

ISSN 2747-0555

TRANS-KATA

Journal of Language, Literature, Culture, and Education Volume 1 No. 2 May 2021

Publisher

TRANSBAHASA GORONTALO, INDONESIA



Availabe online at ejournal.transbahasa.co.id



Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief

Novriyanto Napu, PhD Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

Editorial Board

Prof. Rahim Aman, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
Prof. Mirella Agorni, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy
Dr. Rachel Burke, The University of Newcastle, Australia
Hilda Cahyani, PhD, Politeknik Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Dr. Maria Elisa Fina, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy
Dr. Daron Benjamin Loo, National University of Singapore, Singapore
Herawaty Abbas, PhD
Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia
Moh. Syahrun Ibrahim, PhD, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia
Ms. Arnis Silvia, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, Indonesia

Assistant Editor

Ms. Siti Rahmatia Ntou, Transbahasa Gorontalo, Indonesia

IT & Operational Support

Mr. Alfian Zakaria, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

Layout

Mr. Alvons Habibie, IAIN Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Indonesia Mr. Reza Pade, Transbahasa Gorontalo, Indonesia



Peer Reviewers

Dr. Toan Pham, University of South Australia, Australia Dr. Hendi Pratama, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia Thu Thi Quy Do, PhD Hue University, Vietnam / Deakin University, Australia Mino Saito, PhD Juntendo University, Japan Santhy Hawanti, PhD Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia Dr. Kadek Ratih Dwi Oktarini, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore Dr. Iffah Budiningsih, Universitas Islam As-Syafi'iah Jakarta, Indonesia Mohd Roslan Rosnon, PhD Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia Dr. Ardian Wahyu Setiawan, Politeknik Negeri Malang, Indonesia Dr. Elahe Tavakoli, Volda University College, Norway Ameneh Mohaghegh, PhD Flinders University, Australia Condro Nur Alim, PhD Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia Jamilah Shaari, PhD Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Dr. Hery Yanto The, Zhejiang Yuexiu University of Foreign Languages, China Dr. Magdalena Baga, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia Ms. Tryanti Abdulrahman, Universitas Islam As-Syafi'iah Jakarta, Indonesia Ms. Yana Shanti Manipuspika, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia Muziatun, PhD Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia Ms. Kristian Howland, Tokyo International University, Japan Dr. Rahman Taufigrianto Dako, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo Dr. Ike Revita, Universitas Andalas, Indonesia Zulystiawati, PhD Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia Mr. Hendra Heriansyah, Universitas Syah Kuala, Indonesia Ms. Sri Widyarti Ali, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia Dr. Misrita, Universitas Palangkaraya, Indonesia Dr. Hanisah Hanafi, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia Dr. Usman Pakaya, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

ARTICLES

囚

R

Taboo Words in the Main Characters of Death Proof Movie

Oldi Simon, Syarifuddin Ahmad, Rahman Taufiqrianto Dako 47-57



Mukhtar Ahmed, Mohd Zameer , Sunil Kumar Verman , Sunita Godiyal 58-67

Code Switching In EFL Students' Proposal Seminar Presentation

Al Inayah Alfathia Amamah, Suleman Bouti, Fahria Malabar 68-76

Literature and Its Learning in the Perspective of Curriculum Development History

Fatmah AR. Umar 77-90

Reception of Perempuan Berkalung Sorban Movie among Islamic Senior High School Students

Febriyanto Ngabito, Sayama Malabar, Karmin Baruadi

91-104

A Study on Phonologycal Process: A Case on Indonesian EFL Students' Pronunciations

Hayria Mohamad, Hanisah Hanafi, Rahman Taufiqrianto Dako 105-114

Students Perceptions of the Use of English as Medium of Instruction

Nur Rahma Ika Afiyanti, Sartin T. Miolo, Helena Badu

115-123



TABOO WORDS IN MAIN CHARACTERS OF *DEATH PROOF* MOVIE

Oldi Simon*

Department of Investment, Energy and Mineral Resources, and Transmigration of Gorontalo Province, Indonesia boulooldi23@gmail.com

Syarifuddin Ahmad

Lecturer, Department of English Education, Faculty of Letters and Culture, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia syarifuddinachmad@ung.ac.id

Rahman Taufiqrianto Dako

Lecturer, Department of English Education, Faculty of Letters and Culture, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia rmtdako@ung.ac.id

Received: 31-03-2021

Accepted: 28-05-2021

Published: 29-05-2021

Abstract: The use of taboo words is strongly influenced by culture. In the growing discourse of use of taboo words in social reality, men are often portrayed to have discourteous traits and utter any form of taboo words when compared to women. However, a movie entitled *Death Proof* does not show the same trend. The present research aimed at investigating taboo words in the mentioned movie by focusing on their types and motives. Through the utilization of observation and documentation techniques, the data comprised utterances of the main characters that contained taboo words. The results revealed that the main characters employed taboos of profanity, obscenity, vulgarity, epithet and insult. Pertaining to women, they also fulfill those various classifications. Conversely, insult and profanity were the typical types men uttered during the movie. In regard to the motives of using taboo words, it was discovered that psychological, social and linguistic motives tend to stimulate male characters to utter taboo words, while women are merely affected by psychological and social motives. From the results, the research concludes that female characters utter taboo words more variably than men. However, women's variability on the production of taboo words is limited to certain situations, while men apply taboo words in multiple contexts.

