Document/Reply.doc.







JRPS-2018-0223

"Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent "


JRPS Office

Dear Mr. Rama Hiola,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent " to JRPS Office. Your assigned manuscript number is JRPS-2018-0223-R1.

We will begin processing of your manuscript and may contact you if we require any further information. Once your manuscript passes our in-house technical checks, you will receive an updated version. You can also check the status of your manuscript by logging into your account. Click here For Login to our system.

Thank you for your support of JRPS. Kind regards, JRPS Office



Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansium domesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent.

JRPS-2018-0223-R1

Dear Rama Hiola,


I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript entitled JRPS-2018-0223-R1 has been accepted for publication in a forthcoming issue of Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences.

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department and work will begin on creation of the proof. If we need any additional information to create the proof, we will let you know. If not, you will be contacted again in the next few days with a request to approve the proof and to complete a number of online forms that are required for publication. Please ensure that the manuscript number appears on any correspondence.

Thank you for the opportunity to consider this fine piece of work and for using Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences to communicate with your fellow scientists.

With kind regards,

Mohsen Shahlaei Executive Editor Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences



Dear Author,


We are pleased to inform you that your paper is nearing publication. You can kindly find proof of your article as an attachment. The proof shows the paper as it will appear later in print.

You can help us facilitate rapid publication by returning the corrected proof of this paper within 2 working days. Please first read about the proof procedure to learn how to proceed and also to obtain information about online publication. Please send me back your corrected proof by reply to this email. In case of difficulties with the proofs, please contact me.

Thank you very much. We hope you are pleased with the publication.

Sincerely yours,

Mohsen Shahlaei, PhD Associated Professor of Medicinal Chemistry Faculty of Pharmacy Kermanshah university of Medical sciences





JRPS

Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences



Volume 1, Number 1, Spring and Summer 2012



■ Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences (JRPS)

The Journal of Reports in Pharmaceutical Sciences (JRPS) is a biannually journal that publishes studies on all aspects of pharmaceutical sciences consist of medicinal chemistry, molecular modeling, drug design, pharmaceutics, biopharmacy, pharmaceutical nanotechnology, pharmacognosy, natural products, pharmaceutical biotechnology, pharmacology, toxicology and clinical pharmacy. It provides a medium for publication of original papers, letters, laboratory notes, short communications, and invited reviews. The JRPS is the official journal of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

Editor-in-chief: Gholam Reza Bahrami, Pharm. D, PhD

Chairman: Reza Khodarahmi, PhD

Executive Manager: Mohsen Shahlaei, PhD

pISSN: 2322-1232 eISSN: 2322-5106

Indexed/Abstracted in:









Editorial Team

Chairman

Reza khodarahmi, Department of Pharmacognosy & Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, 67145-1673, Iran.

Editor in Chief

Gholamreza Bahrami, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

Executive Manager

Mohsen Shahlaei, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, PO box: 67145-1673, AND Nanosciences and Technology Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Office Manager

Elaheh Alizadeh, Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Editorial Board

<u>Avat Taherpuor</u>, Department of Organic Chemistry, Chemistry Faculty, Razi University P.O.Box: 67149-67346, Kermanshah, Iran.

Omid Tavallaei, Department of Pharmacognosy & Biotechnology, School of Pharmacy, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran

<u>Katayoun Derakhshandeh</u>, Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutics, University of Medical Science, Hamedan, Iran, AND Nanosciences and Technology Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

<u>Leila Hosseinzadeh</u>, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

Yalda Shokoohinia, Department of Pharmacognosy & Biotechnology, School of Pharmacy, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

Ahmad Mohammadi-Farani, PharmD, PhD Assistant Professor of pharmacology

<u>Amir Kiani</u>, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

<u>Lotfollah Saghie</u>, Department of Medicinal Chemistry - Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

<u>Afshin Fassihi</u>, Medicinal Chemistry department, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

Mojtaba Amani, Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran.

<u>Alireza Aliabadi</u>, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

Ghobad Mohammadi, Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67149-67346, Iran.

<u>Valiollah Hajhashemi</u>, Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

Mohammad Barzegar-Jalali, Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

<u>Alireza Foroumadi</u>, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Ali Pourmotabbed, Department of physiology, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673,

<u>Farhad Ahmadi</u>, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran

<u>Hadi Adibi</u>, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67145-1673, Iran.

<u>Ali Mostafaie</u>, Department of Immunology and Biology Research Center , Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Ramin Miri, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box: 71145-1149, Shiraz, and Medicinal & Natural Products Chemistry Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box: 71345-3388, Shiraz, Iran.

<u>Seyed Ebrahim Sajjadi Jazi</u>, Professor of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

Mohsen Shahlaei, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, PO box: 67145-1673, AND Nanosciences and Technology Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

<u>Shahla Mirzaeei</u>, Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 67149-67346.

Elham Arkan, Department of Medicinal Nanobiotecnology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, PO box: 67145-1673, AND Nanosciences and Technology Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Mohammad Hosein Farzaei, Department of Traditional Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, 67145-1673, Iran.

<u>Abolghasem Jouyban</u>, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Seyed Ahmad Emami, Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, AND Medical Toxicology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

Abbas Delazar, Faculty of Pharmacy and Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medicinal Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Sako Mirzaei, Islamic Azad University Of Sanandaj, Department of Biochemistry, Sanandaj, Iran.

International Editorial Board

<u>Keykavous Parang</u>, Department of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhodelsland, USA.

Ali Nokhodchi, Chemistry and Drug Delivery Group, Medway School of Pharmacy, University of Kent, UK.

<u>Gert Storm</u>, Department of Pharmaceutics, Utrecht Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Elena Papaleo, Structural Biology and NMR Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Copenaghen. Danmark.

Boris Ivanovich Kurganov, A.N.Bach Institute of Biochemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky prospekt 33, Moscow 119071, RUSSIA.

Faris Farassati, The University of Kansas Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Kansas city, KS, 66160.

Vikas Kumar, National Center for Natural Products Research (NCNPR), School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi


<u>Saqlain Haider</u>, Postdoctoral Research Associate – Medicinal Chemistry (November 2014 – Currently working) - National Center for Natural Products Research, School of Pharmacy, The University of Mississippi, Oxford-MS, USA

André M. Marques, Ph.D in Chemistry of Natural Products, Laboratory of Natural Product (PN3). Natural Products Department, Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology - Farmanguinhos (FIOCRUZ). Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. CEP: 21041-250. Tel. +5521-3977-2492.


<u>Tarun Belwal</u>, Post-Doc Fellow College of Biosystems Engineering and Food Science, Zhejiang University, People's Republic of China, Contact No.: +86 14758166086

Shankar D. Birajdar, Assistant Professor, Department of Basic Science and Humanities, Marathwada Institute of Technology, Aurangbad, (M. S) India. Mobile: +919822736542

Contents Volume 7, No.3 (2018)



ABSTRACT & LINK FULL TEXT "Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansiumdomesticum Corr.) Peel Ethanol extract Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent "



Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (*Lansium domesticum* Corr.) Peel Ethanol extracts Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent

Rama Hiola^{a*}, Nurul Rizky^b, Hamsidar Hasan^b, Nurain Thomas^b

- ^aDepartment of Public Health, Faculty of Sport and Health, State University of Gorontalo, Indonesia
- ^bDepartment of Pharmacy, Faculty of Sport and Health, State University of Gorontalo, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article Type: Research Article

Article History:Received: 2018-04-09
Revised: 2018-05-27
Accepted: 2018-06-08
ePublished: 2018-06-19

Keywords: Langsat Peel Ethanol Extract Repellent Lotion

ABSTRACT

The repellent is a material that works in protecting humans from mosquito bites. Langsat peel (Lansium domesticum Corr.) is one of the plants having function as repellent, anti-mosquito containing triterpenoid compound. The purpose of this research was to formulate the langsat peel ethanol extract into anti-mosquito lotion and to determine the effectiveness of repellent antimosquito from the best formulation of the langsat peel ethanol extract. The Optimization of the lotion basis was performed on three variations of cetyl alcohol concentrations i.e. 3%, 5%, and 7% respectively and obtained F2 containing 5% Cetyl alcohol as the optimum base. The F2 lotion basis formula was formulated into lotion with three concentrations of 10%, 20%, and 35%. The three lotions formula of the langsat peel ethanol extract was tested by organoleptic test, preparation, spreading test, adhesion test and stability test, including pH and viscosity exposed at 15-30°C, 4°C and 40°C. All formulas were tested for irritation using rabbits for 3 days and did not show any erythema and edema. Tests of the effectiveness of anti-mosquito were done on rabbit using mosquitoes. The results obtained showed that langsat peel extract 20% and 35% had effectiveness as anti-mosquito repellent and can be formulated into lotion dosage form.

Introduction

Indonesia is an archipelago country which is rich in natural materials from flora and fauna. One of them is Gorontalo Province having a lot of herbal medicine which used some people to prevent diseases. Besides that, Gorontalo has a high level to gain risk of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) which caused by mosquito [1].