Keywords: Culture; death proof movie; taboo words; the main characters

INTRODUCTION

Culture plays an important role in influencing the way people use language. This is due to the fact that culture does not only address some particular items such as music, literature, or forms of arts, but it also emerges as a system that regulates the entire aspects of life with regard to values, beliefs, norms, behaviors, and also the language usage (Greey, 1994). Because of its relationship to culture, language can arguably be one of the media for people to create their social identity and, in turn, it represents to what culture they belong. Such an idea is actually in consonance with the statement of Wardhaugh (2010) in which "the culture of a people finds reflection in the language they employ" (p. 230). Hence, the previous explanation significantly brings a proper understanding to the majority of the people that language is able to be one of the reflections of culture in society.

Speaking about the relationship between language and culture, taboo language is also considered something that portrays people's culture. To give some weight to the statement, what applies in South Africa may become one of the relevant examples. People who live in the

country, especially women, believe that speaking their husband's name directly as well as the father-in-law is something that they should avoid. When women break the taboo by speaking their husband's or father-in-law's name, they will be punished in accordance with the rules applied in that society. The statement made by Fromkin, Rodman, and Hyams (2010) supports the phenomenon.

> "In all societies certain acts or behaviors are frowned on, forbidden, or considered taboo. The words or expressions referring to these taboo acts are then also avoided or considered "dirty." Language cannot be obscene or clean; attitudes toward specific words or linguistic expressions reflect the views of a culture or society toward the behaviors and actions of the language users." (p. 478-479).

In connection with the previous proponent, it can simply be understood that the use of taboo language/words is strongly affected by the culture itself. The influence of a growing culture about use of taboo words portray men as individuals who possess discourteous traits. As a result, such traits stimulate them to use any form of taboo words, while women cannot. One of the prime examples reflecting this matter comes from Chambers and Trudgill (1998) who assert that society views bad language as a positive thing and compatible for men in which taboo words take a role as one of its classifications. Because women are regarded as individuals who tend to employ polite forms of utterances in the conversation, they are not expected to use taboo words (Coats, 2004). As a consequence, when women employ taboo words, particularly in high frequency, it will negatively influence theirreputation. Stapleton as cited in Johnova (2011) says that "a woman who uses 'bad language' is likely to invite not only negative social ascriptions, but also judgments regarding her moral standing and character"(p. 26).

Since language changes over time, the inclination of using taboo words does not only apply to men, but it mayseem very possible for women as well. In the twenty-first century particularly,it becomes unavoidable that the

taboo words can be found in various multiform media including social media, TV shows, and movies. One of the movies that demonstrates the employment of taboo words is a Quentin Tarantino movie entitled Death Proof. This movie was chosen as the subject of the research due to the tendency of the main characters who linguistically utter certain words categorized into taboo: for both male and female characters. Speaking about taboo words used by the main characters of Death Proof, Stuntman Mike's utterance may turn to be the relatable example in which he says, "Get ready to fly, bitch!" in the period of time (01:37:26-01:37:29). Another form of taboo words in the mentioned movie also comes from the character named Arlene. Let us consider the following utterance when she says, "Well that's what she always says, but in actual fact, she doesn't really have a black girl ass, she's just got a big ass" (00:12:03-00:12:08).

On the basis of the previous explanation, the researcher therefore argues that it is extremely crucial to examine the existence of taboo words in *Death Proof* by focusing on its types and also motives which influence the main characters in producing such words.

LITERATURE REVIEW The concept of taboo

Wardhaugh (1992) claims that taboos are a method of the society's members to express their disapproval towards particular behaviors which become dangers to another members either from religious or moral violation aspects. In other words, certain words become taboo if they are spoken to violate the values held or believed by its members of a society.

Words are also taboo once people employ them to bring the sense of uncomfortable feelings such as offensiveness. Hughes as cited in Ljung (2011) explains that "the term of taboo has now come to be used to denote 'any social indiscretion that ought to be avoided' and

has acquired the modern meaning of 'offensive' or 'grossly impolite' rather than 'strictly forbidden'"(p. 05). In the same line, Alland and Burridge (2006) support the idea in which a certain word is characterized into taboo if it tends to be employed by speakers to offend or hurt other people. Their idea can clearly be viewed through the direct statement below.

> "A taboo word in today's English is avoided-that is to say, censored out of use on a particular occasion-not (unless one is a child) because any fear that physical harm befall either on speaker or the audience, but lest the speaker loses face by offending the sensibilities of the audience." (p.237-238)

Realizing that taboo words tend to be producing various uncomfortable feelings, it accordingly encourages people to only utilize such words in certain circumstances and certain individuals. Wardhaugh (2006) declares,

> "Taboo is the prohibition or avoidance in any society of behavior believed to be harmful to its members in that it would cause them anxiety, embarrassment or shame. It is an extremely strong politeness constraint. Consequently, so far as language is concerned, certain things are not to be said or certain objects can be referred to only in certain circumstances, for example, only by certain people" (p. 239).