A mosquito is one of the insects that have a role as a vector of disease agents. Diseases transmitted by mosquitoes are still a health problem for people, both in urban and rural areas, such as Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF), Malaria, Filariasis (Elephant Foot), Chikungunya and Encephalitis. Extraordinary events (KLB) which in recent years tend to increase the number of cases and death [2]. Repellent is an ingredient that has the ability to protect humans from mosquito bites when applied to the skin surface [3] but the repellent available on the market today contains lots of synthetic chemicals in large quantities can irritate the wearer. Related to the adverse effects of repellent synthesis encourage scientists to look for alternative anti-mosquitoes that do not harm human beings such as materials that come from nature. Some plants have insect repellent properties, can be a natural mosquito repellent or as a natural insecticide mosquito repellent.

One of the plants having the potential as a repellent is langsat peel (*Lansium domesticum*). In ancient times, people used the traditional way to repel mosquitoes by burning of langsat peel and reinforced with a fairly sticky sap [4]. The repellent advantage of the skin of this leaf is natural (enviro oriented) so as not to interfere with breathing [5,6]. Langsat peel is often used in cosmetics such as scrubs, lotions and creams. Lotion is a semi-solid preparation of emulsions intended for external use. Lotion is an easy to apply preparation with even distribution [7].

Based on the research by Haryanto (2014) showed langsat peel got positive results containing triterpenoid, saponin, quinone and tannin. According to Nishizawa et al (2012) stated that triterpenoids are secondary metabolite compounds having functioned as repellent [10]. In this study, the extract ethanol of langsat peel was formulated into lotion including its evaluations.

Materials and methods

Materials

Balance, rotary evaporator, beaker, magnetic stirrer, centrifuge, pH meter, langsat peel, Ethanol 96%, *Sweet almond oil*, filter paper, distilled water, Span 80®, Tween 80® Crodamol SS®, cetostearyl alcohol, cetyl Alcohol, Glycerin, Citric acid, sodium citrate, α-tocopherol, DMDM Hydantoin, propylene glycol, Liebermann Burchard. All chemical materials were purchased from Brataco Chemical Pharmaceuticals.

Methods

Sample 400 g of the langsat peel was extracted by maceration and soaking the sample in a 96% ethanol solvent for 3 times 24 h while occasionally stirring. The langsat peel extract was filtered to be separated between the residue and the filtrate. The filtrate was partitioned by using n-Hexan solvent at a ratio 1: 1. Separated partition results and taken top layer. The upper layer was obtained from the preceding treatment which was put into the evaporator to separate the langsat peel extract and the solvent. Furthermore, it accommodated the viscous extract obtained on the Erlenmeyer flask

Phytochemical Screening

Triterpenoid examination was done by Liebermann Burchard reaction. The 2 ml test solution was evaporated in the test tube. The extract was added 0.5 ml of anhydrous acetic acid and 2 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid through the tube wall. The color change of solution was brownish red indicating the presence of a triterpenoid [8].

The Optimization of Lotion Basis

The optimization of this lotion basis using the emulsion basis, the first step in making the lotion preparation was to mix the oil phase (almond oil and Span 80) and water phase (Tween 80 and water). Both phases were heated at 60-70°C. After

dissolution between tween 80 and Span 80 in each phase, additional additives were added according to their solubility. The water phase and oil phase were mixed by using an electric stirrer ultraturax at a speed 3200 RPM for 2 min. Stirring was done up to both homogeneous phases. On the optimal basis used 3 variations of cetyl alcohol concentration i.e. 3%, 5%, 7% respectively. The best concentration of cetyl alcohol will be used to make lotion formulation based on the viscosity and separation [9].

Formulation of ethanol extracts of langsat peel

The first step in the preparation of a lotion of langsat peel ethanol extract was to mix the soluble ingredients in the water phase and the soluble ingredients in the oil phase. Both phases were heated at a temperature of 60 - 70°C which then the second phase was mixed using Ultra-turax with a speed of 3200 RPM for 2 min. In the preparation of langsat peel extract utilized 3 different concentrations of 10%, 20%, and 35%.

Evaluation of langsat peel ethanol extract lotion

The organoleptic Evaluation

The organoleptic test included visually observed colors, odors and homogeneity. All formulas were marked by panelist (10 people) giving scoring. Quantitative descriptive analysis profiling techniques were used for organoleptic assessment of lotions with open line scales for scores ranging from 0 to 10 for the following flavor, colors and homogeneity attributes [19].

The pH Evaluation

The evaluation of pH of the lotion preparation used the pH meter tool. 1 g lotion was checked and diluted with distilled water up to 10 ml. The pH meter electrode was immersed in the treated solution, the pH meter needle was allowed to move until showing a fixed position, the pH

indicated by the pH meter needle was recorded [11]

The Viscosity Evaluation

25 g of langsat peel lotion were put into the container, then mounted rotor no. 1 and make sure that the rotor was immersed in the test preparation. The Brookfield Viscometer tool switched on and the rotor can rotate. Observed was pointing needles of the Viscometer leading to a number on the viscosity scale for the available rotor no 1, when the needle was pointing in a stable direction, that number represents its viscosity [11].

The Freeze Thaw Evaluation

The lotion preparation was kept at 4°C for 24 h, then discharged and placed at 40°C for 24 h, the process was calculated 1 cycle. This experiment was conducted for 7 cycles. The results of the cycling test were compared with the previous preparation [9,11].

The Centrifugation Evaluation

The preparation of the lotion was fed into a centrifugation tube then centrifuged at 3750 RPM for 30 min. This centrifugation test described the stability of the lotion due to the effect of equal gravity for 1 year [10,16].

The Spreading Power Evaluation

0.5 g lotion was carefully placed on transparent plastic coated graph paper, left for a moment (15 sec) and the area given by the preparation and calculating, then covered again which was given plastic load of 1 g, 2 g, and 5 g and left for 60 sec, the increased area given by the preparation and can be calculated [12].

The Sticky Power Evaluation

0.25 g samples were placed over 2 glass objects that have been determined, and then pressed with a load of 1 kg for 5 min. After that the load was lifted from the glass object, and then was mounted

on the test equipment. The test equipment was loaded 80 g and then recorded the time of removal of lotion from the glass object [13].

Skin Irritation Study

Skin irritation test using healthy rabbits, there were no injuries or skin disorders. Three groups (n=3) of albino male rabbits (1.5-2 kg) were used in this study. Negative control (no application) were applied (n=3) on the dorsal side (2 cm²) of properly shaven skin of rabbits. The formulation was removed after 72 h and examined for any signs of erythema and edema. Undesirable skin changes, i.e. change in color and change in skin morphology, were visually checked in for periods of 1h, 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h. The resulting reactions were compared and scored against a control group (n=3).

Test of Repellent Effectiveness

The test was carried out into 3 mosquito cages measuring 29.4 x 28.5 x 32.8 cm, into each contained 20 mosquitoes. The test was done by applying lotion from langsat peel ethanol extract on rabbit skin as much as 1.5 g using a brush. The rabbits that had been smeared with lotion then put into the experimental box for 5 min for the first observation, then the rabbit was removed for 30 min, and put back into the test box for 5 min for second (second) observation, and the rabbit re-issued in 30 min then re-inserted for 5 min for the third observation. During the experiment, rabbit skin was not washed and treatment (lotion) group was not added lotion. This was to see the durability of repellent protection. The Observed and counted were done to gain the number of mosquitoes that perched during this experiment [14].

Statistical Analysis

Data on the relationship between each formulation and the obtained results of physical evaluation were analyzed by one way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) method using SPSS 16.

Results and Discussion Maceration Method

400 g langsat peel were extracted by maceration method for 3 times 24 h using 96% ethanol solvent and partitioned using N-Hexan which yielded 13 g extract.

According to Handa that maceration is a technique use in wine making and has been adopted and widely used in medicinal plants research. Maceration involved soaking plant materials (coarse or powdered) in a stoppered container with a solvent and allowed to stand at room temperature for a period of minimum 3 days with frequent agitation [19]. The processed intended to soften and break the plant's cell wall to release the soluble phytochemicals. After 3 days, the mixture is pressed or strained by filtration. In this conventional method, heat is transferred through convection and conduction and the choice of solvents will determine the type of compound extracted from the samples [20].

Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical scheme was a qualitative analysis of secondary metabolite compounds. In this study, phytochemical screening test used Lieberman Burrchard reagent. The phytochemical screening results gained a change in the color of brownish red indicating positive triterpenoids [15].

Lotion Basis Optimization

Optimization of the lotion basis that can be seen in table 1. The purpose of this optimization basis was to obtain a stable and a good lotion basis. The material used in this formulation was prepared in an emulsion lotion o/w. This study used the combination two surfactants i.e. tween 80 and span 80 considering these preparations were formulated into emulsion o/w. Tween 80 was a water-soluble emulsifying agent which was capable to form an emulsion type o/w. Otherwise, span 80 was a nonionic emulsifying agent, in which the lipophilic group was more dominant. According to Tungadi (2018) stated that tween 80 and span 80 acted as emulsifier to stabilize the interface of the water-in-oil internal emulsion and a hydrophilic emulsifier for the external interface of the oil-in-water emulsion [1].