Looking at those characteristics of taboo words, one thing should be kept in mind however that it is quite difficult to determine taboo words because every society possess its own values used to view whether the words are deemed as taboo or not. In western culture, including American culture, people view taboo as words that are correlated with sexual organs, sexual relations, religion, and many more (Andersson as cited in Karjalainen, 2002). Further, Trudgill (2000) states that "in the English-speaking world, the most severe taboos are now associated with words connected with sex, closely followed by those connected with excretion and the Christian religion" (p. 19). Nearly the same, Leach (1976) suggests that taboo words in English consist of several

aspects such as animal abuse (calling other people the name of an animal), words that have to do with the Christian religion and sex.

Although taboo words are almost unavoidable in a society, on several occasions people can still avoid them with the use of what language experts call euphemism. Wardhaugh (2006) defines that "Euphemistic words and expressions allow us to talk about unpleasant things and disguise or neutralize the unpleasantness" (p. 240). As an example, "fuck" which is associated with sexual intercourse can be replaced with f-word, making love or sleeping together, "cunt" for c-word and so on.

Aside from euphemisms, there is also another term that comes up along with taboo. The term referred to is going to be neutral or non-taboo words. The words regarded as neutral or non-taboo do not possess both euphemism and taboo senses. For examples, the word sex may become the neutral substitution of "fuck". Yet, it should always be remembered that the meaning of neutral words may be changed depending on the situation. Therefore, the language users need to be careful in uttering such words.

Types of taboo words

According to Jay (1992), taboo words consist of some particular classifications. (1) Cursing is commonly spoken to invoke harm and something bad in the future to those who are being its object. Damn you and I hope you break your neck are few instances of cursing words. (2) Taboo of profanity is about using religious terminology that is believed as something sacred including the name of God or holy affairs in a secular or indifferent manner. The typical instances can be something like Jesus H Christ, I'm hungry! (3) Blasphemy relates to certain words utilized by the speakers with an aim to directly or abusively attack the church, religious doctrin, figure and religious authority. The model of blasphemy is able to be seen through the following utterances such as Screw the pope (4) Obscenity is a type of taboo word that point to something sexual in nature, either sex acts or sex imagery. The relevant words categorized into taboo of fuck, motherfucker obscenity are and cocksucker. (5) Vulgarity denotes the crudeness of the street language spoken by ill-mannered, under-socialized or under-educated person and it describes body parts of human which are quite vulgar to be heard and spoken out. The samples of vulgarity are tits, dick, cock, ass, and asshole. (6) Slang indicates developing words from certain sub-groups including musicians, soldiers, gangstars, drug users, etc. used to make the process of communication easier (7) Epithet. When people are saying brief words such as fuck, damn, fuck you, piss off etc. it can be assumed that they use one classification of taboo, which is epithet. Epithet words are brief, but they become powerful in the situations where someone does something stupid or frustrating. (8) Taboo of slur refers to racial, ethnic, social and stereotyping or prejudice from the speakers. For instance, "Yank" or "Yankee". 9) Unlike slur, insult shows the physical, mental, or psychological qualities of the target and it is usually based on animal imagery, social deviations and abnormal physical. psychological, or social characteristics. Their typical examples are something like *dog*, *bitch*, *son of a bitch*, *whore*, slut, bastard, homo, fatty, booger nose and weirdo (10) Scatology points at any terms which correlate to human waste products and processes used in the toilet trained. In light of this, poo poo, poop, crap, piss, shit, piss, piss pot, piss off and fart can be best models to explain about scatological words.

Motives of using taboo words

Andersson and Trudgill (1992) elaborate that psychological, social, and linguistic motives can be the significant motives for people in employing taboo words. (1) Psychological motive deals with the emotional feeling of people as the expression towards something. This idea issupported by Mateo and Yus as cited in Murphy (2010) in which they confirm that taboo words can be a tool to be releasing and reducing emotional tension. Emotional tension or feeling is definitely oriented with anger, fear, disgust, surprise, stressful, depression and even joy. (2) Jay (1999) on his book Why We Curse mentions that "psychological factors are necessary, but not sufficient." (p. 95). Discussing about social motive in the specific way, it is usually associated towhom the speakers interact with and where taboo words are being spoken. In this case, Andersson and Trudgill (1992) clarify that social motive consists of several characteristics such as to show identity. shock, insult, or to highlight asocial distance. (3) Linguistic motive of using taboo words does not directly refer to attack a person, but it just appears as pure motive on linguistic subject which denoting the word-option or grammatical structures and also giving emphasizefor the topic that is being discussed. For instance, Jesus fucking Christ!