In addition, this basis optimization used 3 variations of concentration of cetyl alcohol as a viscosity enhancer. The result of basis optimization can be seen in **table 1**, based on the

results obtained in F2 and F3 showed no separation. However, F3 gave the appearance of the dosage form resembles cream preparation because it had a high viscosity so it cannot be poured. According to Ansel (1989) stated that the lotion is a topical preparation without drugs for topical use, which permeate the water evenly, so the viscosity of the lotion is lower than cream [14]. Therefore, F2 can be selected as a stable basis. This is consistent with Handa et al. stated that before making formulation, pre-formulation study has important role to get good and stable formulation. It involves the characterization of a drug's physical, chemical, and mechanical properties relating to other ingredients which used in the preparation. In dealing with lotion preformulation, the important aspect is to understand the emulsion behavior of a given lotion under a variety of stress conditions such as freeze-thaw, temperature, shear stress among others to identify mechanisms of degradation and its mitigation [21].

Table 1. The optimization of lotion basis.

Mataviala	The amount of materials (%)			
Materials	F1	F2	F3	
Oil phase				
Sweet Almond oil	15	15	15	
Span 80	1,4	1,4	1,4	
Crodamol SS	0,5	0,5	0,5	
Alpha tocopherol	0,02	0,02	0,02	
Cetyl Alcohol	3	5	7	
Cetostearyl Alcohol	5	5	5	
Water phase				
Tween 80	3,598	3,598	3,598	
Propylene glycol	5	5	5	
Glycerin	5	5	5	
Citric acid	0,294	0,294	0,294	
Sodium citrate	0,137	0,137	0,137	
DMDM Hydantoin	0,5	0,5	0,5	
Aquadest	100	100	100	
Results	S	NS	NS	

S: separate; NS: not separate

Lotion Formulation

Preparation of Langsat peel extracts

This stage of the active substance or langsat peel ethanol extract was made in several concentration variations (**table 2**) which then was added to the basis of F2. After the active ingredient was added on the basis, three different concentrations of langsat peel ethanol extracts were obtained, including F2A, F2B, F2C. The three formulas of

langsat peel ethanol extract were centrifuged using centrifugation. The purpose of centrifugation was to see the separation of the dispersed phase due to the formation of cream or agglomeration when the emulsion was exposed to centrifugation [16]. In the centrifugation evaluation results showed no separation in the three lotions formula of langsat peel ethanol extract.

Table 2. The formulas of langsat peel extract lotion.

Materials	The a	mount of material	ls (%)
	F2a	F2b	F2c
Langsat peel extract	10	20	35
Oil Phase			
Sweet Almond oil	15	15	15
Span 80	1,4	1,4	1,4
Crodamol SS	0,5	0,5	0,5
Alpha Tocoferol	0,02	0,02	0,02
Ĉetyl Alcohol	5	5	5
Cetostearyl Alcohol	5	5	5
Water Phase			
Tween 80	3,598	3,598	3,598
Propylene glycol	5	5	5
Glycerin	5	5	5
Citric acid	0,294	0,294	0,294
Sodium citrate	0,137	0,137	0,137
DMDM Hydantoin	0,5	0,5	0,5
Aquadest	100	100	100
Results	NS	NS	NS

NS: not separate

Evaluation of the dosage form

The organoleptic evaluation

Based on the scoring from panelists, the three formulas were observed organoleptically including color, odor and homogeneity. The observations described F2A had a white color ivory, while F2B and F2C had a yellowish white color. The color change of each formula was caused by the yellow color from the extract of langsat peel resulting in the change of color in the dosage. The greater concentration of langsat peel extract used was the more yellow the resulting

Table 3. The pH Measurement of stability test.

preparation. However, these three formulas had the same distinctive odor of skin odor and showed homogeneous preparations.

The pH evaluation

This evaluation at room temperature with temperature 15-30°C was measured by using pH meter.

Based on **table 3** showed that the pH measurement results for 7 cycles or T_0 - T_{30} was about \pm 5, it corresponded to a skin pH of 4.5-7.0 [11].

Cyclo		рН	
Cycle –	F1	F2	F3
0	5,64±0,005	5,66±0,011	5,65±0,005
1	5,59±0,005	5,49±0,011	5,63±0,01
2	5,70±0,015	5,60±0,02	5,68±0,005
3	5,69±0,017	5,58±0,011	5,57±0,005
4	5,78±0,011	5,61±0,01	5,64±0,01
5	5,70±0,01	5,65±0,011	5,67±0,005
6	5,73±0,011	5,64±0,005	5,68±0,005

All values are reported as mean ± SD (n=3)

The viscosity evaluation

The three formulas were followed by the stability of langsat peel ethanol extract. This evaluation at room temperature 15-30°C was measured by using a pH meter and Brookfield Viscometer (table 4). According to Martin (1993), viscosity is

a pressure statement of a liquid to flow, the lower viscosity has the higher the resistance. The lotion has a lower viscosity of cream and gel preparations which make it easier to use (spread more evenly than cream). Lotion is suitable for hairy areas such as scalp and spreads in the form of thin films making it more economical [11].