METHODOLOGY

Death Proof is a Hollywood movie directed by Quentin Tarantino and released in the year 2007. This movie can be categorized as one of the masterpieces of Hollywood that has drawn many controversies because it contains extreme scenes and also taboo words. Thus, to analyze taboo words in the mentioned movie, the qualitative methodwas purposively employed. Through the utilization of observation and documentation techniques, the researcher considered the data as utterances of the main characters that contained taboo words. Speaking about research data, there are a few steps that the researcher performed to obtain the data. The first step was watching the movie as well as identifying various utterances of the main characters that contain particular words assumed as taboo. The second step pointed out to the process of reducing data. The third step was about creating the final note. The selected data as the result of data reduction were transferred to the final note to be analyzed in more specific way.

After collecting the data, the

researcher then analyzed the utterances of the main characters that contained taboo words through the following steps. (1) The researcher started by categorizing the utterances that indicated taboo words produced by the main characters into types along with their motives (2) The researcher conducted the analysis by taking the results of data categorization and organizing them into paragraphs. Pertaining to types of taboo words, the analysis was based on Americans' view about taboo words as well as the way how the main characters in Death Proof movie employed such words. To analyze types of taboo words, the researcher then applied Jay's theory. When it comes to the motives of using taboo words, the researcher determined the motives through the conversational context, face expression, gestures or voice intonation of the main characters. In light of this, the theory of Lars-Gunnar Andersson and Peter Trudgill (1992) was employed to analyze those motives. (3) Moving forward to the next step, the researcher interpreted the results of analysis. (4) The researcher drew the conclusion in reliance on findings and data analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After viewing the language usage in a movie entitled *Death Proof*, the researcher discovered certain utterances spoken out by the main characters which possess huge potential to be categorized into the types of taboo words. Besides, the researcher also identified various motives which influence the main characters to employ such taboo words.

Profanity

When a group of people speak something that is connected with religious aspects in particular the name of God or holy affairs as free as they want, it signifies that one type of taboo words called profanity has been employed. In *Death Proof*, profanity shows up in the utterance of Jungle Julia and regarding this her utterance is able to be seen in the timestamp 00:03:33 - 00:03:37.

Jungle Julia: (to Shanna) "Jesus Christ, Shanna. It is not my fucking job to supply y'all with weed when we go out"

Julia's utterance tends to be profanity since it evidently contains the words Jesus *Christ* which are known as the name of God in the Christian religion (Jesus Christ, 2008). To support the idea, Jay (1992) explains that every single utterance indicating religious terms in particular the name of God is profanity. regarded into However, categorizing Jungle Julia's utterance is quite tricky because profanity is almost similar with blasphemy in which they point out to religious aspects. The different thing is that while profanity shows indifference or secular about something sacred such as the name of God or holy affairs, blasphemy directly aims at abusing or attacking everything that is connected to the church including religious figures and religious authority. In other words, people who use blasphemy would be aware of the direct insult to these institutions, whereas the profane might not (Jay, 1992).

The words Jesus Christ from Julia's utterance also is taboo since they break the applicable cultural value in American society particularly of those who are Christians. This is due to the existence of 'Ten Commandments' in their religion in which the third point goes to the command or statement that "you may not misuse the name of God" (Muho & Bakir, 2014). Goddard (2015) also argues that uttering religious terms such as the name of God freely in American society is a more sensitive matter compared to Australian people who are more tolerant of it. Similarly, Trudgill (2000) states that "in an Englishspeaking world, the most severe taboos are now associated with words connected with sex, closely followed by those connected with excretion and the Christian religion" (p. 19).

Jungle Julia produces the unexpected utterance as contained above because she becomes surprised after hearing Arlene and Shanna's request. Considering this, both Arlene and Shanna expect that Julia will spend the amount of her money for buying them foods at Gueros Taco bar. In the

movie, Julia's surprise feeling can be witnessed through facial expression in which she apparently glares at her two friends and then gives a sinister smile. Andersson and Trudgill (1992) address that psychological motive of using taboo words are usually used to express certain feelings such as anger, fear, grief, disgust, surprise, stressful, depression, joy and sorrow.Psychological motive is also applicable to men characters of Death Proof movie in which they utter taboo words as a way to express surprise feeling. For example, Pete produces the utterance "Jesus!" because he is surprised with the unexpected act of Stuntman Mike.

Another similar form of profanity discovered in the movie could be spotted through the utterance expressed by Stuntman Mike in the period of timestamp 01:47:34 – 01:47:37.

Stuntman Mike: "Yeah! Yeah! Jesus fucking Christ! It's about time!"

Stuntman Mike's utterance is categorized as taboo of profanity because it indicates *Jesus Christ* known as God's name in Christian religion (Jesus Christ, 2008) and it breaks an important value in Christian religion as contained on 'Ten Commandments' in which God's name is not appropriate to be used or uttered freely outside of the religious context. Wardhaugh (1992) explains that taboo is method of the society's members to express their disapproval towards particular behaviors which become danger to another member either from religious or moral violation aspects.

about Speaking Stuntman Mike's utterance, the researcher regarded it as linguistic motive due to the word *fucking*. Fucking on his utterance does not exist as a sexual act neither insulting other people, but it only shows up with a purpose to emphasize something. In this case, based on the context of the movie, Stuntman Mike wants to stress that he feels lucky because Kim, Zoe and Abernathy are no longer to chasing him. Andersson and Trudgill (1992) claim that linguistic motive of using taboo words does not attack or insult people, yet it is just pure motive on linguistic subject that denotes the word-option or emphasizes something that is being discussed or happened.

Obscenity

Obscenity is one type of taboo words that is sexual in nature and consists of sex acts and sex imagery. Taking this into consideration, the emergence of the obscenity type is spoken by one of the female characters named Pam in the time stamp 00:30:05 - 00:30:14.

Pam: "Get famous. You won't have to find her. She'll find you and you don't even wanna know what she did for that billboard. Enjoy it, *cocksucker (to Jungle Julia)*. You've earned it"

In accordance with the above utterance. Pam obviously has uttered something that is relatable to sexuality. A particular word possessing the sense of sexual matter in this case refers to the word cocksucker. Latimer (1994) then determines cocksucker as an obscene noun which describes one person who performs fellatio. The researcher remembered that there is one classification of taboo words which tends to denote either sexual acts or sex imagery. In this case, the type of taboo words referred to is obscenity. Through the book entitled Cursing in America, it is asserted that "in American English, obscenities are pointedly sexual in nature" (Jay, 1992, p. 05).

The word *cocksucker* generated by Pam becomes taboo because of two main aspects which are macrocontext and microcontext. According to Hongxu and Guisen (1990), macrocontext is influenced by social factors such as social structure and cultural heritage. Thus, from macro contextual aspect, any obscene (i.e. fuck, cocksucker, motherfucker, etc.), indecent or profane words are socially prohibited in American society by an organization named Communication Commission Federal (Ljung, 2011).

Pertaining to the microcontext (situational) aspect, there are five important

factors in the micro contextual aspect that strongly determine taboo words. Those five are consisting of participants including relationship to each other, purpose of conversation, content or subject matter, setting and medium (Hongxu and Guisen, 1990). Taking participant factor as the example, the researcher perhaps did not categorize the word cocksucker on Pam's utterance if it is thrown out to her close friends for instance who may tolerate any form of language. Yet, the problem is that Pam uses the word *cocksucker* special for Jungle Julia, the one she really hates the most. Therefore, the employment of *cocksucker* is definitely going to trigger offensiveness, hurt or embarrassment for another person which is in this case Jungle Julia herself. In accordance with Wardhaugh (2006),

> "Taboo is the prohibition or avoidance in any society of behavior believed to be harmful to its members in that it would cause them anxiety, embarrassment or shame. It is an extremely strong politeness constraint. Consequently, so far as language is concerned, certain things are not to be said or certain objects can be referred to only in certain circumstances, for example, only by certain people" (p. 239).

Based on the previous description, Pam is motivated to generate an utterance containing taboo words as a way to insult another person which is in this case Jungle Julia. In the scene where this utterance takes place, Pam reveals that she is jealous of Jungle Julia and has not liked her since they were in high school. Indeed, during the movie Jungle Julia is narrated as a famous local DJ in Austin city. Pam's jealousy is reflected through the facial expression in which she sharply stares at Jungle Julia with her upper lip raised and then shows her middle finger from a distance. Due to this reason, the researcher concludes that a social motive is playing a crucial role in it. Andersson and Trudgill (1992) maintained that social motive consists of several characteristics such as to show identity, shock, insult, and mark social distance.

Vulgarity

Vulgarity is determined as one type of taboo words which denotes the crudeness of the street language and describes body parts of human which are quite vulgar to be heard and uttered. Speaking about vulgarity, its employment can be observed in the timestamp 01:01:12 - 01:01:13.

Lee: (to Stuntman Mike) "Little dick".

As indicated on Lee's utterance, there is one word that interestingly needs to be analyzed which is in this case referring to dick. In accordance with Dalzell and Victor (2007), dick has a common definition as penis or clitoris. It is the portrayal of man's genital organ functioning for sexual activity and also the place where the excretion process takes place. Among several classifications of taboo words proposed by Jay, the researcher argues that the word *dick* indicated on Lee's utterance can be included into vulgarity due to the fact that it is defined as men genital organ.

Another reason why the word *dick* on Lee's utterance turns to be taboo is because of the social value. In western culture including American culture, people view taboo as words that are correlated with sexual organ, sexual relation, religion and more (Andersson as cited many in Karjalainen, 2002). Speaking about taboo of the researcher vulgarity, might not categorize the word *dick* on Lee's utterance as taboo once it is replaced with euphemism or at least neutral words. Wardhaugh (2006) defines that "Euphemistic words and expressions allow us to talk about unpleasant things and disguise or neutralize the unpleasantness" (p. 240). In light case, the researcher came with the alternatives in which instead of saying dick, man's vital organ or penis is probably much better.