Table 4. The lotion viscosity after stability test.

Cycle	Viscosity (Cp)				
	Formula I	Formula II	Formula III		
0	1703±11,01	1697±4,58	1672±4,35		
1	1685±5,13	1680±7,09	1681±3,05		
2	1688±4,58	1584±4,58	1593±3		
3	1460±5	1581±2,51	1542±4,35		
4	1562±4,35	1697±6,08	1596±5,29		
5	1514±4,5	1670±4,16	1651±4,16		
6	1625±4,72	1647±2,51	1648±7,5		

All values are reported as mean ± SD (n=3)

The Freeze Thaw evaluation

The Freeze thaw evaluation was performed for 7 cycles, including viscosity and pH. For each cycle exposed at 40°C for 48 h which placed at 4°C for 48 h. The purpose of this Freeze Thaw evaluation was to evaluate the shelf-life of all formulas. In addition, the objective of the Freeze thaw test was to obtain the desired results at the shortest possible time by storing samples under conditions

designed to accelerate the occurrence of changes that normally occur under normal conditions [11]. The results showed that pH change during storage at 40° C and 4° C for 7 cycles can be seen in **table 5**. Based on the results of pH measurement, the pH of the dosage was about \pm 5, it corresponded to human skin pH 4.5-7,0 [17]. As for the evaluation of viscosity measured using a Brookfield Viscometer for 7 cycles, the results showed the viscosity change during storage at 40° C and 4° C for 7 cycles. The resulting of viscosity was about \pm 1700 cp.

Tabel 5. The results of Freeze Thaw evaluation.

Cycle		рН	
	Formula I	Formula II	Formula III
0	5,69±0,005	5,72±0,005	5,75±0,005
1	5,70±0,005	5,72±0	5,68±0,01
2	5,74±0,01	5,73±0,03	5,73±0,005
3	5,80±0,01	5,79±0,01	5,76±0,01
4	5,81±0,01	5,80±0,01	5,75±0,01
5	5,75±0,01	5,75±0,01	5,79±0,005
6	5,78±0,01	5,78±0,01	5,50±0,005

All values are reported as mean ± SD (n=3)

The spreading power evaluation

Evaluation of the scattering power of the lotion of longsat peel ethanol extract used loads of 1, 2 and 5 g of load. The spreading power test was done to see how far the lotion can spread evenly when applied to the skin.

The results showed the difference of power dissipation of each formula (table 6). This was caused by the difference of the viscosity of each of

the formulas because spreading was inversely proportional to viscosity. The more dilute a lotion, the greater the spreading power [17,18]. From the results obtained by spreading lotion of a langsat peel ethanol extract was ranging from 3.5 to 5 cm. According to Laverius (2011) stated that the semisolid spreading capacity was about > 5 cm, so the spreading power of langsat peel ethanol extract qualified from semi solid dosage form.

Table 6. The results of spreading power evaluation

Load (g)	Formula	Results (cm)
	F_{2A}	L = 4,5 W = 4
1	F_{2B}	L = 4 W = 4
	F_{2C}	L = 4 W =3,5
	F_{2A}	L = 3,6 W = 3,6
2	F_{2B}	L = 4 W = 4
	F_{2C}	L = 3,5 W = 3,5
	F_{2A}	L = 5 W = 5
	F_{2B}	L = 4,5 W = 4,5
5	F_{2C}	L = 4,5 W = 4,5

L:length; W: width

The sticky power evaluation

The power test was attached to all formulas using a load of 1000 g and 80 g for 5 min. From the adhesive test results showed the results of the three formulas having different adhesiveness due to differences in the viscosity of each formula. This

viscosity difference occurred due to the difference of the concentration of the active substance which has a thick viscosity and can affect the viscosity of the formulas (table 7).

Table 7. The results of the sticky power evaluation.

Formula	Time (second)
F_{2A}	6
F_{2B}	9
F_{2C}	9

Table 8. The resuts of effectiveness test on mosquito

The tweetment		The number of mosquitoe	S
The treatment	$\mathbf{F}_{2\mathbf{A}}$	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{2B}}$	F _{2C}
The first five minues	1	0	0
The second five minutes	0	0	1
The third five minutes	2	1	0

Table 9. The results of irritation test on rabbits

Rabbit	Formula			Observat	ion tme			Irritation
Kabbit	rorillula	24 ho	urs	48 ho	urs	72 ho	ours	index
		Erythema	edema	Erythema	edema	Erythema	edema	_
1	F _{2A}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	F_{2B}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	F_{2C}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Test of Repellent Effectiveness

From the test results of each formula showed that F2A, F2B, and F2C had effectiveness as antimosquito. The concentration of 10% of langsat peel ethanol extract (F2A) can be seen that 1 mosquito that perched on the first 5 min and 2 mosquitoes on the third 5 min. The concentration of 20% (F2B) and F2C with the concentration of 35% showed that mosquitoes which perched in the same amount that was 1 mosquito at the different time (**table 8**). This was caused by the different concentrations of each formula, the

greater the concentration of langsat peel ethanol extract and better effectiveness of anti-mosquito. While on the positive control showed the absence of mosquitoes perched.