Aside from that, the researcher found difficulties to classify the word *dick* on Lee's utterance since it possesses the sense of sexuality which definitely becomes the characteristic of obscenity and vulgarity. Yet, in reference to the book *Cursing in*

America, Jay (1992) claims that obscenity points out to sexual in nature and it is mostly about sex act and the imagery that refers to sexual activities. Obscene words, something like fuck, are defined as an act of sexual intercourse (Ayto, 1998) and cocksucker known as one person who performs fellatio (Latimer, 1994). In the meantime, vulgarity is not necessarily taboo. It just reflects the crudeness of street language and describes things related to sexuality more specifically body parts of human which are vulgar to be heard and uttered. The examples of vulgar words go to *dick*, *cock*, ass, etc. Even so, in the context of Lee's utterance, the word dick is still considered taboo. This is due to the fact that Lee during the movie apparently humiliates Stuntman Mike by stating his genital organ is small. Carpenter as cited in Mercury (1995) also adds that each of the utterances or expressions containing vulgarity terms will have vulgar and offensive sense when they are employed to humiliate or bring down the thing or person referred to or described.

In the movie, the above utterance indicates the word dick takes place when Stuntman Mike greatly feels tempted to touch Abernathy's legs put in the car window. Without any desperation, Stuntman Mike starts to act his intention by using his tongue. Abernathy then surprisingly gets up from her sleep and assumes that there is someone who has licked her feet. In a sharp gaze, Abernathy and her friend named Lee put suspicion on Stuntman Mike. Because the action has almost been figured out, Stuntman Mike stomps the gas pedals making his car runs faster. Seeing this, both Abernathy and Lee are speechless and after the car disappears Lee spontaneously produces "little dick" to Stuntman Mike. In reliance on the previous explanation, the researcher can interpret that Lee is doing an insult to Stuntman Mike through the use of such utterance and it can also be strengthened by the gesture Lee does in the movie where she symbolizes half of her pinkie finger as a way of describing the genital organ of Stuntman Mike that is small or not that big. After doing so, both Abernathy and Lee

Epithet

Taboo of epithet demonstrates the emotional language. Words classified into epithet are characterized as brief words, but they become powerful both in presentation and in offensiveness. In *Death Proof* movie, the researcher found the presence of epithet in the timestamp 01:34:53 - 01:34:54 through Kim's utterance below.

Kim: (to Stuntman Mike) "Fuck you!"

Kim's utterance obviously contains the phrase consisting of an interesting word to be analyzed which is *fuck*. Ayto (1998) determines that one meaning of fuck will be an act of sexual intercourse. Although *fuck* is often defined so, the researcher does not consider it as a type of obscenity. In this case. Kim has never intended to have sexual activity with Stuntman Mike through the word *fuck*. She only employs that word as a strong emotional response against what Stuntman Mike does which is crushing their car very hard. Thereby, it can be predicted that the suitable type of taboo words for the word *fuck* indicated on Kim's utterance is epithet not obscenity. Jay (1992) claims that epithets are brief, but they become powerful words and people use them to express emotional feeling for instance when someone does something stupid or frustrating as well as marking the sense of hostility, etc.

In accordance with the situational context where Kim's utterance takes place, the researcher finally comprehends that the motive that stimulates her to utter taboo word goes to psychological motive. This is due to the fact that Kim throws the word *fuck* as a way to express her emotional feeling in particular madness and it is reflected through high intonation of her voice. Andersson and Trudgill (1992) argue that psychological motive of using taboo words deals with the emotional feelings of people and madness/anger becomes one of them. This is also supported by Mateo and Yus as cited in Murphy (2010) who state

that taboo words can be a tool in releasing and reducing emotional tension or feeling.

Insult

Taboo of insult describes the physical, mental, or psychological qualities of an individual by using both animal imagery and social deviations. To better understand about this insult type, the researcher presents its existence through datum below which taken in the timestamp00:25:08 – 00:25:11.

Jungle Julia: (to Pam) "No, but I know she's a skinny fake blonde bitch".

As presented by the above statement, it shows that there is the word *bitch* on Jungle Julia's utterance. The word *bitch* is a kind of animal referring to female dog (Bitch, 2008). In relation to this definition, the researcher does not classify *bitch* into part of taboo words as long as it truly refers to the animal term. However, when someone uses *bitch* to another person just like Jungle Julia does to verbally call Pam, then it may be considered as the offensive matter and it probably underlies why *bitch* on her utterance turns to be taboo.

Alland and Burridge (2006) argue that a certain word is characterized into taboo if it tends to be employed by speakers to offend or hurt other people. In addition to that, Leach (1976) suggests that taboo words in English consists of several aspects in which one of them goes to the words which are employed in animal abuse. Animal abuse refers to calling other people with the name of animal. By using a theory of Timothy Jay, the researcher then categorized the word *bitch* indicated on Jungle Julia's utterance as insult type. In this case, Jay (1992) asserts that the taboo of insults shows the physical, mental, or psychological qualities of the target in which one of the categories would be based on animal imagery such as *pig*, bitch, jackass etc. Apparently, through her utterance Jungle Julia is trying to describe the physical of Pam by saying a skinny fake blonde bitch.

Referring to Jungle Julia's answer, the researcher strongly considers her utterance as a form of humiliation or insulting since it is produced to objectify Pam. Jungle Julia utters the *bitch* because she does understand that Pam is her rival and both of them have never liked with each other even from high school. This can be seen through the act shown by Jungle Julia when Arlene asks her whether or not she still recognizes Pam who is sitting right in front of them. However, Jungle Julia shows the unexpected expression in which she sharply stares at Pam for a second and with a forced smile tells everyone that Pam is a skinny blonde bitch. As a result, bitch indicated on the utterance of Jungle Julia is then appropriate enough to be put into social motive considering that insulting other people is one characteristic of social motive (Andersson and Trudgill, 1992).

The same example of insult type is spoken out by one of the men characters named Omar which is able to be seen in timestamp 00:23:24 - 00:23:27.

Omar: "...Come on dude, we can at least get one shot of Jager down these fucking *bitches*' throats"

In reference to Omar's utterance, the researcher is able to categorize it as taboo of insult since the word *bitch* exists there. From that name-calling, conversational context as well as the facial expression of Omar, the researcher speculates that Omar's utterance is driven by social motive with an aim to do an insult although it appears along with the word fucking which seems to be the characteristic of linguistic motive. This is due to the fact that the word fucking in linguistic motive does not have connotation to attack or insult people, yet it just comes up as pure motive on linguisticsubject that denote the word-option and emphasize something that is being discussed or happened (Andersson & Trudgill, 1992).

On the basis of fairly long explanation about types of taboo words used by the main characters in *Death Proof*, as well as the motives that affect them, the researcher is finally able to create interpretations regarding those matters. In light of this, female characters in the movie tend to utter various taboo words compared to men. Among the classifications of taboo words proposed by Timothy Jay, female characters prove that they use taboo words with regard to profanity, obscenity, vulgarity, epithet and insult. Unlike women, men characters prefer using taboo of profanity and insult.

This is actually a great observation to prove that taboo words do not primarily refer to men, but women turn out to speak taboo words. This is due to fact that not only black female characters such as Kim and Jungle Julia who speak taboo words which turn to be their identical language (Klerk, 1992), but those people also have significant white a contribution as well. As a consequence, the researcher argued that such invention seems to illustrate and counter the expectation that is constantly portrayed and happened in the cultural context. For instance, Chambers and Trudgill (1998) declare that the use of taboo words is only regarded to men. In the same regarded because women are vein. as individuals who tend to use super polite forms or at least polite forms, they are never expected to employ taboo words (Coats, 2004).

When it comes to the motives of employing taboo words, the researcher unexpectedly had an appealing point about it. As mentioned before, it is true that female characters in Death Proof produce many varieties of taboo words, yet they are only motivated by psychological and social motives. Men on the other hand employ taboo words because three complete motives consisting of psychological, social and linguistic motives drive them. Therefore, the researcher has to claim that although female characters are more varied in uttering taboo words, the researcher variability invented that their on the employment of such words is just limited in certain situations compared to men who get influenced to speak words categorized as taboo almost in the entire contexts.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusions

Based on the research that has been accomplished, the main characters either men or

women in *Death Proof* contribute in uttering taboo words. This can be revealed by the data which clearly show how particular utterances of the main characters tend to be classified as part of taboo. To be more specific, those utterances spoken out by the characters are dominated with various classifications such as profanity, obscenity, vulgarity, epithet and insult. Yet, an important matter should be kept in mind that this research uncovers a slight difference between male and female characters on the use of taboo words. Under the specific analysis in the previous section, women in the movie utter taboo words more frequently and in varied situations compared to men.

Further, this research also brings to light another point which is equally essential to be discussed. It discovered that the main characters of *Death Proof* speak taboo words because there must be certain motives that strongly affect them. To be specific, the motives of using taboo words found in the movie mainly point out to psychological, social and linguistic motive. While those three complete motives drive men to talk about words deemed as taboo, women on the hand are solely motivated other or stimulated by social and psychological motives.

Suggestions

The researcher is aware that the presence of this research will contribute to providing positive benefits for students in which they are able to broaden their knowledge about taboo words. However, the researcher also realizes that there must be an unfavorable impact arising from it because students may misuse taboo words. Thus, the researcher suggests that students need to be very careful and not carelessly speak taboo words since this kind of language can produce uncomfortable feelings such as offensiveness or perhaps the employment of taboo words is still tolerable when they are able to consider the appropriate place and time to use.

Also, the researcher merely pays

attention to the types and motives of using taboo words in the movie entitled *Death Proof*. There are a couple of things which have not been discussed and covered here such as the correlation between the employment of taboo words with social class or even the effect of age in determining someone to speak words deemed as taboo. Thus, it is hoped that the future researchers take the above-mentioned examples as their reference in conducting their scientific work.