The last stage was an irritation test conducted for 3 days or for 72 h using a rabbit as animal model. This irritation test was performed to see the presence of erythema and edema that occurred after smearing the lotion preparation of langsat peel ethanol extracts, thus preventing hypersensitivity reactions before use in humans [17, 18]. Observation of this irritation test used the Draize method by looking at the appearance of

erythema and wound shape, and the magnitude of edema [17]. The observation and irritation index calculations was obtained the three formulas did not show any irritation (erythema and edema). It can be seen in **table 9** that all the formulas were not irritating.

Conclusion

Langsat peel ethanol extract (*Lansium domesticum Corr.*) can be formulated into lotion dosage form at the concentrations of 10%, 20%, 35% and was stable based on stability evaluation. Besides that, the preparation of langsat peel lotion at the concentrations of 20% and 35% showed good effectiveness as anti-mosquito repellant.

Conflict of interest

Authors certify that there is no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this article.

References

- [1] Tungadi R, Imran AK. Formulation development and characterization of snakehead fish (*Ophiocephalus striatus*) powder in oral double emulsion. Int J App Pharm 2018;10:70-75.
- [2] Schramm LL. Emulsions, foams and suspensions: fundamentals and applications. Germany: Wiley-VCH. 2005;4–5.
- [3] Meyers D. Surfactant Science and Technology. John Willey & Sons (NJ) Inc; 2006.
- [4] Haryanto YR, Wahyu V, Amelia A, Arif, Julchairi. The formulation of Langsat peel methanol extract as repellant. Pontianak: Tanjungpura University; 2014
- [5] Kumar R, Kumar MS, Mahadevan N. Multiple Emulsions: A Review. Int J Recent Adv Pharm Res 2012;2:9-19.
- [6] Panwar AS, Upadhyay N, Bairagi M, Gujar S, Darwhekar GN, Jain DK. A review: emulgel. Asian J Pharm and Life Sci. 2011;1:45-50.
- [7] Khullar R, Kumar D, Seth N, Saini S. Formulation and evaluation of mefenamic acid emulgel for topical delivery. Saudi Pharm J. 2012;20:63–67.
- [8] Underwood R.A. The Analysis and Quantitative Chemistry. Jakarta: Erlangga Publisher; 2001.
- [9] Nagendra R, Roopa S.P, Gurinder S. Design and optimization of novel in situ gel of

- mercaptopurine for sustained drug delivery. Brazilian J. Pharm. Sci 2014;50:107-119.
- [10] Tungadi R, Mozin RW. Characterization and physical stability evaluation of snakehead fish (*Ophiocephalus striatus*) nanoemulsion. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2017;8:1000-1006.
- [11] Guideline IHT. Stability testing of new drug substances and products. Q1A (R2), Curr Step. 2003:4
- [12] Voight R. The technology of dosage form. Jogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University; 1995.
- [13] Swarbrick J. Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology. 3rded. Volume 1. New York: Informa Healthcare USA; 2007.
- [14] Hutagalung D. The effect of kenikir leaf (*Tagetes erecta*) as repellant for aedes aegypty. Medan: The faculty of public health; 2013.
- [15] Poongthai A. Preliminary phytochemicals screening of ficus racemosa linn. Bark. India: Avinashilingam Deemed University for Women; 2011.
- [16] Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. The theory and practice of industry pharmacy. The 3rd ed. Jakarta: UI Press; 2000.
- [17] Kwak MS, Ahn HJ, Song KW. Rheological investigation of body cream and body lotion in medical applicaltion conditions. Korea-Australia Rheology J. 2015;27: 241-251.
- [18] Eady Ea, Burke BM, Pulling K, Cunliffe WJ. The benefit of 2% salicyl acid lotion in acne a placebo-cotrolled study. Dermatology J. 1996;7:45-48.
- [19] Darin A, Sukha, David R. The use of an optimized organoleptic assessment protocol to describe and quantify different flavor attributes of cocoa liquours made from Ghana. Eur Food Res Technol. 2006;12:1-9.
- [20] Handa SS, Khanuja SPS, Longo G, Rakesh DD. Extraction technologies for medinal and aromatic plants. United nations industrial development organization and the international centre for science and high technology. Italy; 2008.
- [21] Simler R, Walsh G, Mattaliano RJ, Guziewicz N, Perez-Ramirez B. Maximizing data collection and analysis during preformulation of biotherapeutic proteins. Bioprocess International. 2008;6:38-45.

LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) :	Formulation and I Peel Ethanol extra			n domesticum Corr	
Jumlah Penulis	4 Orang	213 13011011 113 111	in mosquito ne	penen	
Status Pengusul	Penulis Pertama				
Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah :	a. Nama Jurnal	: Journal Sciences		in Pharmaceutic	
	b. Nomor ISSN	: 2322-51	06 (eISSN)		
	c. Volume, nomor		OUG SATER SHEET STATE TO SEE SEE	i, 2018	
	d. Penerbit			of Medical Science	
	e. DOI artikel	: 10.2211	0/JRPS.2018.10	0657	
	f. Alamat web Jun	nal :			
	http://sciencecra artId=100657	dle.kums.ac.ir/I	En/journals/New	ArticleDetails.aspx	
		DOAJ, Scope	is, Index Cope	rnicus Internationa	
	Google Scholar,	ISC			
Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)	Jurnal Ilmia	h Internasional h Nasional Tera h Nasional Tida	TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF		
Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :	Junai iiina	ii Nasionai 11da	k i ciaki cuitasi		
	Nilai N	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			
	Internasional	Nasional	Nasional	Nilai Akhir	
Komponen Yang Dinilai		Terakreditasi	Tidak Terakreditasi	Yang Diperoleh	
Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	4			4	
 Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%) 	12			11.5	
 Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%) 	12			11,2	
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	12			11.3	
Total = (100%)	40		10	38	
Nilai Pengusul =					
Catatan Penilaian Jurnal oleh	Reviewer:				

Reviewer 1

2018

Prof. df. H. Veni Hadju, Ph.D NIP 19620318 198803 1004

Unit kerja: Universitas Hasanuddin

LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: JURNAL ILMIAH

	 Formulation and Peel Ethanol extra 			n domesticum Cor
Jumlah Penulis	: 4 Orang	icis Louon as Ai	in-wosquito Re	petient
Status Pengusul	: Penulis Pertama			
Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah	a. Nama Jurnal	: Journal Sciences	The second secon	in Pharmaceutic
Kategori Publikasi Jumal Ilmiah (beri ✓pada kategori yang tepat)	artId=100657 g.Terindeks CAS Google Scholar, : Jurnal Ilmia	: 2322-51 r, bulan, Tahun: E Kermans : 10.2211 rnal : adle.kums.ac.ir/E	06 (eISSN) Vol.7, No.3, Jun shah University 0/JRPS.2018.10 En/journals/New us, Index Cope	of Medical Science
Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:	NINGT	Material Towns	Harriet.	
	71,751,1555	Maksimal Jurnal		
Komponen Yang Dinilai	Internasional	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi	Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel	- 4			
(10%)				9
TAKENDAL BERGADURANG TOOL TROOPS AND ANY ANY AND AN AND ANY ANY AND AN	10			11.8
(10%) b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman	12			11.7
(10%) b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%) c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi	12			11.7
(10%) b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%) c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%) d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualita	12			4 11.8 11.7 11.2 38.70

2018

Reviewer 2

Prof. Dr. Ishak Isa, M.Si NIP 19610526 198703 1005 Unit kerja : Universitas Negeri Gorontalo

LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel)	Formulation and Evaluation of Langsat (Lansium domesticum Corr., Peel Ethanol extracts Lotion as Anti-Mosquito Repellent			
Jumlah Penulis :	4 Orang	cis Louon as Ai	in-Mosquito Re	petient
Status Pengusul :	Penulis Pertama			
Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah	a. Nama Jurnal	: Journal Sciences	The second secon	in Pharmaceutic
Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : (beri √pada kategori yang tepat)	artId=100657 g.Terindeks CAS Google Scholar, Jumal Ilmia Jumal Ilmia	: 2322-51 ; bulan, Tahun: : Kermans : 10.2211 nal : adle.kums.ac.ir/I	06 (eISSN) Vol.7, No.3, Jun shah University 0/JRPS.2018.10 En/journals/New us, Index Cope	of Medical Science
Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			
Komponen Yang Dinilai	Internasional	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi	Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	- 4			4
 Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%) 	12			11.63
 Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi 	12			11,45
(30%)				
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	12			11,45
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas	12			38,35

2018

Reviewer 2

Prof. Dr. Ishak Isa, M.Si NIP. 19610526 198703 1005

Unit kerja: Universitas Negeri Gorontalo

Prof. dr.H. Veni Hadju, Ph.D NIP 19620318 198803 1004

Reviewer 1

Unit kerja: Universitas Hasanuddin