REFERENCES

- Alland, K., & Burridge, K. (2006). Forbidden words: Taboo and the cencoring of language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Andersson, L. G., & Trudgill, P., J. (1992). *Bad Language*. London: Penguin Books.
- Ayto, J. (1998). Fuck. In *The Oxford dictionary of slang* (1st ed., p. 72).
- Bitch. (2008). In Longman dictionary of American English (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Chambers, J., K & Trudgill, P. (1998). *Dialectology* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Coats, J. (2004). Women, men and language. A sociolinguistic account of gender differences in language (3rd ed.). London: Pearson Longman.
- Dalzell, T., & Victor, T. (2007). Dick. In *Dictionary of* slang and unconventional English (1st ed., p. 197).
- Fromkin, V., Rodman, R., & Hyams, N. (2010). *An introduction to language* (9th ed.). Wadsworth: Cengage Learning
- Goddard, C. (2015). "Swear words" and "curse words" in Australian (and American) English: At the crossroads of pragmatics, semantics and sociolinguistics. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 12(2), 189-218. DOI: 10.1515/ip-2015-0010
- Greey, M. (1994). Honouring diversity: A cross-cultural approach to infant development for babies with special needs. Toronto: Centennial Infant and Child Centre
- Hongxu, H., & Guisen, T. (1990). A sociolinguistic view of linguistic taboo in Chinese. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 81, 63-85. DOI:10.1515/ijsl.1990.81.63
- Jay, T. (1992). Cursing in America: A psycholinguistic study of dirty language in the courts, in the movies, in the schoolyards and on the streets. Philadelphia: John Benjamins Company.
- Jay, T. (1999). Why we curse: A neuro-psycho-social theory of speech. Philadelphia: John Benjamins Company.
- Jesus Christ. (2008). In Longman dictionary of American English (4th ed.).
- Johnova, M. (2011). Gender talk: Taboo language in internet relay chat. *Discourse and Interaction*. Retrieved from <u>https://journals.muni.cz/discourse-</u>

and-interaction/article/download/6962/8505

- Karjalainen, M. (2002). Where have all the swear words gone? An analysis of the loss of swear words in two Swedish translations of J. D. Salinger's Catcher in the Rye. (Prostgraduate thesis). University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. Retrieved from<u>http://ethesis.helsinki.fi/julkaisut/hum/eng</u> la/pg/karjalainen/wherehav.pdf
- Klerk, V. D. (1992). How taboo are taboo words for girls? Language in Society, 21, 277-289 Retrieved from <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2980</u> 7278 How Taboo Are Taboo Words for Gi <u>rls</u>
- Latimer, J. (1994). Cocksucker. In *The American heritage dictionary of the English language* (3rd ed., p. 1517).
- Leach, E. (1976). Culture and communication. The logic by which symbols are connected: An introduction to the use of structuralist analysis in social anthropology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ljung, M. (2011). Swearing: A cross-cultural linguistics study. United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan
- Mercury, R., E. (1995). Swearing: A "Bad" part of language; A good part of language learning. *TESL Canada Journal*, 13(1), 30. Retrieved from

https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ518119.pdf

- Muho, H. A., & Bakir, S. N. (2014). Taboo words and expressions in English. Zanco Journal of Humanity Sciences, 18(5), 189-200. Retrieved from <u>http://zancojournals.su.edu.krd/index.php/JAH</u> <u>S/article/view/2828</u>
- Murphy, B. (2010). Corpus and sociolinguistics: Investigating age and gender in female talk. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Co.
- Trudgill, P. (2000). Sociolinguistics: An introduction to language and society (4th ed.). London: Penguin.
- Wardhaugh, R. (1992). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics (2nd ed.). Oxford: Blackwell Publishers
- Wardhaugh, R. (2006). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics (5th ed.). UK: Blackwell-Publishing.
- Wardhaugh, R. (2010). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics (6th ed.). Chichester, West Sussex, U.K: Wiley-Blackwell.



LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

Dear Oldi Simon, Syarifuddin Ahmad, Rahman Taufiqrianto Dako,

We are pleased to inform you that your manuscript entitled, "**Taboo Words in Main Characters of** *Death Proof* **Movie**" has been accepted by our Editorial Board after successfully passing the blind review and revisions. The manuscript has also been checked for plagiarism by Turnitin and the result showed that the similarity index (SI) of your manuscript is acceptable. It is scheduled for publication in the Volume 1 Issue 2 May Edition of the "**TRANS-KATA: Journal of Language, Literature, Culture, and Education**".

We will send the gallery proof of your paper for the final revision after all the quality control checks and prior to publishing the article. The galley proofs must be returned to us within 2 calendar days. Furthermore, you are responsible for any error in the published paper due to your oversight.

Thank you very much for submitting your article to the "**TRANS-KATA: Journal of Language, Literature, Culture, and Education**". We welcome your contributions in future.

Date: May 28, 2021

Best Regards,



Editor-in-Chief **Novriyanto Napu, PhD** <u>n.napu@ung.ac.id</u> <u>https://www.ejournal.transbahasa.co.id</u>

https://ejournal.transbahasa.co.id/index.php/jllce/index

